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## Basic setup

Fix a field $F$.

Morel-Voevodsky: It is possible to talk about the homotopy theory of "motivic spaces" over $F$, and also the associated stable homotopy theory of "motivic spectra".

$$
X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})
$$

(mot. spectra/ $\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow($ spectra $)$


## Basic setup

In motivic homotopy theory we have a bigraded family of spheres:

$$
S^{1,1}=\mathbb{A}^{1}-0
$$



For $p \geq q$ define $\quad S^{p, q}=\left(S^{1,1}\right)^{\wedge(q)} \wedge\left(S^{1,0}\right)^{\wedge(p-q)}$.
$p$ is called the topological dimension of the sphere, and $q$ is called the weight.

We have the sphere spectrum $S$, and we can talk about $\pi_{p, q}(S)$.
Should probably write $\pi_{p, q}(S)_{F}$ to keep track of the ground field.

## Basic setup



Rough goal: Understand as much as we can about the different spots in this picture, and the maps between them.

## Review of ordinary stable homotopy groups

- $\pi_{i}(S)=0$ for $i<0$ (connectivity)
- $\pi_{0}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (via the Hurewicz isomorphism)
- We have

| $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi_{i}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 24$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 240$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3}$ |
| gen | 1 | $\eta$ | $\eta^{2}$ | $\nu$ |  |  | $\nu^{2}$ | $\sigma$ | $\eta \sigma, ? ?$ | $\nu^{3}, ? ?$ |

- The easiest elements to understand are the so-called Hopf elements: $\eta, \nu$, and $\sigma$.


## Classical Hopf elements

- These are the elements $2, \eta, \nu$, and $\sigma$ :
(i) $S^{1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2}-0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{1}=S^{1} \rightsquigarrow 2 \in \pi_{0}$
(ii) $S^{3} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}-0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}=S^{2} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \eta \in \pi_{1}$
(iii) $S^{7} \simeq \mathbb{H}^{2}-0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{1}=S^{4} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \nu \in \pi_{3}$
(iv) $S^{15} \simeq \mathbb{O}^{2}-0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{O} P^{1}=S^{8} \rightsquigarrow \sigma \in \pi_{7}$
- The story stops here because there are no more division algebras continuing the sequence $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$.

Relations between the Hopf elements:

- $2 \eta=0, \eta \nu=0, \nu \sigma=0$
- $2 \nu^{2}=0, \eta \sigma^{2}=0$
- $\eta^{3}=3 \cdot 2^{2} \nu$ (and hence $24 \nu=0$ ), $\nu^{3}=3 \cdot \eta^{2} \sigma=\eta^{2} \sigma$
- $240 \sigma=0,2 \sigma^{2}=0, \sigma^{4}=0$

The 2-localization of the stable homotopy groups:
We get an approximation to $\pi_{*}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ via the Adams spectral sequence:


## Basic setup




## The $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant homotopy groups

For $p \geq q, S^{p, q}$ is the compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{p-q} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-}^{q}$.
Note that the fixed set of $S^{p, q}$ is $S^{p-q}$.
$S^{n, 0}$ is the $n$-sphere with trivial $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-action
$S^{1,1}$ is the compactification of $\mathbb{R}_{-}$:

$S^{2,1}$ is the compactification of $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-}=\mathbb{C}$ : so $S^{2,1} \simeq \mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

## The $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant homotopy groups

Two useful maps:
$\psi: \pi_{p, q}(S) \rightarrow \pi_{p}(S) \quad$ "forgetful map"
$\phi: \pi_{p, q}(S) \rightarrow \pi_{p-q}(S) \quad$ "restriction to the fixed set"
$f: S^{p, q} \rightarrow S^{0,0} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad f^{\mathbb{Z} / 2}: S^{p-q} \rightarrow S^{0}$

## The $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant homotopy groups

Let $\eta: \mathbb{C}^{2}-0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ be the Hopf map.
This is a map $S^{3,2} \rightarrow S^{2,1}$, so $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}(S)$.
Note that $\eta^{\mathbb{Z} / 2}$ is the Hopf map $\mathbb{R}^{2}-0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{1}$, which is multiplication by 2 . In other words, $\phi(\eta)=2$.

In particular, $\eta$ is not a torsion class and is not nilpotent. This is different than what we're used to in classical algebraic topology.

NOTE: Actually, it will be better to set things up so that $\phi(\eta)=-2$. Don't ask why.

## The $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant homotopy groups

Another new feature is that we have nonzero groups in negative dimensions:
$\rho: S^{0,0} \hookrightarrow S^{1,1}$ is essential:

Note that $\phi(\rho)=1$, so once again we deduce that $\rho$ is not torsion and not nilpotent.

However, this phenomenon is limited. All maps $S^{a, b} \rightarrow S^{1,0} \wedge S^{a, b} \wedge S^{c, d}$ are null, by the usual argument.

It follows that $\pi_{p, q}(S)=0$ if $p<0$ and $q>p$.

## Picture of the equivariant homotopy groups $\pi_{p, q}(S)_{\mathbb{Z} / 2}$



## The group $\pi_{0,0}$

We have the equivariant degree map:

$$
\operatorname{Deg}: \pi_{0,0}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Deg}(f)=\left(\operatorname{deg}(f), \operatorname{deg}\left(f^{\mathbb{Z} / 2}\right)\right)
$$

This map is an injection, and its image consists of all pairs $(a, b)$ such that $a \equiv b \bmod 2$.

Notice that $\operatorname{Deg}(1)=(1,1)$ and $\operatorname{Deg}(\rho \eta)=(0,-2)$.
So 1 and $\rho \eta$ generate $\pi_{0,0}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
Notice that $\operatorname{Deg}\left(\rho^{2} \eta^{2}\right)=(0,4)=\operatorname{Deg}(-2 \rho \eta)$, so $\rho^{2} \eta^{2}=-2 \rho \eta$.
In fact $\rho \eta^{2}=-2 \eta$, or $\rho \eta^{2}+2 \eta=0$.

## The group $\pi_{0,0}$

Let $\epsilon: S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1} \rightarrow S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1}$ be the twist map.

Then $\epsilon \in \pi_{0,0}(S)$, so it is a linear combination of 1 and $\rho \eta$.
$\operatorname{Deg}(\epsilon)=(-1,1)=-(1,1)+(0,2)$, so $\epsilon=-1-\rho \eta$.
The relation $\rho \eta^{2}+2 \eta=0$ (previous slide) is equivalent to saying $\epsilon \eta=\eta$.

$$
\pi_{0,0}(S)=\mathbb{Z}\langle 1, \epsilon\rangle
$$

## One more piece

Any non-equivariant map of spheres $S^{a} \rightarrow S^{b}$ can be regarded as an equivariant map $S^{a, 0} \rightarrow S^{b, 0}$.

This gives maps $\pi_{k}(S) \rightarrow \pi_{k, 0}(S)$.
The forgetful map $\psi$ gives a splitting, so that

$$
\pi_{k, 0}(S) \cong \pi_{k}(S) \oplus(? ? ? ?)
$$

|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 12$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 240$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 12$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{480} \mathbb{Z}_{12} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | (Z/24 | $0 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{240} \oplus \pi_{5}$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | (2) | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{240} \mathbb{Z}_{16} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \pi$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3} \oplus \pi_{8}$ |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 12 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 16 \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{240} \pi_{9}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 16 \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{10}$ |
| $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \mathbb{Z}_{12} \pi_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{9}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \mathbb{Z}_{240} \pi_{11}$ |

Araki-Iriye computations


## On to the motivic setting

We now investigate the groups $\pi_{p, q}(S)_{F}$.

Morel's theorems:
(1) Connectivity: $\pi_{p, q}(S)=0$ for $q>p$.
(2) $\oplus_{n} \pi_{n, n}(S)$ can be determined explicitly (more on this in a moment).

Motivic stable homotopy groups


## Motivic stable homotopy groups

We again have the Hopf map $\mathbb{A}^{2}-0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, which is a map $S^{3,2} \rightarrow S^{1,1}$. Get the element $\eta \in \pi_{1,1}(S)$.

Recall that $S^{1,1}=\mathbb{A}^{1}-0$. For every $a \in F-\{0\}$ we have the corresponding rational point of $\mathbb{A}^{1}-0$, giving a map

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{a}}: S^{0,0} \hookrightarrow S^{1,1}
$$



The element $\rho$ that we saw in the $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-setting is $\rho_{-1}$.
We again have the twist map $\epsilon: S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1} \rightarrow S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1}$.

Motivic stable homotopy groups


Work over $\mathbb{Z}$ to get close parallel with $\pi_{*, *}(S)_{\mathbb{Z} / 2}$

## Motivic stable homotopy groups

Morel proved that $\bigoplus_{n} \pi_{n, n}$ is generated by the elements $\rho_{a}, \epsilon$, and $\eta$, subject to the following relations:
(i) $\epsilon \eta=\eta, \epsilon \rho_{a}=\rho_{a^{-1}}, \epsilon^{2}=1$
(ii) $\rho \eta=-(1+\epsilon)$
(iii) $\rho_{a} \eta=\eta \rho_{a}, \rho_{a} \rho_{b}=\rho_{b^{-1}} \rho_{a}=\rho_{b} \rho_{a^{-1}}$
(iv) $\rho_{a} \rho_{1-a}=0$ (Steinberg relation)
(v) $\rho_{a b}=\rho_{a}+\rho_{b}+\eta \rho_{a} \rho_{b}$
$\pi_{0,0} \cong G W(F)$, the Grothendieck-Witt ring of quadratic forms / $F$

$$
1+\rho_{a} \eta \leftrightarrow\left(F, q_{a} ; q_{a}(x)=a x^{2}\right)
$$

The maps $\pi_{1,1} \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi_{2,2} \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi_{3,3} \longrightarrow \cdots$ are all isomorphisms, and these groups are all isomorphic to $W(F)$ (the Witt ring of $F$ ).

Motivic stable homotopy grodups


Moving away from the 0-line



## Moving away from the 0-line

Two basic approaches:
(1) Write down explicit elements, and try to verify relations by direct geometric construction.
(2) Use the motivic version of the Adams spectral sequence. This only computes $\pi_{p, q}\left(S_{H}^{\wedge}\right)$, but this is still interesting.

Warning: We don't know the groups $\pi_{p, q}(S)$ are finitely-generated, and in fact in negative degrees they are usually not. The relation between $\pi_{p, q}(S)$ and $\pi_{p, q}\left(S_{H}^{\wedge}\right)$ is difficult to pin down.

## Constructing the geometric $\nu$ and $\sigma$

- The classical Hopf elements come from the division algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$. How can this work over other fields $F$ ?
- Cayley-Dickson algebras: If $A$ is an $F$-algebra with an anti-involution $x \mapsto x^{*}\left(\right.$ so $\left.(a b)^{*}=b^{*} a^{*}\right)$ and $\gamma \in F$, define

$$
A_{\gamma}^{d b l}=A \oplus A, \quad(a, b)(c, d)=\left(a c+\gamma d^{*} b, d a+b c^{*}\right)
$$

This again has an anti-involution given by $(a, b) \mapsto\left(a^{*},-b\right)$.

- Given a sequence of constants $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots \in F$, the doubling process can be repeated to give a sequence of algebras

$$
A_{1}=A_{\gamma_{1}}^{d b l}, \quad A_{2}=\left(A_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{2}}^{d b l}, \quad \ldots
$$

- Starting with $A=\mathbb{R}$ and doing this doubling process several times (with $\gamma=-1$ in each case) produces the sequence $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$.


## Motivic Hopf elements (continued)

- An algebra with anti-involution has a norm form $N(x)=x x^{*}$.
- Fact: If $A$ is associative and commutative and normed in the sense that $N(x y)=N(x) N(y)$, then the algebras $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A_{3}$ are also normed algebras.
[Note: The $A_{i}$ 's are not necessarily division algebras.]
- Start with $A=F$ and $x^{*}=x$. Use the sequence where $\gamma_{1}=1$ and all other $\gamma_{i}=-1$.
- One can check that $A_{1}=\mathbb{A}^{2}$ with $(a, b)(c, d)=(a c, d b)$, $(a, b)^{*}=(b, a)$, and $N(a, b)=a b$.
- Then $A_{2}=\mathbb{A}^{4}$ with ????? and so on.


## Motivic Hopf elements (still continued)

- Write $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ for the affine variety in $A_{n}=\mathbb{A}^{2^{n}}$ defined by $N(x)=1$. When $n \in\{1,2,3\}$, multiplication in $A_{n}$ gives maps

$$
\mathbb{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{S}_{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{n}
$$

- The "Hopf construction" on this pairing is the composite

$$
\Sigma\left(\mathbb{S}_{n} \wedge \mathbb{S}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\chi} \Sigma\left(\mathbb{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{S}_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{S}_{n}
$$

- Under our definitions the norm form on each $A_{n}$ is split, so $\mathbb{S}_{n} \simeq S^{2^{n}-1,2^{n-1}}$. We have therefore produced maps

$$
S^{2^{n+1}-1,2^{n}} \longrightarrow S^{2^{n}, 2^{n-1}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad h_{n} \in \pi_{2^{n}-1,2^{n-1}}
$$

- $h_{1}=\eta \in \pi_{1,1}, \quad h_{2}=\nu \in \pi_{3,2}, \quad$ and $h_{3}=\sigma \in \pi_{7,4}$.

Another table of the $\pi_{p, q}$ groups


## Some useful notation

- Write $A_{\mathbb{R}}=F, A_{\mathbb{C}}=A_{1}, A_{\mathbb{H}}=A_{2}$, and $A_{\mathbb{O}}=A_{3}$.
- These algebras have the "usual" properties: $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is commutative, $A_{\mathbb{H}}$ is only associative, and $A_{\mathbb{O}}$ is neither.
- Exercise: $A_{\mathbb{H}} \cong M_{2 \times 2}(F)$ with $X^{*}=\operatorname{adj}(X)$ and $N(X)=\operatorname{det}(X)$.
- Write $S_{\mathbb{R}}, S_{\mathbb{C}}, S_{\mathbb{H}}$, and $S_{\mathbb{O}}$ for the quadric $N(x)=1$ inside of $A_{\mathbb{R}}, A_{\mathbb{C}}$, etc.
- Example: $A_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{A}^{2}$ with the multiplication $(a, b)(c, d)=(a c, b d)$ and conjugation $(a, b)^{*}=(b, a)$. Then $N((a, b))=(a, b)(b, a)=(a b, a b)=a b \cdot 1_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$. So $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ consisting of points $(a, b)$ with $a b=1$. That is, $S_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}-0=S^{1,1}$.


## The first Hopf relation

In the classical world we have $2 \eta=0$, but in the motivic world this is not true. Instead we have the relation

$$
(1-\epsilon) \eta=0, \quad \text { or } \quad \eta=\epsilon \eta \text {. }
$$

The proof follows from the commutativity of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ :


Applying Hopf constructions shows immediately that $\epsilon \eta=\eta$. This argument is due to Morel.

Moral: $1-\epsilon$ plays the role of the 0th motivic Hopf element.

## More on the first Hopf relation

A generalization of the previous argument shows that $\eta \nu=0=\nu \sigma$.
If $A$ is associative and $\alpha \in A$ has norm 1 , then $(a, b) \mapsto(a, \alpha b)$ is an endomorphism of $A^{d b l}$.
We then define maps $e_{i}: \mathbb{S}\left(A_{i}\right) \times \mathbb{S}\left(A_{i+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}\left(A_{i+1}\right)$ by

$$
\alpha,(a, b) \mapsto(a, \alpha b)
$$

and this leads to a big diagram (given for $i=1$ )


A slightly painful analysis ends up showing $\eta \nu=0$.

## A new Hopf relation

One can use properties of $A_{\mathbb{H}}$ to show that $\epsilon \nu=-\nu$.

- Let $c: A_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{H}}$ be the map $(a, b) \mapsto(a,-b)$. This is an automorphism of the algebra, so we have the diagram

- Applying Hopf constructions gives that $\nu \cdot c^{2}=c \cdot \nu$.
- The map $c: S_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{H}}$ can be seen (with some trouble) to represent $-\epsilon$.
- So $\nu \cdot(-\epsilon)^{2}=-\epsilon \nu$, hence $\nu=-\epsilon \nu$.


## The next goal:

It is not possible that $\eta^{3}=12 \nu$ in the motivic world, as $\eta^{3} \in \pi_{3,3}$ whereas $\nu \in \pi_{3,2}$.

The best guess is the relation $\eta^{2} \cdot \eta_{\text {top }}=12 \nu$.

You might suspect that instead of 12 you need $3(1-\epsilon)^{2}$, but the relation $\epsilon \nu=-\nu$ from the previous page tells us that this is unnecessary.

Our hope is to find some proof of this relation coming from properties of Cayley-Dickson algebras. So far it is still a mystery.

Note: The failure of the relation $\eta^{3}=12 \nu$ in some sense explains why the motivic $\eta$ is not nilpotent!

## A consequence

If we know $12 \nu=\eta^{2} \eta_{\text {top }}$ then we also know that $24 \nu=0$, because $2 \eta_{\text {top }}=0$.

The 24 th power map $\mathbb{A}^{1}-0 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}-0$ is $12(1-\epsilon)$ in $\pi_{0,0}(S)$.

So $\rho_{a^{24}} \cdot \nu=\rho_{a} \cdot 12(1-\epsilon) \nu=\rho_{a} \cdot 24 \nu=0$.
We therefore get groups with the "complexity" of $F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{24}$, or equivalently of $F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{2} \oplus F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{3}$.

## Adams spectral sequence techniques

$$
\mathbb{M}_{2}=H^{*, *}\left(\operatorname{Spec} F ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\left[K_{*}^{M}(F) / 2\right][\tau]
$$

There is a tri-graded Adams spectral sequence

$$
\mathrm{Ext}_{A_{m o t}}^{s,(t, u)}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}, \mathbb{M}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s, u}(S)
$$

Let $\rho=[-1] \in F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}=H^{1,1}(\operatorname{Spec} F ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$. This is the image of $\rho \in \pi_{-1,-1}(S)$ under the Hurewicz map.

IMPORTANT FACT: The only part of $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ that is relevant to $A_{\text {mot }}$ are the elements $\tau$ and $\rho$. So the crucial cases to understand are $F=\mathbb{C}$ and $F=\mathbb{R}$.

If $F=F^{2}$ then $\rho=0, \mathbb{M}_{2}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[\tau]$, and the Ext groups are easy to compute:


## Adams spectral sequence techniques

Next, there is a Bockstein spectral sequence that allows one to put the $\rho$ 's back into the picture for the case $F=\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathbb{C}}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[\tau], \mathbb{F}_{2}[\tau]\right)[\rho] \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}, \mathbb{M}_{2}\right)
$$

The spectral sequence does not collapse at a finite page, and a lot of bookkeeping is required, but the differentials can be completely determined (Isaksen).

The patterns that show up are VERY close to what we saw in the chart for $\pi_{*, *}(S)_{\mathbb{Z} / 2}$, for the portion of that chart below the $p=q$ line.

One finds the "Clifford periodicities" from the $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant setting appearing in the pattern of differentials, but going off in only one direction (the direction of negative weight).

## Adams spectral sequence techniques

Based on this data we make the following conjecture for the 1-line: as a module over the 0 -line it is the quotient of

$$
\left[\oplus_{n} \pi_{n, n}(S)\right]\left\langle\nu, \eta_{\text {top }}\right\rangle
$$

by the relations

- $\epsilon \nu=-\nu, \quad \eta \nu=0, \quad 2 \eta_{\text {top }}=0$
- $\eta^{2} \eta_{\text {top }}=12 \nu$
- $\rho^{4} \nu=\rho^{2} \eta_{\text {top }}$

This completely matches with the $\pi_{*, *}(S)_{\mathbb{Z} / 2}$ groups, as well.

## Adams spectral sequence techniques

We can also make conjectures for the first few "lines" beyond the 1-line, but they are more difficult to state and we are not yet sure that all the elements predicted by the ASS are really there.

The Adams spectral predicts an element $\theta \in \pi_{0,-2}(S)$ that is non-torsion. In the $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-equivariant world it is easy to identify $\theta$, but the model is non-algebraic. We do not know if there is an algebraic model for it.

|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 12$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 240$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 12$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{480} \mathbb{Z}_{12} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | (2/24 | $0 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{240} \oplus \pi_{5}$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | (2) | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{240} \mathbb{Z}_{16} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \pi$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3} \oplus \pi_{8}$ |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 12 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 16 \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{240} \pi_{9}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{3}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 16 \oplus \pi_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{10}$ |
| $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\pi_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi_{4}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{5}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \oplus \pi_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \mathbb{Z}_{12} \pi_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \pi_{8}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{9}$ | $0 \oplus \pi_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \mathbb{Z}_{240} \pi_{11}$ |

Araki-Iriye computations

## Thank you!



