

THE \mathbf{K} -THEORY OF THE CATEGORY OF \mathcal{O} -MODULE OBJECTS OVER AN ALGEBRA OBJECT OVER A UNITAL ∞ -OPERAD \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}

Sanath Devalapurkar¹

¹Apartment 47, 20501 Anza Avenue, Torrance, CA 90503

Fall Western Sectional Meeting, 2014

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Preliminaries
- 2 The Main Theorem
 - The Main Theorem
- 3 Outlook

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries

2 The Main Theorem

- The Main Theorem

3 Outlook

Preliminary to the Motivation - the (well-known) Quillen Q -construction

Quillen's Q -construction - If \mathcal{C} is an exact category, then define $Q(\mathcal{C})$ as the category whose objects are the objects of \mathcal{C} and morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ are isomorphism classes of diagrams $Y \leftarrow P \rightrightarrows X$, where $Y \leftarrow P$ is an admissible monomorphism and $P \rightrightarrows X$ is an admissible epimorphism. Composition in this category is given by pullbacks. In this section, we will suppress Q ; so by $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ we will mean $\Omega Q(\mathcal{C})$.

Motivation

- Let $\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ denote the subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by the A -module objects of \mathcal{C} .

Motivation

- Let $\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ denote the subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by the A -module objects of \mathcal{C} .
- Ask the following question:

Question

Which of $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ or $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \subset \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is impossible?

Motivation

- Let $\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ denote the subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by the A -module objects of \mathcal{C} .
- Ask the following question:

Question

Which of $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ or $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \subset \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is impossible?

- It turns out that $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \subset \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is impossible.

Can the ∞ -categorification hold?

- Can the impossibility $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \not\cong \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ hold if we ∞ -categorify it? I.e., can we obtain an impossibility:

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \not\cong \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}))^{\otimes} \quad (1)$$

Can the ∞ -categorification hold?

- Can the impossibility $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \not\subset \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ hold if we ∞ -categorify it? I.e., can we obtain an impossibility:

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \not\subset \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}))^{\otimes} \quad (1)$$

- **Our long-term goal is to compute $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes})$, and perhaps generalize this to $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ if possible.** We won't do so here.

Can the ∞ -categorification hold?

- Can the impossibility $\text{Mod}_A(\Omega\mathcal{C}) \not\subset \Omega\text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ hold if we ∞ -categorify it? I.e., can we obtain an impossibility:

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \not\subset \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}))^{\otimes} \quad (1)$$

- **Our long-term goal is to compute $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes})$, and perhaps generalize this to $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ if possible.** We won't do so here.
- In order to answer these, we will first introduce some preliminaries.

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries

2 The Main Theorem

- The Main Theorem

3 Outlook

∞ -categories

- Let us imagine a (simply-connected, for simplicity) topological space X with a points, P, Q , in it, which are connected by homotopic paths γ, Γ .

∞ -categories

- Let us imagine a (simply-connected, for simplicity) topological space X with a points, P, Q , in it, which are connected by homotopic paths γ, Γ .
- We can view X as an “ ∞ -groupoid” as follows: the points of X can be viewed as objects, the paths as 1-morphisms, the homotopies as 2-morphisms, etc.

∞ -categories, II

- This is called an ∞ -groupoid, and the **homotopy hypothesis** states that the category $\infty\mathcal{G}rpd$ of ∞ -groupoids is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{T}op$ of topological spaces.

∞ -categories, II

- This is called an ∞ -groupoid, and the **homotopy hypothesis** states that the category $\infty\mathcal{G}rpd$ of ∞ -groupoids is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{T}op$ of topological spaces.
- We will define an ∞ -category \mathcal{O} to be a collection of objects along with an ∞ -groupoid $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(X, Y)$ for any two objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{O}$, with a composition law.

∞ -Operads

- Lurie has defined in his book, *Higher Algebra* ([4]) the concept of ∞ -operads.

∞ -Operads

- Lurie has defined in his book, *Higher Algebra* ([4]) the concept of ∞ -operads.
- I will try to offer a hand-wavy and informal definition of ∞ -operads. Ordinary 1-categorical colored operads are multicategories, which allow for multiple inputs into the hom-set and one single output. Thus, if the 2-category of categories is denoted $\mathcal{C}at$, and the 2-category of colored operads/multicategories is denoted $\mathcal{M}ult$, then there is an inclusion $\mathcal{C}at \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}ult$.

∞ -Operads, II

- ∞ -operads generalize this to the ∞ -categorical setting by completing the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}at & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}ult \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \infty\mathcal{C}at & \hookrightarrow & \text{"}\infty\text{Operad"}
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

∞ -Operads, II

- ∞ -operads generalize this to the ∞ -categorical setting by completing the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}at & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}ult \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \infty\mathcal{C}at & \hookrightarrow & \text{"}\infty\text{Operad"}
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

- We will use Lurie's abuse of terminology for ∞ -operads; see [4, Remark 2.1.1.12]: if $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}in_*)$ is an ∞ -operad, we always will refer to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} as an ∞ -operad.

Defining some important ∞ -Operads

- Let \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} be a unital ∞ -operad.

Defining some important ∞ -Operads

- Let \mathcal{C}^\otimes be a unital ∞ -operad.
- Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be a unital ∞ -operad. Denote by $\mathbf{K}_\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\text{unct}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{O}^\otimes)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}\text{unct}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{O}^\otimes)$ spanned by semi-inert morphisms in \mathcal{O}^\otimes . Let $\mathbf{K}_\mathcal{O}^0$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}_\mathcal{O}$ spanned by the null morphisms in \mathcal{O}^\otimes . Let $\mathcal{P}\text{reMod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ be the simplicial set such that for every map of simplicial sets $X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$, there is a bijection $\mathcal{F}\text{unct}_{\mathcal{O}^\otimes}(X, \mathcal{P}\text{reMod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{F}\text{unct}_{\mathcal{F}\text{unct}(\{1\}, \mathcal{O}^\otimes)}(X \times_{\mathcal{F}\text{unct}(\{0\}, \mathcal{O}^\otimes)} \mathbf{K}_\mathcal{O}^0, \mathcal{C}^\otimes)}$. Let $\mathcal{M}\text{od}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ be the full simplicial subset of $\mathcal{P}\text{reMod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ spanned by vertices \bar{v} such that if $v = q(\bar{v}) \in \mathcal{O}^\otimes$, then \bar{v} determines a functor $\{v\} \times_{\mathcal{O}^\otimes} \mathbf{K}_\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ which carries inert morphisms to inert morphisms, where $q : \mathcal{P}\text{reMod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$.

Defining some important ∞ -Operads, Continued

- Construct a ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}lg_{\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ as follows: Let $p : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads and suppose there are ∞ -operads $\alpha : \mathcal{O}'^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$. Let $\mathcal{A}lg_{\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}unct_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{O}'^{\otimes}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ spanned by maps of ∞ -operads. If $\mathcal{O}'^{\otimes} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ then $\mathcal{A}lg_{\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}}$ is denoted as $\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}$. If in $\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}$ we have that $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \cong \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}in_*)$, then $\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}in_*)}$ is written as $\mathcal{C}Alg(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$.

Defining some important ∞ -Operads, Continued II

- In the following slide, we will make use of the ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$, which we can define as follows. Define a set $\mathcal{P}re\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ with a map $\mathcal{P}re\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ so that the following is satisfied: for every simplicial set X with a map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$, there is a bijection $\mathcal{F}unct_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(X, \mathcal{P}re\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})) \simeq \mathcal{F}unct_{\mathcal{F}unct(\{1\}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes})}(X \times_{\mathcal{F}unct(\{0\}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes})} \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}})$. Then we define $\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ as the full simplicial subset of $\mathcal{P}re\mathcal{A}lg_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ spanned by those vertices $F : \{Y\} \times_{\mathcal{F}unct(\{0\}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes})} \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$, where $Y \in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$, preserves inert morphisms. Here, $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}^0$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}$ spanned by the null morphisms in \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} .

Defining some important ∞ -Operads, Continued III

- Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be a unital ∞ -operad. Then let $\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ denote the fiber product $\overline{\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes} \times_{\text{Alg}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)} (\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times \text{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))$. Let $A \in \text{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$. Then let $\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ denote the fiber product $\overline{\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes} \times_{\text{Alg}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)} \{A\} \simeq \overline{\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes} \times_{\text{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)} \{A\}$, given by an equivalence $\text{Alg}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \simeq \text{Alg}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$, which in turn is induced by the equivalence $\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes \simeq \overline{\text{Mod}^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes}$. We refer to $\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$ as the ∞ -operad of \mathcal{O} -module objects over A .

Higher Categorical \mathcal{O} -Construction

- Let $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^n)$ denote $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\Delta^{n,op} \star \Delta^n, \Delta^n)$, where \star is the concatenation operation on Δ (see [1]).

Higher Categorical Q-Construction

- Let $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^n)$ denote $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}(\Delta^{n,op} \star \Delta^n, \Delta^n)$, where \star is the concatenation operation on Δ (see [1]).
- Let $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})_{\dagger}^{\otimes}$ and $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes, \dagger}$ be subcategories of $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$ that contain all the equivalences. Call a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X' & \longrightarrow & Y'
 \end{array}$$

apprecié if $X' \rightarrow Y'$ and $Y \rightarrow Y'$ are morphisms of $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})_{\dagger}^{\otimes}$ and $\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes, \dagger}$ respectively.

Higher Categorical Q-Construction II

- A functor $X : \mathcal{O}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$ is *apprécié* if for integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_{ij} & \longrightarrow & X_{kj} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_{i\ell} & \longrightarrow & X_{k\ell}
 \end{array}$$

is an *apprécié* pullback.

Higher Categorical Q-Construction II

- A functor $X : \mathcal{O}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$ is *apprécié* if for integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{ij} & \longrightarrow & X_{kj} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{i\ell} & \longrightarrow & X_{k\ell} \end{array}$$

is an *apprécié* pullback.

- Defining the K-theory and the Q-construction:** Let $\mathcal{Q}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes})$ denote the ∞ -operad whose n -simplices are *apprécié* functors $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$. Then define:
 $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) := \Omega \mathcal{Q}(\text{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes})$.

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries

2 The Main Theorem

- The Main Theorem

3 Outlook

The Higher \mathbf{K} -groups

- For the sake of posterity, I will define the higher \mathbf{K} -groups. Define the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ as $\mathcal{Funct}_{sSet}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes, \Delta[n]/\partial\Delta[n])$. Thus define $\mathbf{K}_n(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) := \pi_n(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))$.

The Higher \mathbf{K} -groups

- For the sake of posterity, I will define the higher \mathbf{K} -groups. Define the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ as $\mathcal{Funct}_{sSet}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes, \Delta[n]/\partial\Delta[n])$. Thus define $\mathbf{K}_n(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) := \pi_n(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))$.
- We will now proceed to our main theorem, which shows that $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \supset \mathrm{Mod}_A^0(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))^\otimes$ is impossible.

Main Theorem

Theorem (D.)

Let \mathcal{C}^\otimes be a unital ∞ -operad. Then there is a stabilization from the first iteration of $\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$:

$$\text{Mod}_A^0(\text{Mod}_A^0(\cdots(\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))^\otimes)\cdots)^\otimes)^\otimes \simeq \text{Mod}_A^0(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))^\otimes$$

Additionally, it is impossible to have the inclusion

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \supset \text{Mod}_A^0(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))^\otimes.$$

There is a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \simeq \text{Mod}_A^0(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes))^\otimes \simeq \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes).$$

If either $\mathcal{O}^\otimes = \mathbf{E}[0]^\otimes = \mathbf{N}(\text{Fin}_*^{\text{inj}})^\otimes$ or \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a coherent ∞ -operad and A is a trivial \mathcal{O} -algebra.

Outlook

- Can we compute $\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \cdots)$ for any unital ∞ -operad \mathcal{C}^\otimes ?

Outlook

- Can we compute $\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes) \cdots)$ for any unital ∞ -operad \mathcal{C}^\otimes ?
- Some ideas I've been looking into suggest that $\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \cdots) = \mathrm{Mod}_A^0(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)^\otimes$, for \mathcal{C}^\otimes a unital ∞ -operad.

Outlook

- Can we compute $\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \cdots)$ for any unital ∞ -operad \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} ?
- Some ideas I've been looking into suggest that $\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \cdots) = \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$, for \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} a unital ∞ -operad.
- In fact, we can generalize and say that

$$\mathbf{K}(\cdots \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\cdots (\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \cdots)^{\otimes})^{\otimes}) \cdots)$$

is $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\otimes}$.

Thank You

Thank you!








Thank You

Thank you!





P.S.

These slides and notes can be obtained by emailing me at devalapurkarsanath@gmail.com.

References I

-  Clark Barwick, *On the Q Construction for Exact ∞ -Categories*. Available Online at arXiv:1301.4725v2 [math.KT].
-  <http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/dendroidal+set>, Accessed August 13, 2014.
-  Ben Knudsen, *Algebraic K-Theory of Schemes*.
-  Jacob Lurie, *Higher Algebra*.
-  Jacob Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*.
-  Michael Hopkins, Jacob Lurie, *Ambidexterity in $K(n)$ -Local Stable Homotopy Theory*.
-  Jacob Lurie, *Moduli Problems for Ring Spectra*. ICM 2010 Address.

References II

-  Ieke Moerdijk et. al., *On the equivalence between Lurie's model and the dendroidal model for infinity-operads*. Available Online at arXiv:1305.3658v2 [math.AT].
-  Thomas Nikolaus, *Algebraic K-Theory of infinity-Operads*. Available Online at arXiv:1303.2198v1 [math.AT].
-  V. A. Smirnov, *Simplicial and Operad Methods in Algebraic Topology*.
-  Charles Weibel, *The K-book: an introduction to algebraic K-theory*.