Decomposition and the Gross-Taylor string

NYU-Abu Dhabi, "M-theory and mathematics" January 15, 2024

Eric Sharpe, Virginia Tech

An overview of T. Pantev, ES, arXiv:2307.08729

The purpose of this talk today is to reconcile two different perspectives on two-dimensional pure Yang-Mills theories:

1) Decomposition

Two-dimensional pure Yang-Mills $= \bigoplus_R$ (Trivial (invertible) QFTs)

2) Gross-Taylor expansion (Gross, Taylor '93; Cordes, Moore, Ramgoolam '94, ...) Two-dimensional pure Yang-Mills = target-space field theory of a string field theory

Executive summary: Decomposition appears to predict a one-form symmetry in the Gross-Taylor string theory.

(Hellerman, Henriques, Pantev, ES, Ando '06;, Nguyen, Tanizaki, Unsal '21, ...)



Plan of the talk:

1) Review decomposition Focusing on examples of S_n orbifolds & 2d pure YM

2) Gross-Taylor and two puzzles Logic of Gross-Taylor:

3) Proposed resolution

- First rewrite pure YM partition function as a sum of S_n orbifolds, then, interpret those orbifolds as branched covers and then as SFT.
 - We'll see that the S_n orbifolds interlace with decomposition perfectly, but two puzzles arise in the branched covers/SFT interpretation.

The branched cover/SFT interpretation will also be compatible if the GT string is required to have a novel symmetry.

A short review of decomposition



When this happens, we say the QFT 'decomposes.' Decomposition has been explored in many examples, as I'll quickly review. Today: understand decomposition in the Gross-Taylor expansion of 2d pure YM.

- $\ln d > 1$ spacetime dimensions,
- if a local quantum field theory has a global (d 1)-form symmetry, it is equivalent to a disjoint union of other local QFT's, known in this context as `universes.'

We call this **decomposition**.

(2d: Hellerman et al '06, ...; d>2: Tanizaki-Unsal '19, Cherman-Jacobson '20, ...)



More on decomposition...



1) Existence of projection operators The theory contains topological local operators Π_i such that

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_m \rangle = \sum_i \langle \Pi_i \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_m \rangle = \sum_i \langle (\Pi_i \mathcal{O}_1) \cdots (\Pi_i \mathcal{O}_m) \rangle = \sum_i \langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m \rangle_i$$

2) Partition functions decompose

$$Z = \sum_{\text{states}} \exp(-\beta H) = \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \exp(-\beta H_{i}) = \sum_{i} Z_{i}$$

(on a connected spacetime)

What does it mean for one local QFT to be a sum of other local QFTs? (Hellerman et al '06)

- $\Pi_{i}\Pi_{j} = \delta_{i,j}\Pi_{j} \qquad \sum_{i}\Pi_{i} = 1 \qquad [\Pi_{i}, \mathcal{O}] = 0$
 - zable; state space = $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_i$



Decomposition in 2d gauge theories Example:

S'pose have G-gauge theory, G semisimple, with finite central $K \subset G$ acting trivially.

Statement of decomposition (in this example): QFT(G-gauge theory) = \prod QFT(G/K-gauge theory w/ discrete theta angles) char's \hat{K}

(Hellerman et al '06)

- Example: pure SU(2) gauge theory = sum $SO(3)_+ + SO(3)_-$ pure gauge theories where \pm denote discrete theta angles (w₂)

Perturbatively, the SU(2), $SO(3)_{\pm}$ theories are identical - differences are all nonperturbative.

Decomposition in 2d gauge theories Example:

- S'pose have G-gauge theory, G semisimple, with finite central $K \subset G$ acting trivially. As discussed previously, has 1-form symmetry (specifically, *BK*).
- Statement of decomposition (in this example): QFT(G-gauge theory) = \prod QFT(G/K-gauge theory w/ discrete theta angles) char's \hat{K}
 - Example: pure SU(2) gauge theory = sum $SO(3)_+ + SO(3)_-$ pure gauge theories
 - SU(2) instantons (bundles) $\subset SO(3)$ instantons (bundles)
 - The discrete theta angles weight the non-SU(2) SO(3) instantons so as to cancel out of the partition function of the disjoint union.
 - Summing over the SO(3) theories projects out some instantons, giving the SU(2) theory.

(Hellerman et al '06)

where \pm denote discrete theta angles (w₂)



Decomposition in 2d gauge theories Example: Statement of decomposition (in this example): QFT(G-gauge theory) = \prod QFT(G/K-gauge theory w/ discrete theta angles) char's \hat{K}

Formally, the partition function of the disjoint union can be written

$$Z = \sum_{\theta \in \hat{K}} \int [DA] \exp(-S) \exp\left[\theta \int \omega_2(A)\right]$$

Disjoint union

(Hellerman et al '06)

- S'pose have G-gauge theory, G semisimple, with finite central $K \subset G$ acting trivially. As discussed previously, has 1-form symmetry (specifically, BK).

- projection operator $= \int [DA] \exp(-S) \left(\sum_{\theta \in \hat{K}} \exp\left[\theta \int \omega_2(A)\right] \right)$
- where we have moved the summation inside the integral. This is an interference effect between universes: multiverse interference



Decomposition in 2d gauge theories

(Hellerman et al '06)





Decomposition in 2d gauge theories

One effect is a projection on nonperturbative sectors: projection operator $= \int [DA] \exp(-S) \left(\sum_{\substack{o \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}}} \exp\left[\theta \int \omega_2(A)\right] \right)$

$$\sum_{\theta \in \hat{K}} \int [DA] \exp(-S) \exp\left[\theta \int \omega_2(A)\right]$$

Disjoint union

Disjoint union of several QFTs / universes



universe $(SO(3)_{+})$

(Hellerman et al '06)

`One' QFT with a restriction on nonperturbative sectors = `multiverse interference'

Schematically, two theories combine to form a distinct third:

> universe $(SO(3)_{)})$

multiverse interference effect (SU(2))

Since 2005, decomposition has been checked in many examples in many ways. Examples: • GLSM's: mirrors, quantum cohomology rings (Coulomb branch) (T Pantev, ES '05; Gu et al '18-'20) • Orbifolds: partition f'ns, massless spectra, elliptic genera (T Pantev, ES '05; Robbins et al '21) (Hellerman et al hep-th/0606034) • Open strings, K theory This list is incomplete; • Susy gauge theories w/localization (ES 1404.3986) apologies to • Nonsusy pure Yang-Mills ala Migdal (ES '14; Nguyen, Tanizaki, Unsal '21) those not listed. • Adjoint QCD₂ (Komargodski et al '20) • Numerical checks (lattice gauge thy) (Honda et al '21) • Versions in d-dim'l theories w/ (d-1)-form symmetries (Tanizaki, Unsal, '19; Cherman, Jacobson '20)

Applications include:

- Sigma models with target stacks & gerbes (T Pantev, ES '05)

- Elliptic genera (Eager et al '20)

• Predictions for Gromov-Witten theory (checked by H-H Tseng, Y Jiang, E Andreini, etc starting '08) Nonperturbative constructions of geometries in GLSMs (Caldararu et al 0709.3855, Hori '11,, Romo et al '21) • Anomalies in orbifolds (Robbins et al '21)

Today: decomposition in the Gross-Taylor string....







- 2d pure Yang-Mills (decomposing to invertibles)
- 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten theory

Now, part of the Gross-Taylor story is a rewriting of the 2d pure YM partition function as a sum of 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten theories, so its decomposition will also play a role.

We'll discuss each in turn.

Two examples of decomposition will play an important role in this talk:

The role of the first is clear: we're trying to reconcile decomposition of 2d pure Yang-Mills with its description ala Gross-Taylor.



Example: 2d pure Yang-Mills (decomposing to invertibles)

Recall from (Migdal '75, Drouffe '78, Lang et al '81, Menotti et al '81, Rusakov '90) that 2d pure Yang-Mills has been solved exactly.

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2}C_2(R)\right)$$

where

The partition function $Z(\Sigma)$ on a closed Riemann surface Σ of genus p and area A is

- *R* is an irrep of the gauge group
- $C_2(R)$ is the quadratic Casimir of R

How does it decompose?



Example: 2d pure Yang-Mills (decomposing to invertibles)

Recall from (Migdal '75, Drouffe '78, Lang et al '81, Menotti et al '81, Rusakov '90) that 2d pure Yang-Mills has been solved exactly.

The partition function $Z(\Sigma)$ on a closed Riemann surface Σ of genus p and area A is

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2}C_2(R)\right)$$

Decomposes into theories associated with irreps R:

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{R} Z_{R} \qquad Z_{R} = \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2}\frac{A}{2}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

(It can also decompose along center symmetries, but the decomposition along irreps will be the focus of the rest of this talk.)

How to interpret those constituent theories?...



Example: 2d pure Yang-Mills (decomposing to invertibles)

2d pure YM is a disjoint sum of trivial (`invertible') field theories, associated to the irreps R: (Nguyen, Tanizaki, Unsal '21)

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{R} Z_{R} \qquad Z_{R} = \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2}\frac{A}{2}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

The constituent invertible field theories are ~ classical theories, with 1d Fock space (only vacuum), indexed by counterterms:

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{-g} \left(aR + b \right)$$

so the universe associated to irrep R (partition function Z_R) $a(R) = \ln \dim R, \qquad b(R) = -\frac{g_{YM}^2}{2}C_2(R)$ has

when interpret as invertible field theory.

$$Z = \exp\left(a\chi(\Sigma) + b \cdot \operatorname{Area}\right)$$

Next: Dijkgraaf-Witten...



Example: 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten theory

Correlation functions: On a Riemann surface Σ of genus p,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s_1, t_1, \cdots, s_p, t_p \in G} \delta\left(\mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \prod_{i=1}^p s_i t_i s_i^{-1} t_i^{-1}\right)$$

where
$$\delta(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g = 1\\ 0 & g \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

For example, the partition function is $Z = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s_1, t_1, \cdots, s_p}$

- This is a fancy name for an orbifold of a point: [point/G] for G finite
- In cases w/o discrete torsion, operators are twist fields associated to conjugacy classes.

$$\sum_{s_p, t_p \in G} \delta\left(\prod_{i=1}^p s_i t_i s_i^{-1} t_i^{-1}\right)$$

How does it decompose?



Example: 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten theory

This theory also decomposes into a disjoint sum of trivial (`invertible') field theories, associated to the irreps r.

Projection operators P_r exist: $P_r =$

These are projection operators in the ser Correlation functions in the universe associated to irrep r are

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle_r = \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n P_r \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s_1, t_1, \cdots, s_p, t_p \in G} \delta \left(\mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^p s_i t_i s_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} \right) P_r \right)$$

Note $\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle$

$$\frac{\dim r}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_r(g^{-1}) g$$
This can also be written
as a sum over conjugacy class
but this form is simpler.

has that
$$P_r P_s = \delta_{r,s} P_r$$
, $\sum_r P_r = 1$

$$= \sum_{r} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle_r$$

Next: Gross-Taylor...





Next, we turn to the Gross-Taylor expansion of 2d pure SU(N) Yang-Mills.

They argued that at large N, this is a target-space SFT of some other 2d string theory, via a series expansion of the partition functions.

Let's review. On a closed Riemann surface

$$Z\left(\Sigma_{T}\right) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2N}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

Strictly speaking, to get the right large N asymptotics, we need to write irreps R in terms of coupled representations. For sake of time, and b/c it doesn't significantly affect our result, I'll gloss over that step.

- - as a sum over S_n 's and S_n irrep data,
- where *n* is the num' boxes in Young tableau for irrep *R*,
- and then interpret in terms of branched covers of Σ_T



$$\Sigma_T$$
 of genus *p* and area *A*,

Basic strategy: rewrite the sum over SU(N) irrep data,

$$Z\left(\Sigma_T\right) = \sum_R \left(\dim R\right)$$

Expand the terms using Schur-Weyl duality:

 $\frac{SU(N) \text{ data}}{\text{(fixed irrep } R)} \longrightarrow \left(\dim R(Y)\right)^m = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^m$

where

Y = Young tableau associated with SU(N) irrep R n = num' boxes in Young tableau Y

$$\Omega_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} N^{K_{\sigma} - n} \sigma$$

 $R\Big)^{2-2p}\exp\left(-g_{YM}^2\frac{A}{2N}C_2(R)\right)$

- Let's rewrite in terms of irreps & characters of the finite symmetric group S_n

$$\frac{N^n \dim r(Y)}{|S_n|} \int^m \frac{\chi_{r(Y)} \left((\Omega_n)^m \right)}{\dim r(Y)} \quad \checkmark \quad S_n \text{ data}$$

 $r(Y) = S_n$ irrep associated to Y (and hence R = R(Y))

K_{σ} = num' cycles in the cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in S_{n}$

$$Z\left(\Sigma_{T}\right) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2N}C_{2}(R)\right)$$
$$\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{m} = \left(\frac{N^{n}\dim r(Y)}{|S_{n}|}\right)^{m} \frac{\chi_{r(Y)}\left((\Omega_{n})^{m}\right)}{\dim r(Y)}$$

Use the identity

$$\sum_{s,t\in G} \chi_r \left(sts^{-1}t^{-1} \right) =$$

$$\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{m} = N^{nm} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_{n}|}\right)^{m+2p} \sum_{s_{1},t_{1},\cdots,s_{p},t_{p}\in S_{n}} \frac{\chi_{r}\left((\Omega_{n})^{m}\prod_{i=1}^{p}s_{i}t_{i}s_{i}^{-1}t_{i}^{-1}\right)}{\dim r(Y)}$$
$$= N^{nm} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_{n}|}\right)^{m+2p-1} \sum_{s_{1},t_{1},\cdots,s_{p},t_{p}\in S_{n}} \frac{\delta\left((\Omega_{n})^{m}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p}s_{i}t_{i}s_{i}^{-1}t_{i}^{-1}\right)P_{r(Y)}\right)}{\dim r(Y)}$$

 $\left(\frac{|G|}{\dim r}\right)^2 \dim r \qquad \text{to show}$

One more step....

$$Z\left(\Sigma_{T}\right) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2N}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

So far:
$$\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{m} = N^{nm} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_{n}|}\right)^{m+2p-1} \sum_{s_{1},t_{1},\cdots,s_{p},t_{p} \in S_{n}} \frac{\delta\left(\left(\Omega_{n}\right)^{m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{p} s_{i}t_{i}s_{i}^{-1}t_{i}^{-1}\right)P_{r(Y)}\right)}{\dim r(Y)}$$

Use the identity

$$\frac{C_{2}(R(Y))}{N} = n + \frac{2}{N} \frac{\chi_{r(Y)}(T_{2})}{\dim r(Y)} - \frac{n^{2}}{N^{2}}$$
to write

$$\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2N}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

$$= N^{n(2-2p)} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_{n}|}\right) \sum_{s_{1},t_{1},\cdots,s_{p},t_{p} \in S_{n}} \frac{\delta\left((\Omega_{n})^{2-2p} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{p} s_{i}t_{i}S_{i}^{-1}t_{i}^{-1}\right)P_{r(Y)}\right)}{\dim r(Y)} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2}n\right)$$

+ subleading

Finally, we have the Gross-Taylor series expansion.

The partition function of two-dimensional pure SU(N) Yang-Mills

$$Z\left(\Sigma_{T}\right) = \sum_{R} \left(\dim R\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^{2} \frac{A}{2N}C_{2}(R)\right)$$

h

has now been rewritten in terms of
$$S_n$$
's and S_n irrep data:
 $\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2N}C_2(R)\right) \xrightarrow{SU(N) \text{ data}}_{\text{(fixed irrep }R)} \xrightarrow{S_n \text{ data}}_{\text{(fixed irrep }R)} \xrightarrow{S_$

Strictly speaking, we need to break up each irrep R into coupled reps; however, the analysis is nearly identical, and the expression above emerges as one of two chiral components.

+ subleading

Next: interpretation....



Let's interpret:

$$\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2N}C_2(R)\right)$$

$$= N^{n(2-2p)} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_n|}\right) \sum_{s_1, t_1, \dots, s_p, t_p \in S_n} \frac{\delta\left((\Omega_n)^{2-2p} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p s_i t_i s_i^{-1} t_i^{-1}\right) P_{r(Y)}\right)}{\dim r(Y)} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2}n\right)$$

The RHS (above) is a sum of 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten correlation functions for group S_n . In fact, note that the correlation functions have projectors $P_{r(Y)}$ - these are correlation functions in the universe associated to r(Y) !

Takeaway: the partition function of a single universe in the decomposition of 2d pure YM, is a sum of correlation functions in a single universe of 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten for S_n .

Partition function of a single universe in the decomposition of 2d pure YM.

+ subleading

Perfect match! Next: Gross-Taylor and 2d strings....





So far: written partition function of a single universe of 2d pure SU(N) Yang-Mills as a sum of correlation functions in a single universe of 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten for S_n

Decomposition meshes perfectly!

Next: interpret in terms of branched covers of the Riemann surface Σ_T

Interpretation of S_n Dijkgraaf-Witten in terms of branched *n*-covers

For simplicity, let's take the Riemann surface $\Sigma_T = S^2$

If there are no insertions, then, identify the cover with a disjoint union S^2 n

An insertion of $g \in S_n$ corresponds to a branch point of monodromy g, that ties the *n* sheets of the cover together.

(Gross, Taylor '93)

Let's see some examples....



Examples: $\Sigma_T = S^2$, n = 2: double covers of S^2 $\langle 1 \rangle =$ $\langle g^2 \rangle$

Interpretation of S_n Dijkgraaf-Witten in terms of branched *n*-covers



 S^2 as branched double cover of S^2 ; branch pts at poles, and wraps.

Let's apply to the (original) Gross-Taylor expansion:

$$\sum_{R} \left(\dim R(Y) \right)^{2-2p} \exp \left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2N} C_2(R) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r} N^{n(2-2p)} \left(\frac{\dim r(Y)}{|S_n|} \right) \sum_{s_1, t_1, \dots, s_p, t_p \in S_n} \frac{\delta \left((\Omega_n)^{2-2p} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p s_i t_i s_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} \right) \right)}{\dim r(Y)} \exp \left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2} n \right)$$

$$\Omega_{n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} N^{K_{\sigma}-n} \sigma$$

$$n(2-2p) + \sum_{j} \left(K_{\sigma_{j}} - n \right) = n\chi \left(\Sigma_{T} \right) + \sum_{j} \left(K_{\sigma_{j}} - n \right)$$

$$= \chi \left(\Sigma_{W} \right) \qquad \text{(Riemann-Hurwitz theorem)}$$

Powers of *N*:

+ subleading

This is the expansion of the full YM theory — includes sum over all representations (so the projectors $P_{r(Y)}$ sum out — we'll return to them when we look at individual universes).

where Σ_W is a branched *n*-fold cover of Σ_T

Let's apply to the (original) Gross-Taylor expansion:

$$\sum_{R} \left(\dim R(Y) \right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2N} C_2(R) \right)$$

=
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i, t_i \in S_n} \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_L \in S_n} N^{\chi(\Sigma_W)}(\#) \,\delta\left(v_1 \cdots v_L \left(\prod_{i=1}^p [s_i, t_i] \right) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{A}{\alpha'_{GT}} n \right)$$

+ subleading

where

$$\Sigma_W = \text{branched } n\text{-fold cover of } \Sigma_T,$$

 $\alpha'_{GT} = \frac{2}{g_{YM}^2}$

This is the form expected if 2d pure YM is the SFT of a sigma model $\Sigma_W \to \Sigma_T$, at large N

, branched over L points

= misc' numerical factors, which match Euler char' of space of maps



Now let's turn to the decomposition. The partition function of a single universe of 2d pure YM is $\left(\dim R(Y)\right)^{2-2p} \exp\left(-g_{YM}^2 \frac{A}{2N}C_2(R)\right)$ $= \sum_{s_i, t_i \in S_n} \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v_1, \cdots, v_L \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_{v_i \in S_n} N^{\chi(\widetilde{\Sigma}_W)}(\#) \right)$

- Restrict to single SU(N) irrep R(Y)
- plus added factor of projector $P_{r(Y)}$ in the delta function

This means:

- 1) Sigma model is restricted to maps of a single degree (*n*)
- 2) Presence of projector $P_{r(Y)}$ implies add'l contributions not present previously

$$\left(v_{1}\cdots v_{L}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p}\left[s_{i},t_{i}\right]\right)P_{r(Y)}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{A}{\alpha_{GT}'}n\right)$$
+ subleading

• which fixes n = num' boxes in Young diagram Y for irrep R(Y) = covering map deg'



- So, we have puzzles to explain in the expansion of a single YM universe: 1) Sigma model is restricted to maps of a single degree (*n*) 2) Presence of projector $P_{r(Y)}$ implies add'l contributions not present previously
 - In broad brushstrokes, both phenomena are typical in decomposition:
 - Restrictions on instantons / nonperturbative sectors
 - Individual universes can receive contributions which cancel out in sums over universes
 - as we saw previously in the $SU(2) = SO(3)_+ \coprod SO(3)_-$ example.
 - However, the details here are more extreme:
 - Restrictions are usually to a subset of instantons, not to a single instanton degree
- Here the extra contributions would expand possible worldsheets beyond smooth Riemann surfaces
 - Let's examine in detail....



In a 2d NLSM, this is a restriction to (worldsheet) instantons of a single degree.

This is more extreme than we ordinarily see in decomposition.

the Gross-Taylor string has a symmetry for which map degree is a conserved quantity.

Furthermore, labelling field configurations by instanton number is typically just an artifact of a semiclassical expansion, and ordinarily does not have an intrinsic meaning in QFT.

Proposal:

But map degree is a 2-form $(\phi^*\omega)$, so such a symmetry would be either a 1-form or (-1)-form symmetry.

- Proposal:
- the Gross-Taylor string has a symmetry for which map degree is a conserved quantity.
 - But map degree is a 2-form $(\phi^*\omega)$, so such a symmetry would be either a 1-form or (-1)-form symmetry.

To make this more concrete, next I'll walk through a related example, where precisely this happens: 2d pure Maxwell theory.



2d pure Maxwell theory:

- Pure Maxwell theory in any dimension has a global BU(1) (1-form) symmetry:
 - $A \mapsto A + \Lambda$
- and Noether current $J^e = *F$, associated to operator $U_{\alpha}(p) = \exp(i\alpha * F(p))$
 - In 2d, it also has a magnetic (-1)-form symmetry,
 - with current $J^m = F$, associated to operator $U_\beta(\Sigma) = \exp\left(i\beta \int_{\Sigma} F\right)$
 - So, the symmetries are of the same form as proposed for Gross-Taylor, making it a useful prototype....

2d pure Maxwell theory:

$$Z(\Sigma) = \int [DA] \exp(-S) \quad \text{for}$$
$$\propto \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{g_{YM}^2 A} + i\theta n\right)$$

After Poisson resummation,

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{g_{YM}^2 A}{4} \left(\theta + 2\pi m\right)^2\right)$$

Decomposes into universes indexed by *m* (irreps of U(1)), Poisson dual to $n \sim c_1$.

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int_{\Sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i\theta \int_{\Sigma} F$$

where $n \sim c_1 \sim \int F$

This is the form of the exact expression for pure YM.

(Paniak, Szabo '02; Gross, Matytsin, '94; Minahan, Polychronakos, '93; Caselle et al '93; Fine '90)









2d pure Maxwell theory:

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{g_{YM}^2 A}{4} \left(\theta + 2\pi m\right)^2\right) \qquad \propto \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{g_{YM}^2 A} + i\theta n\right)$$

Decomposes into universes indexed by *m* (irreps of U(1)), Poisson dual to $n \sim c_1$.

Partition function of a single universe is

Analogue of the Witten effect: Shifting $\theta \mapsto \theta + 2\pi$ is equivalent to changing the universe: $m \mapsto m + 1$

s
$$\exp\left(-\frac{g_{YM}^2A}{4}\left(\theta+2\pi m\right)^2\right)$$

2d pure Maxwell theory:

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{g_{YM}^2 A}{4}(\theta + 2\pi)\right)$$

In Gross-Taylor, we propose there exists a symmetry which allows us to pick out sectors of single map degree (single worldsheet instanton number), which is analogous.



This is a prototype for the Gross-Taylor proposal:

there's a decomposition, into universes indexed by *m*, which is Poisson dual to the bundle degree.


1) Sigma model is restricted to maps of a single degree (n)

So far, we've proposed that the Gross-Taylor string admits an extra symmetry. Can that be seen directly?

- There are (at least) 2 proposals in the literature for the Gross-Taylor string:
- 1) Cordes-Moore-Ramgoolam: GT string = modification of A model TFT Standard kinetic terms; localizes on holomorphic maps $\{\overline{\partial}x = 0\}$
- 2) Horava: GT string = twisted NLSM with nonstandard kinetic terms Localizes on harmonic maps $\{\partial \overline{\partial} x = 0\}$
 - The desired symmetry is not immediately visible in either; might be realized nonlinearly, or, maybe there exists a third version.

Review: puzzles to explain in the expansion of a single YM universe:

1) Sigma model is restricted to maps of a single degree (n) We've argued this implies the GT string has a new symmetry.

2) Presence of projector $P_{r(Y)}$ implies add'l contributions not present previously We'll study this problem next.

How to interpret? $N^{\chi} = N^3 \operatorname{so} \chi = 3$, but no closed string worldsheet has χ odd

The N^3 term is new — not present in original GT — present here only b/c of P_r .

2) Presence of projector $P_{r(Y)}$ implies add'l contributions not present previously How to interpret? No closed string worldsheet has χ odd Some options:

• Expand out the projector P_r

• Open string?

In the previous example, we'd get a term prop' to $N^3\delta(vv)$. From the delta, should be S^2 , but wrong Euler characteristic.

- Subleading corrections were interpreted in the old literature as nonpert' corrections; open string worldsheets could have odd χ
 - But these terms aren't all subleading, so expect them to be perturbative, hence not from open worldsheets.

How to interpret? No closed string worldsheet has χ odd

Another possible option: stacky worldsheets

$$Z = \frac{N^4}{2!} \delta(P_r)$$
$$= \frac{N^4}{4} \pm \frac{N^4}{2}$$

$$\chi\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{[1,2]}\right) = 3/2$$

Returning to previous example ($\Sigma_T = S^2$, n = 2): $P_{r} + 2\frac{N^{3}}{2!}\delta\left(vP_{r}\right) + \frac{N^{2}}{2!}\delta\left(v^{2}P_{r}\right)$ $\frac{N^3}{2} + \frac{N^2}{4}$

Interpret as 2 copies of S^2 with a single \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold point ($\mathbb{P}^1_{[1,2]}$) $\chi \left(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{[1,2]} \coprod \mathbb{P}^{1}_{[1,2]} \right) = (2)(3/2) = 3$

matches power of *N*!

Another possible option: stacky worldsheets

- How to interpret? No closed string worldsheet has χ odd
- For $\Sigma_T = S^2$, there is a systematic construction of stacky Σ_W 's (here, Riemann surfaces w/ orbifold points) that gives matching powers of N.
- Idea: Given $\delta(v_1 \cdots v_L)$, write each $v_i \in S_n$ as a product of cycles. On *j*th copy of S^2 , if *j* appears in a cycle of length *k*, insert \mathbb{Z}_k
 - Example: S'pose n = 6 and v = (12)(345)(6)
- Then, insert \mathbb{Z}_2 on 2 copies, \mathbb{Z}_3 on 3 copies, smooth pt on last copy.
- Can show $\chi = n(2 2p) + \sum (K_{v_i} n)$ which matches power of N

Another possible option: stacky worldsheets

Issues:

- Construction only understood for S^2 , not higher genus
- Construction not unique orb' points can be redistributed across sheets of cover • Have not tried to compare Hurwitz moduli spaces in general cases

- How to interpret? No closed string worldsheet has χ odd

- In the same spirit, at least on $\Sigma_T = S^2$,
- one can reinterpret the terms as contributions from `stacky' copies of Σ_T , meaning, copies with orbifold points.

This is in the spirit of the decomposition: instead of a sigma model summing over maps $\Sigma_W \to \Sigma_T$, this would reflect a decomposition, to trivial field theories (corresponding to copies of Σ_T).

Summary: reconciling decomposition & GT string pictures of 2d pure YM

1) Reviewed decomposition Focusing on examples of S_n orbifolds & 2d pure YM

2) Gross-Taylor and the puzzles

Logic of Gross-Taylor:

3) Proposed resolution

- First rewrote pure YM partition function as a sum of S_n orbifolds, then, interpreted those orbifolds as branched covers and then as SFT.
 - We saw that the S_n orbifolds interlace with decomposition perfectly, but two puzzles arise in the branched covers/SFT interpretation.

The branched cover/SFT interpretation will also be compatible if the GT string is required to have a novel symmetry.

Thank you for your time!

The partition function Z, on a Riemann surface of genus g, is

(Migdal, Rusakov) $Z(SU(2)) = \sum_{R} (\dim R)^{2-2g} \exp(-AC_2(R))$ Sum over all SU(2) reps $Z(SO(3)_{+}) = \sum_{R} (\dim R)^{2-2g} \exp(-AC_{2}(R))$ Sum over all SO(3) reps

(Tachikawa '13)

$$Z(SO(3)_{-}) = \sum_{R} (\dim R)^{2-2g} \exp(-$$

(Later we'll review a more extreme decomposition of 2d pure YM, which we'll compare to GT.)

Before going on, let's quickly check these claims for pure SU(2) Yang-Mills in 2d.

Sum over all SU(2) reps $-AC_{2}(R))$ that are not SO(3) reps Result: $Z(SU(2)) = Z(SO(3)_+) + Z(SO(3)_-)$ as expected.

1) Sigma model is restricted to maps of a single degree (n)

In decomposition, one often sees restrictions on instanton degrees.

Let's take a moment to review some underlying physics....

In a 2d NLSM, this is a restriction to (worldsheet) instantons of a single degree.

- For example, in the $SU(2) = SO(3)_+$ $SO(3)_-$ example, SU(2) instantons are a subset of SO(3) instantons.
 - However, in that case, and most other examples, one restricts to a subset of instantons, not to instantons of a single degree.

Suppose we try to require that the total instanton number always vanish in our QFT. Start with a field configuration with no net instantons. Now, move them far away from one another:

anti-instanton



Total instanton number : o

Nonzero instanton number here!

> If physics is local ("cluster decomposition"), then in those widely-separated regions, the theories have instantons. So, even if we start with no net instantons, cluster decomposition implies we get instantons!

Nonzero instanton number here!



Cluster decomposition:



For this reason, Steven Weinberg taught us: All local quantum field theories must sum over all instantons, so as to preserve cluster decomposition.

Disjoint unions of QFTs also violate cluster decomposition Loophole: (ex: multiple dimension zero operators), but in principle are straightforward to deal with.

So, if a theory with a restriction on instantons is also a disjoint union, of theories which are well-behaved, then all is OK.

