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REPRINTS.
Power operations in K -theory

## INTRODUCTION

These notes are based on the course of lectures I gave at Harvard in the fall of 1964. They constitute a self-contained account of vector bundles and K-theory assuming only the rudiments of pointset topology and linear algebra. One of the features of the treatment is that no use is made of ordinary homology or cohomology theory. In fact rational cohomology is defined in terms of K -theory.

The theory is taken as far as the solution of the Hopf invariant problem and a start is made on the J -homomorphism. In addition to the lecture notes proper two papers of mine published since 1964 have been reproduced at the end. The first, dealing with operations, is a natural supplement to the material in Chapter III. It provides an alternative approach to operations which is less slick but more fundamental than the Grothendieck method of Chapter III and it relates operations and filtration. Actually the lectures deal with compact spaces not cell-complexes and so the skeleton-filtration does not figure in the notes. The second paper provides a new approach to real K -theory and so fills an obvious gap in the lecture notes.

## CHAPTER I. Vector Bundles

81. 82. Basic definitions. We shall develop the theory of complex vector bundles only, though much of the elementary theory is the same for real and symplectic bundles. Therefore, by vector space, we shall always understand complex vector space unless otherwise specified.

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a topological space. A family of vector spaces over $X$ is a topological space $E$, together with:
(i) a continuous map $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$
(ii) a finite dimensional vector space structure on each

$$
E_{x}=p^{-1}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in X
$$

compatible with the topology on $E_{x}$ induced from E.
The map $p$ is calted the projection map, the space $E$ is called the total space of the family, the space $X$ is called the base space of the family, and if $x \in X, E_{x}$ is called the fiber over $x$.

A section of a family $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map $s: X \rightarrow E$ such that $p s(x)=x$ for all $x \in X$.

A homomorphism from one family $p: E \rightarrow X$ to another family $q: F \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ such that:
(i) $\mathbf{q} \varphi=\mathrm{p}$
(ii) for each $x G X, \varphi: E_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}$ is a linear map of vector spaces.

We say that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism if $\varphi$ is bijective and $\varphi^{-1}$ is continuous. If there exists an isomorphism between $E$ and F, we say that they are isomorphic.

Example 1. Let $V$ be a vector space, and let $E=X \times V$, $p: E \rightarrow X$ be the projection onto the first factor. $E$ is called the product family with fiber $V$. If $F$ is any family which is isomorphic to some product family, $F$ is said to be a trivial family.

If $Y$ is a subspace of $X$, and if $E$ is a family of vector spaces over $X$ with projection $p, p: P^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is clearly a family over $Y$. We call it the restriction of $E$ to $Y$, and denote it by $\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{Y}$. More generally, if Y is any space, and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map, then we define the induced family $\mathbf{f}^{*}(\mathrm{p}): \mathbf{f}^{*}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ as follows:
$f^{*}(E)$ is the subspace of $Y \times E$ consisting of all points ( $y$, e) such that $f(y)=p(e)$, together with the obvious projaction maps and vector space structures on the fibers. If $g: Z \rightarrow Y$, then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{f}^{*}(\mathrm{E}) \cong(\mathrm{fg})^{*}(\mathrm{E})$ given by sending each point of the form ( $z, e$ ) into the point $(z, g(z), e)$, where $z \in Z$, e $\in E$. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is an inclusion map, clearly there is an isomorphism $E \mid Y \cong f^{*}(E)$ given by sonding each e $\in E$ into the corresponding ( $p(e), e$ ).

A family $E$ of vector spaces over $X$ is said to be locally trivial if every $x \in X$ posesses a neighborhood $U$ such that $E \mid U$ is trivial. A locally trivial family will also be called a vector bundle, A trivial family will be called a trivial bundle. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$, and if $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$, it is easy to see that $f^{*}(E)$ is a vector bundle over $Y$. We shall call $f^{*}(E)$ the induced bundle in this case.

Example 2. Let $V$ be a vector space, and let $X$ be its associated projective space. We define $E \subset X \times V$ to be the set of all ( $x, v$ ) such that $x \in X, v \in V$, and $v$ lies in the line determining $x$. We leave it to the reader to show that $E$ is actually a vector bundle.

Notice that if $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{X}\right)$ is a locally constant function on $X$, and hence is a constant on each connected component of $X$. If $d i m\left(E_{x}\right)$ is a constant on the whole of $X$, then $E$ is said to have a dimension, and the dimension of $E$ is the common number $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{x}\right)$ for all $x$. (Caution: the dimension of $E$ so defined is usually different from the dimension of E as a topological space.)

Since a vector bundle is locally trivial, any section of a vector bundle is locally described by a vector valued function on the base space. If E is a vector bundle, we denote by $\bar{\Gamma}(E)$ the set of all sections of $E$. Since the set of functions on a space
with values in a fixed vector space is itself a vector space, we see that $\Gamma(E)$ is a vector space in a natural way.

Suppose that $V$, $W$ are vector spaces, and that $E=X \times V, F=X \times W$ are the corresponding product bundles. Then any homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ determines a map $\Phi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$ by the formula $\varphi(x, v)=(x, \phi(x) v)$. Moreover, if we give Hom(V, W) its usual topology, then $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is continuous; conversely, any such continuous map $\Phi: X \rightarrow H o m(V, W)$ determines a homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$. (This is most easily seen by taking bases $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ for $V$ and $W$ respectively. Then each $\Phi(x)$ is represented by a matrix $\Phi(x)_{i, j}$, where

$$
\Phi(x) e_{i}=\sum_{j} \Phi(x)_{i, j} f_{j}
$$

The continuity of either $\varphi$ or $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is equivalent to the continuity of the functions $\Phi_{i, j}$.)

Let Iso(V,W) CHom (V, W) be the subspace of all isomorphisms between $V$ and $W$. Clearly, Iso(V, W) is an open set in $\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$. Further, the inverse map $T \rightarrow T^{-1}$ gives us a continuous map $I s o(V, W) \rightarrow I s o(W, V)$. Suppose that $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ ds such that $\varphi_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow F_{X}$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$. This is equivalent to the statement that $\Phi(X) \in \operatorname{Iso}(V, W)$. The map $x \rightarrow \Phi(x)^{-1}$ defines $\Psi: X \rightarrow I s o(W, V)$, which is continuous. Thus the corresponding map $\psi: F \rightarrow E$ is continuous. Thus
$\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism if and only if it is bijective or, equivaleatly, $\varphi$ is an isomorphism if and only if each $\varphi_{x}$ is an isomorphism. Further, since Iso(V,W) is open in Hom(V,W), we see that for any homomorphism $\varphi$, the set of those points $x \in X$ for which $\varphi_{X}$ is an isomorphism form an open subset of X. All of these assertions are local in nature, and therefore are valid for vector bundles as well as for trivial families.

Remark: The finite dimensionality of $V$ is basic to the previous argument, If one wants to consider infinite dimensional vector bundles, then one must distinguigh between the different operator topologies on Hom (V, W).
\$1. 2. Operations on vector bundles. Natural operations on vector spaces, such as direct sum and tensor product, can be extended to vector bundles. The only troublesome question is how one should topologize the resulting spaces. We shall give a general method for extending operations from vector spaces to vector bundles which will handle all of these problems uniformly.

Let $T$ be a functor which carries finite dimensional vector spaces into finite dimensional vector spaces. For simplicity, we assume that $T$ is a covariant functor of one variable. Thus, to every vector space $V$, we have an associated vector space $T(V)$. We shall say that $T$ is a continuous functor if for all $V$ and $W$, the map $T: \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T(V), T(W))$ is continuous.

If $E$ is a vector bundle, we define the set $T(E)$ to be the union

$$
\bigcup_{x \in X} T\left(E_{x}\right)
$$

and, if $\varphi: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$, we define $T(\varphi): T(E) \rightarrow T(F)$ by the maps $T\left(\varphi_{x}\right): T\left(E_{x}\right) \rightarrow T\left(F_{x}\right)$. What we must show is that $T(E)$ has a natural topology, and that, in this topology, $T(\varphi)$ is continuous.

We begin by defining $T(E)$ in the case that $E$ is a product bundle. If $E=X \times V$, we define $T(E)$ to be $X \times T(V)$ in the
product topology. Suppose that $F=X \times W$, and that $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$ be the corresponding map. Since, by hypothesis, $T: H o m(V, W)$ $\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T(V), T(W))$ is continuous, $T \Phi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T(V), T(W))$ is continuous. Thus $T(\varphi): X \times T(V) \rightarrow X \times T(W)$ is also continuous. If $\varphi$ is an isomorphism, then $\mathrm{T} \varphi$ will be an isomorphism since it is continuous and an isomorphism on each fiber.

Now suppose that $E$ is trivial, but has no preferred product structure. Choose an isomorphism $\alpha: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{V}$, and topologize $T(E)$ by requiring $T(\alpha): T(E) \rightarrow X \times T(V)$ to be a homeomorphism. If $\beta: E \rightarrow X \times W$ is any other isomorphism, by letting $\varphi=\beta \alpha^{-1}$ above, we see that $T(\alpha)$ and $T(\beta)$ induce the same topology on $T(E)$, since $T(\varphi)=T(\beta) T(\alpha)^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism. Thus, the topology on E does not depend on the choice of $\alpha$. Further, if $Y \in X$, it is clear that the topology on $T(E) \mid Y$ is the same as that on $T(E \mid Y)$. Finally, if $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism of trivial buncles, we see that $T(\varphi): T(E)-T(F)$ is continuous, and therefore is a homomorphism.

Now suppose that E is any vector bundle. Then if $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ is such that $\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{U}$ is trivial, we topologize $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{U})$ as above. We topologize $T(E)$ by taking for the open sets, those subsets $V \subset T(E)$ such that $V \cap(E) \mid U)$ is open in $T(E \mid U)$ for all open $U \subset X$ for which $E \mid U$ is trivial. The reader can
now easily verify that if $Y \subset X$, the topology on $T(E \mid Y)$ is the same as that on $T(E) \mid Y$, and that, if $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ is any homomorphism, $T(\varphi): T(E) \rightarrow T(F)$ is also a homomorphism.

If $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is a continuous map and E is a vector bundle over $X$ then, for any continuous functor $T$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$
f^{*} T(E) \cong T f^{*}(E)
$$

The case when $T$ has several variables both covariant and contravariant, proceeds similarly. Therefore we can define for vector bundles $E, F$ corresponding bundles:
(i) $E \oplus F$, their direct sum
(ii) $E \otimes F$, their tensor product
(iii) $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$
(iv) $\mathrm{E}^{*}$, the dual bundle of E
(v) $\quad \lambda^{i}(E)$, where $\lambda^{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ exterior power.

We also obtain natural isomorphisms
(i) $E \oplus F \cong F \oplus E$
(ii) $E \otimes F \cong F \otimes E$
(iii) $E \otimes\left(F^{\prime} \oplus F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong\left(E \oplus F^{\prime}\right) \oplus\left(E \otimes F^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iv) $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F) \cong E^{*} \otimes F$
(v) $\quad \lambda^{k}(E \oplus F) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k}\left(\lambda^{i}(E) \otimes \lambda^{j}(F)\right) \quad$.

Finally, notice that sections of Hom (E, F) correspond in a 1-1 fashion with homomorphisms $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$. We therefore define HOM ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$ ) to be the vector space of all homomorphisms from $E$ to $F$, and make the identification $\operatorname{HOM}(E, F)=\Gamma(\operatorname{Hom}(E, F))$.
81.3. Sub-bundles and quotient bundles, Let $E$ be a vector bundle. A sub-bundle of $E$ is a subset of $E$ which is a bundle in the induced structure.

A homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ is called a monomorphism (respectively epimorphism) if each $\varphi_{x}: F_{x} \rightarrow E_{x}$ is a monomorphism (respectively epimorphism). Notice that $\varphi: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow E$ is a monomorphism if and only if $\varphi^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow F^{*}$ is an epimorphism, If $F$ is a sub-bundle of $E$, and if $\varphi: F \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion map, then $\varphi$ is a monomorphism.

LEMMA 1.3.1. If $\varphi: F \rightarrow E$ is a monomorphism, then $\varphi(F)$ is a sub-bundle of $E$, and $\varphi: F \rightarrow \varphi(F)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof: $\varphi: F \rightarrow \varphi(F)$ is a bijection, so if $\varphi(F)$ is a subbundle, $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. Thus we need only show that $\varphi(F)$ is a sub-bundle.

The problem is local, so it suffices to consider the case when $E$ and $F$ are product bundles. Let $E=X \times V$ and let $x \in X$; choose $W_{x} \subset V$ to be a subspace complementary to $\varphi\left(F_{X}\right) . \quad G=X \times W_{x}$ is a sub-bundle of $E$. Define $\theta: F \oplus G \rightarrow E$ by $\theta(a \oplus b)=\varphi(a)+i(b)$, where $i: G \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion. By construction, $\theta_{x}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $\theta \mid U$ is an isomorphism, $F$ is a sub-bundle of $F \oplus G$, so $\theta(F)=\varphi(F)$ is a sub-bundie of $\theta(F \oplus G)=E$ on $U$.

Notice that in our argument, we have shown more than we have stated. We have shown that if $\varphi: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$, then the set of points for which $\varphi_{x}$ is a monomorphism form an open set. Aiso, we have shown that, locally, a sub-bundle is a direct summand, This second fact allows us to define quotient bundles.

DEFINITION 1. 3.1. If $F$ is a sub-bundle of $E$, the quotient bundle $E / F$ is the union of all the vector spaces $E_{x} / F_{x}$ given the quotient topology.

Since $F$ is locally a direct summand in $E$, we see that E/F is locally trivial, and thus is a bundle. This justifies the terminology.

If $\varphi: F \rightarrow E$ is an arbitrary homomorphism, the function dimension(kernel ( $\varphi_{x}$ )) need not be constant, or even locally constant.

DEFINTION 1. 3, 2. $\quad \varphi: F \rightarrow E$ is said to be a strict homomorphism if dimension(kernel $\left(\varphi_{x}\right)$ ) is locally constant.

PROPOSITION 1.3.2. If $\varphi: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is strict, then:
(i) $\operatorname{kernel}(\varphi)={\underset{X}{x}}^{\operatorname{kernel}\left(\varphi_{x}\right) \quad \text { is a sub-bundie of } F}$
(ii) image $(\varphi)=\underset{X}{U}$ image $\left(\varphi_{x}\right)$ is a sub-bundle of $E$
(iii) cokernel $(\varphi)=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{U_{\mathrm{x}}}$ cokernel $\left(\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}\right)$ is a bundle in the quotient structure.

Proof: Notice that (ii) implies (1ii) . We first prove (ii). The problem is local, so we can assume $F=X \times V$ for some $V$. Given $x \in X$, we choose $W_{x} \subset V$ complementary to $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ in V . Put $G=\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}$; then $\varphi$ induces, by composition with the inclusion, a homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow E$, such that $\psi_{x}$ is a monomorphism. Thus, $\psi$ is a monomorphism in some neighborhood $U$ of $x$. Therefore, $\psi(G) \mid U$ is a sub-bundle of $E \mid U$. However, $\phi(G) \subset \varphi(F)$, and since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi\left(F_{y}\right)\right)$ is constant for all $y$, and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\phi\left(G_{y}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\psi\left(G_{x}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi\left(F_{x}\right)\right)\right.$ $=\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi\left(F_{y}\right)\right.$ for all $y \in U, \notin(G)|U=\varphi(F)| U$. Thus $\varphi(F)$ is a sub-bundle of $E$.

Finally, we mast prove (i). Clearly, $\varphi^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow F^{*}$ ia strict. Since $F^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)$ is an opimorphism, (coker $\left.\left(\varphi^{*}\right)\right)^{*}$ $\rightarrow F^{* *}$ is a monomorphism. However, for each $x$ we have a natural commutative diagram

in which the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Thus $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi) \cong\left(\operatorname{cok} e r\left(\varphi^{*}\right)\right)^{*}$ and 80, by $(1,3,1)$, is a sub-bundle of $F$.

Again, we have proved something more than we have stated. Our argument shows that for any $x \in X, \operatorname{dim} \varphi_{x}\left(F_{x}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} \varphi_{y}\left(F_{y}\right)$
for all $y \in U, U$ some neighborhood of $x$. Thus, rank $\left(\varphi_{x}\right)$ is an upper semi-continuous function of $x$.

DEFINITION 1, 3.3. A projection operator P:E $\rightarrow$ E is a homomorphism such that $P^{2} \approx P$.

Notice that $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{x}\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(1-P_{x}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E_{x}$ so that, since both rank $\left(P_{x}\right)$ and rank ( $1-P_{x}$ ) are upper semicontinuous functions of $x$, they are locally constant. Thus both $P$ and l-P are strict homomorphisms, Since ker $(P)$ $=(1-P) E, E$ is the direct sum of the two sub-bundles PE and (1-P)E. Thus any projection operator $P: E \rightarrow E$ determines a direct sum decomposition $E=(P E) \oplus((1-P) E)$.

We now consider metrics on vector bundles. We define a functor Herm which assigns to each vector space $V$ the vector space Herm(V) of all Hermitian forms on V. By the techniques of $\$ 1,2$, this allows us to define a vector bundle Herm(E) for every bundle $E$.

DEFINITION 1.3.4. A metric on a bundle $E$ is any section $h: X \rightarrow H e r m(E)$ such that $h(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in X$. A bundle with a specified metric is called a Hermitian bundle.

Suppose that $E$ is a bundle, $F$ is a sub-bundle of $E$, and that $h$ is a Hermitian metric on $E$. Then for each $x \in X$
we consider the orthogonal projection $P_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}$ defined by the metric. This defines a map $P: E \rightarrow F$ which we shall now check is continuous. The problem being local we may assume $F$ is trivial, so that we have sections $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}$ of $F$ giving a basis in each fiber. Then for $v_{f} \in F_{x}$ we have

$$
P_{x}(v)=\sum_{i} h_{x}\left(v, f_{i}(x)\right) f_{i}(x)
$$

Since $h$ is continuous this implies that $P$ is continuous. Thus $P$ is a projection operator on $E$. If $F_{x}^{\perp}$ is the subspace of $E_{x}$ which is orthogonal to $F_{x}$ under $h$, we see that $F^{\perp}={\underset{X}{X}}^{V_{x}}$ is the kernel of $P$, and thus is a sub-bundle of $E$, and that $E \cong{ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{F}^{\perp}$. Thus, a metric provides any sub-bundle with a definite complementary sub-bundle.

Remark: So far, most of our arguments have been of a very general nature, and we could have replaced "continuous" with "algebraic", "differentiable", "analytic", etc. without any trouble, In the next section, our arguments become less general.
\$1.4. Vector bundles on compact spaces. In order to proceed further, we must make some restriction on the sort of base spaces which we consider. We shall assume from now on that our base spaces are compact Hausdorff. We leave it to the reader to notice which results hold for more general base spaces.

Recall that if $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is a continuous vector-valued function, the support of $f$ (written supp. $f$ ) is the closure of $f^{-1}(V-\{0\})$.

We need the following results from point set topology. We state them in vector forms which are clearly equivalent to the usual forms

Tietze Extension Theorem. Let $X$ be a normal space, $Y \subset X$ a closed subspace, $V$ a real vector space, and $f: Y \rightarrow V$ a continuous map. Then there exists a continuous map $g: X \rightarrow V$ such that $g \mid Y=f$.

Existence of Partitions of Unity, Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ a finite open covering. When there exist continuous maps $f_{i}: X \rightarrow R$ such that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } & f_{i}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { all } x \in X  \tag{i}\\
\text { (ii) } & \operatorname{supp}\left(f_{i}\right) \subset U_{i} \\
\text { (iii) } & \sum_{i} f_{i}(x)=1 \quad \text { all } x \in X
\end{array}
$$

Such a collection $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ is called a partition of unity.

We first give a bundle form of the Tietze extension theorem.

LEMMA 1.4.1. Let $X$ becompact Hausdorff, $Y \subset X \underset{\underbrace{}}{\text { a }}$ closed subspace, and $E$ a bundle over $X$. Then any section $s: Y \rightarrow E \mid Y$ can be extended to $X$.

Proof: Let $s \in \Gamma(E \mid Y)$. Since, locally, $s$ is a vectorvalued function, we can apply the Tietze extension theorem to show that for each $x \in X$, there exists an open set $U$ containing $x$ and $t \in \Gamma(E \mid U)$ such that $t|U \cap Y=s| U \cap Y$. Since $X$ is compact, we can find a finite subcover $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\alpha}\right\}$ by such open sets. Let $\mathrm{t}_{\alpha} \in \Gamma\left(E \mid \mathrm{U}_{\alpha}\right\}$ be the corresponding sections and let $\left\{p_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a partition of unity with supp $\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \subset U_{\alpha}$. We define $S_{\alpha} \in \Gamma^{(E)}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha}(x) & =p_{\alpha}(x) t_{\alpha}(x) & & \text { if } x \in U_{\alpha} \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Sigma S_{\alpha}$ is a section of $E$ and its restriction to $Y$ is clearly $s$.
LEMMA 1.4.2. Let $Y$ be a closed subspace of a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and let $E, F$ be two vector bundles over $X$. If $f: E|Y \longrightarrow F| Y$ is an isomorphism, then there exists an opet set $U$ containing $Y$ and an extension $f: E|U \rightarrow F| U$ which is an isomorphism

Proof: $f$ is a section $\operatorname{Hom}(E|Y, F| Y)$, and thus, extends to a section of $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$. , Let $U$ be the set of those points for which this map is an isomorphism. Then $U$ is open and contains $Y$.

## LEMMA 1.4.3. Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space,

 $f_{t}: Y \rightarrow X \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ a homotopy and $E$ a vector bundle over $X$. Then$$
f_{0}^{*} E \cong f_{1}^{*} E .
$$

Proof: If I denotes the unit interval let $f: Y \times I \rightarrow X$ be the homotopy, so that $f(y, t)=f_{t}(y)$, and let $\pi: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ denote
 the subspace $Y \times\{t\}$ of $Y \times I$, on which the re is an obvious isomorphism s. By the compactness of $Y$ we deduce that $f^{*} E$ and $\pi^{*} \mathrm{f}_{\mathbf{t}}^{*} \mathrm{E}$ are isomorphic in some strip $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}$ where $\mathrm{ft}^{\boldsymbol{t}}$ denotes a neighborhood of $\{t\}$ in $I$. Hence the isomorphism class of $f_{t}^{*} E$ is a locally constant function of $t$. Since $I$ is connected this implies it is constant, whence

$$
\mathbf{f}_{0}^{*} \mathbf{E} \cong \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{l}}^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

We shall use Vect(X) to denote the set of isomorphism classes of vector bundles on $X$, and $V \operatorname{Vect}_{n}(X)$ to denote the subset of Vect(X) given by bundles of dimension $n$. Vect(X) is an abelian semi-group
under the operation $\oplus$. In Vect ${ }_{n}(X)$ we have one naturally distinguished element - the class of the trivial bundle of dimension $n$.

LEMMA 1.4.4.
(1) If $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence, $f^{*}: \operatorname{Vect}(Y) \rightarrow V \operatorname{Vect}(X)$ is bijective.
(2) If $X$ is contractible, every bundle over $X$ is trivial and Vect( X ) is isomorphic to the nonnegative integers.

LEMMA 1.4.5. If $E$ is a bundle over $X \times I$, and $\pi: X \times I \rightarrow X \times\{0\}$ is the projection, $E$ is isomorphic to $\pi^{*}(E \mid X \times\{0\})$.

Both of these lemmas are immediate consequences of (1, 4.3).
Suppose now $\mathbf{Y}$ is closed in $\mathbf{X}, E$ is a vector bundle over $X$ and $\alpha: E \mid X \rightarrow Y \times V$ is an isomorphism. We refer to $\alpha$ as a trivialization of E over Y . Let $\pi ; \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ denote the projection and define an equivalence relation on $E \mid Y$ by

$$
e \sim e^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \pi \alpha(e)=\pi \alpha\left(e^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We extend this by the identity on $E \mid X-Y$ and we let $E / \alpha$ denote the quotient space of $E$ given by this equivalence relation. It has a natural structure of a family of vector spaces over $X / Y$. We assert that $\mathrm{E} / \alpha$ is in fact a vector bundle. To see this we have only to verify
the local trivality at the base point $Y / Y$ of $X / Y$. Now by (1. 4. 2) we can extend $\alpha$ to an isomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}: E \mid U \rightarrow U \times V$ for some open set $U$ containing $Y$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
(\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{U}) / \alpha \tilde{\sim}(\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{Y}) \times \mathrm{V}
$$

which establishes the local triviality of $\mathrm{E} / \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
Suppose $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ are homotopic trivializations of $E$ over $Y$. This means that we have a trivialization $\beta$ of $E \times I$ over $Y \times I C X \times I$ inducing $\alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{1}$ at the two end points of $I$. Let $f:(X / Y) \times I$ $\rightarrow(X \times I) /(Y \times I)$ be the natural map. Then $f *(E \times I / \beta)$ is a bundle on $(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}) \times \mathrm{I}$ whose restriction to $(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}) \times\{\mathrm{i}\} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{E} / \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \quad(\mathrm{i}=0,1)$. Hence, by (1. 4, 3),

$$
E / \alpha_{0} \cong E / \alpha_{1}
$$

To summarize we have established

LEMMA 1.4.7. Atrivialization $\alpha$ of a bundle $E$ over $\mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{X}$ defines a bundle $\mathrm{E} / \alpha$ over $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}$. The isomorphism class of $E / \alpha$ depends only on the homotopy class of $\alpha$.

Using this we shall now prove
LEMMA 1.4.8. Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed contractible subspaceThen $f: X \rightarrow X / Y$ induces a bijection $f^{*}: V$ Vect $(X / Y) \rightarrow V e c t(X)$.

Proof: Let $E$ be a bundle on $X$ then by ( $1.4,4$ ) $E \mid Y$ is trivial. Thus trivializations $\alpha: \mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{V}$ exist. Moreover, two such trivializations differ by an automorphism of $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{V}$, i.e., by a map $Y \rightarrow G L(V)$. But $G L(V)=G L(n, C)$ is connected and $V$ is contractible. Thus $\alpha$ is unique up to homotopy and so the isomorphism ciass of $\mathrm{F} \mid \alpha$ is uniquely determined by that of $E$. Thus we have constructed a map

$$
\text { Vect }(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(X / Y)
$$

and this is clearly a two-sided inverse for $f^{*}$. Hence $f^{*}$ is bijective as asserted.

Vector bundles are frequently constructed by a glueing or clutching construction which we shall now describe. Let

$$
x=x_{1} \cup x_{2}, \quad A=x_{1} \cap x_{2}
$$

all the spaces being compact. Assume that $E_{i}$ is a vector bundle over $X_{i}$ and that $\varphi: E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{2}\right| A$ is an isomorphism. Then we define the vector bundle $E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}$ on $X$ as follows. As a topological space $E_{1} \cup_{\varphi} E_{2}$ is the quotient of the disjoint sum $E_{1}+E_{2}$ by the equivalence relation which identifies $e_{1} \in E_{1} \mid A$ with $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) \in E_{2} \mid A$. Identifying $X$ with the corresponding quotient of $X_{1}+X_{2}$ we obtain a natural projection $p: E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2} \rightarrow X$, and $p^{-1}(x)$ has a natural vector space structure. It remains to show that $E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}$ is locally
trivial. Since $E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2} \mid X-A=\left(E_{1} \mid X_{1}-A\right)+\left(E_{2} \mid X_{2}-A\right)$ the local triviality at points $x \notin A$ follows from that of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. Therefore, let a $\in A$ and let $V_{1}$ be a closed neighborhood of a in $X_{1}$ over which $E_{1}$ is trivial, so that we have an isomorphism

$$
\theta_{1}: E_{1} \mid V_{1} \rightarrow V_{1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

Restricting to A we get an isomorphism

$$
\theta_{1}^{A}: E_{1} \mid V_{1} \cap A \rightarrow\left(V_{1} \cap A\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

Let

$$
\theta_{2}^{A}: E_{2} \mid V_{1} \cap A \rightarrow\left(V_{1} \cap A\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

be the isomorphism corresponding to $\theta_{1}^{A}$ under $\varphi$. By (1.4.2) this can be extended to an isomorphism

$$
\theta_{2}: E_{2} \mid v_{2} \rightarrow v_{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

where $V_{2}$ is a neighborhood of a in $X_{2}$. The pair $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ then defines in an obvious way an isomorphism

$$
\theta_{1} U_{\varphi} \theta_{2}: E_{1} \cup_{\varphi} E_{2} \mid V_{1} \cup v_{2} \rightarrow\left(V_{1} \cup v_{2}\right) \times C^{n}
$$

establishing the local triviality of $E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}$. Elementary properties of this construction are the following:
(i) If $E$ is a bundle over $X$ and $E_{i}=E \mid X_{i}$, then the identity defines an isomorphism $I_{A}: E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{2}\right| A$, and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}} \mathrm{E}_{2} \cong \mathrm{E}
$$

(ii) If $\beta_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow E_{i}^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms on $X_{i}$ and $\varphi^{\prime} \beta_{1}=\beta_{2} \varphi$, then

$$
E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2} \cong E_{1}^{\prime} U_{\varphi^{\prime}} E_{2}^{\prime}
$$

(iii) If ( $\Sigma_{i}, \varphi$ ) and ( $E_{i}^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}$ ) are two "clutching data" on the $X_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}\right) \oplus\left(E_{1}^{\prime} U_{\varphi^{\prime}} E_{2}^{\prime} \cong E_{1} \oplus E_{1}^{\prime} \underset{\varphi \oplus \varphi^{\prime}}{U} E_{2} \oplus E_{2}^{\prime},\right. \\
\left(E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}\right) \otimes\left(E_{1}^{\prime} U_{\varphi^{\prime}} E_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cong E_{1} \otimes E_{1}^{\prime} \underset{\varphi \otimes \varphi^{\prime}}{\cup} E_{2} \otimes E_{2}^{\prime}, \\
\left(E_{1} U_{\varphi} E_{2}\right)^{*} \cong E_{1}^{*} U_{\left(\varphi^{*}\right)^{-1}} E_{2}^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, we also have

LEMMA 1.4.6. The isomorphism class of $\mathrm{E}_{1} U_{\varphi} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ depends only on the homotopy class of the isomorphism $\varphi: E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{2}\right| A$.

Proof: A homotopy of isomorphisms $E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{2}\right| A$ means an is omorphism

$$
\Phi: \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}_{1}\left|\mathrm{~A} \times I \rightarrow \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}_{2}\right| \mathrm{A} \times I,
$$

where $I$ is the unit interval and $t: X \times \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow X$ is the projection, Let

$$
f_{t}: X \longrightarrow X \times I
$$

be defined by $f_{t}(x)=x \times\{t\}$ and denote by

$$
\varphi_{1}: E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{2}\right| A
$$

the isomorphism induced from $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ by $f_{t}$. Then

$$
E_{1} U_{\varphi_{t}} E_{2} \xlongequal{=} f_{t}^{*}\left(\pi^{*} E_{1} U_{\Phi} \pi^{*} E_{2}\right)
$$

Since $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are homotopic it follows from (1.4.3) that

$$
E_{1} U_{\varphi_{0}} E_{2} \cong E_{1} U_{\varphi \varphi_{1}} E_{2}
$$

as required.

Remark: The "collapsing" and "clutching" constructions for bundies (on $X / Y$ and $X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ respectively) are both special cases of a general process of forming bundles over quotient spaces We leave it as an exercise to the reader to give a precise general formulation.

We shall denote $b y[X, Y]$ the set of homotopy classes of maps $X \rightarrow Y$.

LEMMA 1.4.9. For any $X$, there is a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})) \cong[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{C})]$.

Proof: Write $S(x)$ as $C^{+}(x) \cup C^{-}(x)$, where $C^{+}(x)$ $=[0,1 / 2] \times X /\{0\} \times X, C^{-}(X)=[1 / 2,1] \times X /\{1\} \times X$. Then $C^{+}(X) \cap C^{-}(X)=X$. if $E$ is any $n$-dimensional bundle over $s(x)$, $E \mid C^{+}(X)$ and $E \mid C^{*}(X)$ are trivial. Let $\alpha^{ \pm}: E \mid C^{ \pm}(X) \cong C^{ \pm}(X) \times V$ be such isornorphisms. Then $\left(\alpha^{+} \mid X\right)\left(\alpha^{-} \mid X\right)^{-1}: X \times V \rightarrow X \times V$ is a bundle map, and thus defines a map $\alpha$ of $X$ into $G L(n, \mathbb{C})=I s o(V)$. Since both $C^{+}(X)$ and $C^{-}(X)$ are contractible, the homotopy classes of both $\alpha^{+}$and $\alpha^{-}$are well defined, and thus the homotopy class of $\alpha$ is well defined. Thus we have a natural map $\theta: \operatorname{Vect}_{n}(S(X))$ $\rightarrow[X, G L(n, \mathbb{C})]$. The clutching construction on the other hand defines by ( $1,4,6$ ) a map

$$
\varphi:[x, G L(n, C)] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vect}_{n}(S(x))
$$

It is clear that $\theta$ and $\varphi$ are inverses of each other and so are bijections.

We have just seen that $\operatorname{Vect}_{n}(S(X))$ has a homotopy theoretic interpretation. We now give a similar interpretation to Vect ${ }_{n}(X)$. First we must establish some simple facts about quotient bundles,

LEMMA 1.4.10. Let E be any bundle over X. Then there exists a (Hermitian) metric on $\mathbf{E}$.

Proof: A metric on a vector space $V$ defines a metric on the product bundle $X \times V$. Hence metrics exist on trivial bundles. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a finite open covering of $X$ such that $E \mid U_{\alpha}$ is trivial and let $h_{\alpha}$ be a metric for $E \mid U_{\alpha}$. Let $\left\{p_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a partition of unity with supp. $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{U}_{\alpha}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}(x) & =P_{\alpha}(x) h_{\alpha}(x) & & \text { for } x \in U_{\alpha} \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $k_{\alpha}$ is a section of $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ and is positive semi-definite. But for any $x \in X$ there exists $\alpha$ such that $p_{\alpha}(x)>0$ (since $\Sigma_{P_{\alpha}}=1$ ) and so $x \in U_{\alpha}$. Hence, for this $\alpha, k_{\alpha}(x)$ is positive definite. Hence $\Sigma_{\alpha} k_{\alpha}(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in X$ and so $k=\Sigma k_{\alpha}$ is a metric for $E$.

A sequence of vector bundle homomorphisms

is called exact if for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ the sequence of vector space homomorphisms

$$
\longrightarrow E_{x} \longrightarrow F_{x} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

1s exact.
COROLLARY 1.4.11. Suppose that $0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{Q^{\prime}} E \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\prime \prime}} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ I! in exact sequence of bundles over $X$. Then there exists an isomorphism $E \cong \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$ 。

Proof: Give $E$ a metric. Then $E \cong E^{T} \oplus\left(E^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$. However, $\left(E^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \cong \mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$.

A subspace $V \subset \Gamma(E)$ is said to be ample if

$$
\varphi: X \times V \rightarrow E
$$

is a surjection, where $\varphi(x, s)=s(x)$.

LEMMA 1.4.12. If E is any bundle over a compact
Hausdorff space $X$, then $\Gamma(E)$ contains a finite dimensional ample subspace.

Proof: Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a finite open covering of $X$ so that $E \mid U_{\alpha}$ is trivial for each $\alpha$, and let $\left\{p_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a partition of unity with $\operatorname{supp} p_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$. Since $E \mid U_{\alpha}$ is trivial we can find a finitedimensional ample subspace $\mathrm{V}_{\alpha} \subset \Gamma\left(E \mid \mathrm{U}_{\alpha}\right)$. Now define

$$
\theta_{\alpha}: v_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathrm{E})
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}(x) & =p_{\alpha}(x) \cdot v_{\alpha}(x) & & \text { if } x \in U_{\alpha} \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ${ }_{\alpha}$ define a homomorphism

$$
\theta: \prod_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)
$$

and the image of $\theta$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $\Gamma(E)$; in fact, for each $x \in X$ there exists $\alpha$ with $P_{\alpha}(x)>0$ and
and so the map

$$
\theta_{\alpha}\left(V_{\alpha}\right) \longrightarrow E_{x}
$$

is surjective.

COROLLARY 1.4.13. If $E$ is any bundle, there exists an epimorphism $\varphi: X \times \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ for some integer m.

COROLLARY 1.4.14. If E is any bundle, there exists a bundle $F$ such that $E \oplus F$ is trivial.

We are now in a position to prove the existence of a homotopy theoretic definition for $V_{\text {ect }}(X)$. We first introduce Grassmann manifolds. If V is any vector space, and n any integer, the set $G_{n}(V)$ is the set of all subspaces of $V$ of codimension $n$. If $V$ is given some Hermitian metric, each subspace of $V$ determines a projection operator. This defines a map $G_{n}(V) \rightarrow E n d(V)$, where End(V) is the set of endomorphisms of $V$. We give $G_{n}(V)$ the topology induced by this map.

Suppose that $E$ is a bundle over a space $X, V$ is a vector space, and $\varphi: X \times V \rightarrow E$ is an epimorphism. If we map $X$ into $G_{n}(V)$ by assigining to $x$ the subspace $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{x}\right)$, this map is continuous for any metric on $V$ (here $n=\operatorname{dim}(E)$ ). We call the map $X \rightarrow G_{n}(V)$ the map induced by $\varphi$.

Let $V$ be a vector space, and let $F \subset G_{n}(V) \times V$ be the sub-bundle consisting of all points ( $g, v)$ such that $v \epsilon_{g}$. Then, if $E=\left(G_{n}(V) \times V\right) / F$ is the quotient bundle, $E$ is called the classifying bundle over $G_{n}(V)$.

Notice that if $E^{\prime}$ is a bundle over $X$, and $\varphi: X \times V \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism, then if $f: X \rightarrow G_{n}(V)$ is the map induced by $\varphi$, we have $E^{\prime} \cong f^{*}(E)$, where $E$ is the classifying bundle.

Suppose that $h$ is a metric on $V$. We denote by $G_{n}\left(V_{h}\right)$ the set $G_{n}$ (V) with the topology induced by $h$. If $h^{\prime}$ is another metric on $V$, then the epimorphism $G_{n}\left(V_{h}\right) \times V \rightarrow E$ (where $E$ is the classifying bundle) induces the identity map $G_{n}\left(V_{h}\right) \rightarrow G_{n}\left(V_{h^{\prime}}\right)$ Thus the identity map is continuous. Thus, the topology on $G_{n}(V)$ does not depend on the metric.

Now consider the natural projections

$$
c^{m} \longrightarrow c^{m-1}
$$

given by $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m-1}\right)$. These induce continuous maps

$$
\iota_{m-1}: G_{n}\left(C^{m-1}\right) \longrightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m}\right)
$$

If $E_{(m)}$ denotes the classifying bundle over $G_{n}\left(C^{m}\right)$ it is immediate that

$$
\iota_{m-1}^{*}\left(E_{m}\right) \cong E_{(m-1)}
$$

THEOREM 1.4.15. The map

$$
\xrightarrow[m]{\lim }\left[x, G_{n}\left(C^{m}\right)\right] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vect}_{n}(X)
$$

induced by $f \rightarrow f^{*}\left(E_{(m)}\right)$ for $f: X \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m}\right)$, is an isomorphism for all compact Hausdorff spaces $X$.

Proof: We shall construct an inverse map. If E is a bundle over $X$, there exists (by (1.4.13)) an epimorphism $\varphi: X \times C^{m} \rightarrow E$. Let $f: X \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m}\right)$ be the map induced by $\varphi$. If we can show that the homotopy class of $f$ (in $G_{n}\left(V^{m^{1}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ sufficiently large does not depend on the choice of $\varphi$, then we construct our inverse map $V_{\text {ect }}^{n}(X) \rightarrow \xrightarrow[m]{\lim }\left[X, G_{n}\left(V^{m}\right)\right]$ by eending $E$ to the homotopy class of $£$.

Suppose that $\varphi_{i}: X \times C^{m_{i}} \rightarrow E$ are two epimorphisms $(i=0,1)$. Let $g_{i}: X \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m_{i}}\right)$ be the map induced by $\varphi_{i}$. Define $\psi_{t}: X \times C^{m_{0}} \times C^{m_{1}} \rightarrow E$ by $\psi_{t}\left(x, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=(1-t) \varphi_{0}\left(x, v_{0}\right)$ $+t \varphi_{1}\left(x, v_{1}\right)$. This is an epimorphism. Let $f_{t}: X \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m_{0}} \oplus C^{m l}\right)$ be the map induced by $\phi_{t}$. If we identify $c^{m_{0}} \oplus C^{m_{1}}$ with $c^{m_{0}+m_{l}}$ by $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m_{0}}\right) \oplus\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m_{1}}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, \cdots z_{m_{0}} \cdots, u_{m_{1}}\right)$ then

$$
\left.f_{0}=\right\}_{0} g_{0}, \quad f_{1}=T j_{1} g_{1},
$$

where $j_{i}: G_{n}\left(C^{m_{i}}\right) \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m_{0}+m_{I}}\right)$ is the natural inclusion and

$$
T: G_{n}\left(C^{m_{0}+m_{1}}\right) \rightarrow G_{n}\left(C^{m_{0}+m_{1}}\right)
$$

is the map induced by a permutation of coordinates in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}_{0}+\mathrm{m}_{1}}$, and so is homotopic to the identity. Hence $j_{1} g_{1}$ is homotopic to $f_{1}$ and hence to $\}_{0} g_{0}$ as required,

Remark, It is possible to interpret vector bundles as modules in the following way. Let $C(X)$ denote the ring of continuous complex-valued functions on $X$. If $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$ then $\Gamma(E)$ is a $C(X)$-module under point-wise multiplication, i.e. ,

$$
f s(x)=f(x) s(x) \quad f \in C(X), S \in \Gamma(E) .
$$

Moreover a homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ determines a $C(X)$ module homomorphism
$\Gamma \varphi: \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(F) \quad$.

Thus $\Gamma$ is a functor from the category $t$ of vector bundles over $X$ to the category $m$ of $C(X)$-modules. If $E$ is trivial of dimension $n$, then $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ is free of rank n . If $F$ is also trivial then

$$
\Gamma: \operatorname{HOM}(E, F) \longrightarrow \text { Hom }_{C}(X)(\Gamma(E), \Gamma(F))
$$

is bijective. In fact, choosing isomorphisms $E \cong X \times V$,
$\mathrm{F} \cong \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{W}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HOM}(E, F) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(V, W)^{X} & \cong C(X) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(V, W) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C(X)}(T(E), W(F))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus 5 induces an equivalence between the category $T$ of trivial vector bundles to the category 3 of free $C(X)$-modules of finite rank, Let Proj (J) denote the sub-category of $t$ whose objects are images of projection operators in $J$, and let Proj ( 5 ) $\subset$ be defined similarly. Then it follows at once that $I$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$
\text { Proj (3) } \longrightarrow \text { Proj (3) }
$$

Hut, by (1.4.14), Proj (3) $=\mathrm{v}$. By definition Proj (3) is the category of finitely-generated projective $C(X)$-modules. Thus wo have established the following:

PROPOSITION, $T$ induces an equivalence between the cntegory of vector bundles over $X$ and the category of finitelyn ifucrated projective modules over $C(X)$.
81.5. Additional structures. In linear algebra one frequently considers vector spaces with some additional structure, and we can do the same for vector bundles. For example we have already discussed hermitian metrics. The next most obvious example is to consider non-degenerate bilinear forms. Thus if $V$ is a vector bundle a non-degenerate bilinear form on $V$ means an element $T$ of $H O M(V \otimes V, 1)$ which induces a non-degenerate element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{x} \otimes V_{x}, C\right)$ for all $x \in X$. Equivalently $T$ may be regarded as an element of $\operatorname{ISO}\left(V, V^{*}\right)$. The vector bundle $V$ together with this isomorphism $T$ will be called a self-dual bundse.

If $T$ is symmetric, i. e., if $T_{x}$ is symmetric for all $x \in X$, we shall call ( $V, T$ ) an orthogonal bundle. If $T$ is skewsymmetric, i.e., if $T_{x}$ is skew-symmetric for all $x \in X$, we shall call ( $V, T$ ) a symplectic bundle.

Alternatively we may consider pairs (V, T) with $T \in \operatorname{ISO}(V, \overline{\mathrm{~V}})$, where $\overline{\mathrm{V}}$ denotes the complex conjugate bundse of $V$ (obtained by applying the "complex conjugate functor" to $V$ ). Such a (V, T) may be called a gelf-conjugate bundle. The isomorphism $T$ may also be thought of as an anti-linear isomorphism $V \rightarrow V$. As such we may form $T^{2}$. If $T^{2}=$ identity we may call ( $V, T$ ) a real bundle. In fact the subspace $W \in V$ consisting of all $V \in V$ with $T V=V$ has the structure of a real vector bundle and $V$ may be identified with $W \otimes_{R} C$, the
complexification of $W$. If $\mathbf{T}^{2}=$-identity then we may call ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T}$ ) a quaternion bundle. In fact, we can define a quaternion vector epace structure on each $V_{x}$ by putting $j(v)=T v$ the quaternions are generated over $R$ by $i, j$ with $i j=-j i, i^{2}=j^{2}=-i /$,

Now if V has a hermitian metric h then this gives an Itomorphism $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} *$ and hence turns a self-conjugate bundle into "self-dual one. We leave it as an exercise to the reader to examine In detail the symmetric ${ }^{\dagger}$ and skew-symmetric cases and to show lhat, up to homotopy, the notions of self-conjugate, orthogonal, mymplectic, are essentially equivalent to self-dual, real, quaternion. Thus we may pick which ever alternative is more convenient at any mrticular stage. For example, the result of the preceeding sections extend immediately to real and quaternion vector bundles although the extension of (1.4.3) for example to orthogonal or sympletic bundles is low so immediate. On the other hand the properties of tensor products he: more conveniently dealt with in the framework of bilinear forms. lius the tensor product of ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{S}$ ) is (V®W, $\mathrm{T} \otimes \mathrm{S}$ ) and the nymmetry properties of $T \otimes S$ follow at once from those of $T$ and i). Note in particular that the tensor product of two sympletic limulles is orthogonal.
t The point is that GL( $n, R$ ) and $O(n, C)$ have the same maximal compact H(i)group $O(n, R)$. Similar remarks apply in the skew case.

A self-conjugate bundle is a special case of a much more general notion. Let $F, G$ be two continuous functors on vector spaces. Then by an $F \rightarrow G$ bundie we will mean a pair ( $V, T$ ) where $V$ is a vector bundle and $T \in I S O(F(V), G(V))$. Obviously a self-conjugate bundle arises by taking $F=$ identity, $G=*$. Another example of some importance is to take $F$ and $G$ to be multiplication by a fixed integer $m$, $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$,

$$
F(V)=G(V)=V \oplus v \oplus \cdots \oplus v \quad \text { (m times). }
$$

Thus an $m \rightarrow m$ bundle (or more briefly an $m$-bundle) is a pair ( $V, T$ ) where $T \in A u t(m V)$. The $m$-bundle ( $V, T$ ) is trivial if there exists $S \in A u t(V)$ so that $T=m S$.

In general for $F \rightarrow G$ bundles the analogue of (1.4.3) does not hold, i. e. , homotopy does not imply isomorphism. Thus the good notion of equivalence must incorporate homotopy. For example, two m-bundles $\left(V_{0}, T_{0}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ will be called equivalent if there is an $m$-bundle ( $W$, s) on $X \times I$ so that

$$
\left(V_{i}, T_{i}\right) \cong(w, s) \mid x \times\{i\}, \quad i=0,1
$$

Remark: An m-bundle over $K$ should be thought of as a "mod m vector bundle" over $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})$.
81.6. G-bundles over G-spaces. Suppose that G is a topalogical group. Then by a G-space we mean a topological space $X$ together with given continuous action of $G$ on $X$, i.e., $G$ acts on $X$ and the map $G \times \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is continuous. A G-map between $G$-spaces is a map commuting with the action of $G$. A G-space $E$ is a $G$-vector bundle over the G-space $X$ if
(i) E is a vector bundle over X ,
(ii) the projection $E \rightarrow X$ is a G-map,
(iii) for each $g \in G$ the map $E_{x} \rightarrow E_{g(x)}$ is a vector space homomorphism,

If $G$ is the group of one element then of course every space is a $G$-space and every vector bundle is a $G$-vector bundle. At the other extreme if $X$ is a point then $X$ is a $G$-space for all $G$ and a G-vector bundle over $X$ is just a (finite-dimensional) representation space of $G$. Thus G-vector bundles form a natural ynneralization including both ordinary vector bundles and G-modules. Much of the theory of vector bundles over compact spacea generalizes to $G$-vector bundles provided $G$ is also compact. This however, prosupposes the basic facts about representations of compact groups. For the present, therefore we restrict ourselves to finite groups where no questions of analysis are involved.

There are two extreme kinds of G-space:
(i) $\quad \mathrm{X}$ is a free $G$-space if $\mathrm{g} \neq 1 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x}) \neq \mathrm{x}$,
(ii) $X$ is a trivial $G$-space if $g(x)=x$ for all $x \in X, g \in G$.

We shall examine the structure of G-vector bundles in these two extreme cases.

Suppose then that $X$ is a free $G$-space and let $X / G$ be the orbit space. Then $\pi: X \rightarrow X / G$ is a finite covering map. Let $E$ be a $G$-vector bundie over $X$. Then $E$ is necessarily a free $G-s p a c e$. The orbit space $E / G$ has a natural vector bundle structure over $X / G:$ in fact $E / G \rightarrow X / G$ is locally isomorphic to $E \rightarrow X$ and hence the local triviality of $E$ implies that of $E / G$. Conversely, suppose $V$ is a vector bundle over $X / G$. Then $\pi^{*} V$ is a $G$-vector bundle over $X$; in fact, $\pi * V \subset X \times V$ and $G$ acts on $X \times V$ by $g(x, v)=(g(x), v)$. It is clear that $E \rightarrow E / G$ and $V \rightarrow \pi^{*} V$ are inverse functors. Thus we have

PROPCSITION 1.6.1. If $X$ is $G$-free $G$-vector bundles over $X$ correspond bijectively to vector bundles over $X / G$ by $E \rightarrow E / G$.

Before discussing trivial $G$-bpaces let us recall the basic fact about representations of finite groups; namely that there exista a finite set $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{k}$ of irreducible representations of $G s o$ that any representation $V$ of $G$ is isomorphic to a unique direct $\operatorname{sum} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} V_{i}$. Now for any two $G$-modules (i. e. , representation spaces) $V, W$ we can define the vector space $H^{( } m_{G}(V, W)$ of G-homomorphisms. Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{i}, V_{j}\right) & =0 & i \neq j \\
& \cong c & i=j .
\end{array}
$$

Hence for any $V$ it follows that the natural map

$$
\sum v_{i} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(v_{i}, v\right) \longrightarrow v
$$

is a $G$-isomorphism. In this form we can extend the result to $G$-bundles over a trivial G-space. In fact, if $E$ is any $G$-bundle over the trivial G-space $X$ we can define the homomorphism AvGEND E by

$$
\operatorname{Av}(e)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(e) \quad e \in E
$$

where $|G|$ denotes the order of $G$ (This depends on the fact that, $X$ being $G$-trivial, each $g \in G$ defines an endomorphism of $E$ ). It is immediate that $A v$ is a projection operator for $E$ nnd so its image, the invariant subspace of $E$, is a vector bundle. We denote this by $E^{G}$ and call it the invariant sub-bundle of $E$. Thus if $E, F$ are two $G$-bundles then $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(E, F)=(\operatorname{Hom}(E, F))^{G}$ In again a vector bundle. In particular taking $E$ to be the trivial Inndle $\quad \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with its natural G -action we can consider the natural bundle map

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}
$$

We have already observed that for a G-module $F$ this is a $G$-isomorphism. In other words for any G-bundle $F$ over $X$ this is a $G$-isomorphism for all $x \in X$. Hence it is an isomorphism of G-bundles. Thus every G-bundle $F$ is isomorphic to a G-bundle of the form $\Sigma V_{i} \otimes E_{i}$ where $E_{i}$ is a vector bundle with trivial G-action. Moreover the $E_{i}$ are unique up to isomorphism In fact we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}, F\right) & \cong \sum_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{j} \otimes E_{j}\right) \\
& \cong \sum_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{j}\right) \otimes E_{j} \\
& \cong E_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have established
PROPOSITION 1.6.2. Let $X$ be a trivial $G$-space, $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ a complete set of irreducible G-modules, $V_{i}=X \times V_{i}$ the corresponding $G$-bundles. Thus every $G$-bundle $F$ over $X$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{V}_{i} \otimes E_{i}$ where the $E_{i}$ are vector bundles with trivial $G$-action. Moreover the $E_{1}$ are unique up to isomorphism and axe given by $E_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{i}, F\right)$.

We return now to the case of a general (compact) G-space $X$ and we shall show how to extend the results of $\delta 1.4$ to $G$-bundle

Observe first that, if $E$ is a G-bundle, $G$ acts naturally on $\Gamma(\mathrm{E})$ by

$$
(g s)(x)=g\left(s\left(g^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \quad s \in \Gamma(E)
$$

A section $s$ is invariant if $g s=g$ for all $g \in G$. The set of all invariant sections forms a subspace $\Gamma(E)^{G}$ of $\Gamma(E)$. The nveraging operator

$$
A v=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum g
$$

drfines as usual a homomorphism $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow I^{\prime}(E)^{G}$ which is the identity on $\Gamma(E)^{G}$.

LEMMA 1.6.3. Let $X$ be a compact $G$-space $Y \subset X$ a. closed sub G-space (i.e., invariant by G) and let $E$ be a (inhundle over $X$. Then any invariant section $s: Y \rightarrow E \mid Y$ extends 14. an invariant section over $X$.

Proof: By (1.4.1) we can extend $s$ to some section $t$ of I: over $X$. Then $\operatorname{Av}(t)$ is an invariant section of $E$ over $X$, white over $Y$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Av}(t)=\operatorname{Av}(s)=s
$$

-lice $s$ is invariant. Thus $A v(t)$ is the required extension.

If $E, F$ are two $G$-bundles then $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$ is also a G-bundle and we have

$$
\operatorname{IHom}(E, F))^{G} \cong \operatorname{HOM}_{G}(E, F)
$$

Hence the G-analogues of (1.4.2) and (1.4.3) follow at once from ( $1,6,3$ ) , Thus we have

LEMMA 1.6.4. Let $Y$ be a compact $G$-space, $X$ a G-space, $f_{t}: Y \rightarrow X(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ a G-homotopy and $E$ a G-vector bundle over $X$. Then $f_{0}^{*} E$ and $f_{1}^{*} E$ are isomorphic G-bundles.
A. $G$-homotopy means of course a $G$-map $F: Y \times I \rightarrow X$ where 1 is the unit interval with trivial $G$-action. A G-space is G-contractible if it is G-homotopy equivalent to a point. In particular, the cone over a G-space is always G-contractible. By a trivial G-bundle we shall mean a G-bundle isomorphic to a product $X \times V$ where $V$ is a $G$-module. With these definitions (1.4.4) (1. 4. 11) extend without change to G-bundles. We have only to observe that if $h$ is a metric for $E$ then $A v(h)$ is an invariant metric. To extend (1. 4, 12) we observe that if $V \subset \Sigma^{(E)}$ is ample then $\sum_{g \in G} \mathrm{gV} \subset \Gamma(\mathrm{E})$ is ample and invariant. This leads at once to the appropriate extension of ( $1,4,14$ ).

In extending ( $1.4,15$ ) we have to consider Crassmannians of G-subspaces of $m \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$, where as before
$V_{1}, \cdots, V_{k}$ denote a complete set of irreducible G-modules We leave the formulation to the reader.

Finally, consider the module interpretation of vector bundles. Write $A=C(X)$. Then if $X$ is a G-space $G$ acts on $A$ as a group of algebra automorphisms, If $E$ is a G-vector bundle over $X$ then $\Gamma(E)$ is a projective A-module and $G$ acts on $\Gamma(E)$, the relation between the $A$ and $G$ - actions being

$$
g(a s)=g(a)_{g}(s) \quad a \in A, g \in G, s \in \Gamma(E)
$$

We can look tht this mother way if we introduce the "twisted group elgebra" $B$ of $G$ over $A$, namely elements of $B$ are linear combinations $\Sigma_{g} G_{G}{ }^{a} g$ with $a_{g} \in A$ and the product is defined by

$$
(\mathrm{ag})\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{ag}\left(\mathbf{a}^{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{gg}^{\prime}
$$

In fact, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathrm{E})$ is then just a $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{module}$. We leave it as an exercise Io the reader to show that the category of G-vector bundlea over $X$ is equivalent to the category of $B$-modules which are finitely generated and projective over A.
§2.1. Definitions. If $X$ is any space, the set Vect(X) has the structure of an abelian semigroup, where the additive structure is defined by direct sum. If $A$ is any abelian semigroup, we can associate to A an abelian group $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{A})$ with the following property: there is a semigroup homomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow K(A)$ such that if $G$ is any group, $\gamma: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ any semigroup homomorphism, there is a unique homomorphism $x: K(A)-G$ such that $y=x \sim$. If such a $K(A)$ exists, it must be unique.

The group $K(A)$ is defined in the usual fashion. Let $F(A)$ be the free abelian group generated by the elements of $A$, let $E(A)$ be the subgroup of $F(A)$ generated by those elements of the form $a+a^{\prime}-\left(a \oplus a^{\prime}\right)$, where $\oplus$ is the addition in $A, a, a^{\prime} \in A$. Then $K(A)=F(A) / E(A)$ has the universal property described above, with $\alpha: A \rightarrow K(A)$ being the obvious map.

A slightly different construction of $K(A)$ which is sometimes convenient is the following. Let $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \times A$ be the diagonal homomorphism of semi-groups, and let $K(A)$ denote the set of cosets of $\Delta(A)$ in $A \times A$. It is a quotient semi-group, but the interchange of factors in $A \times A$ induces an inverse in $K(A)$ so that $K(A)$ is a group. We then define $\alpha_{A}: A \rightarrow K(A)$ to be the composition of $a \rightarrow(a, 0)$ with the natural projection $A \times A \rightarrow K(A)$ (we assume

A has a zero for simplicity). The pair $\left(K(A), \alpha_{A}\right)$ is a functor of $A$ so that if $\gamma: A \rightarrow B$ is a semi-group homomorphism we hnve a commutative diagram


If $B$ is a group $\alpha_{B}$ is an isomorphism. That shows $K(A)$ has the Pmoluired universal property.

If $A$ is also a semi-ring (thatis, A possesses a multiplication which is distributative over the addition of $A$ ) then $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{A})$ is clearly a ring.

If $X$ is a space, we write $K(X)$ for the ring $K(V e c t(X))$. No tonfusion should result from this notation. If $E \in V$ Vect (X), we ulialt write [E] for the image of $E$ in $K(X)$. Eventually, to avoid Oncossive notation, we may simply write $E$ instead of [E] when Here is no danger of confusion.

Using our second construction of $K$ it follows that, if $X$ I* n mpace, every element of $K(X)$ is of the form $[E]-[F]$, where
$E, F$ are bundles over $X$. Let $G$ be a bundle such that $F \in G$ is trivial. We write $\underline{n}$ for the trivial bundle of dimension $n$. Let $F \oplus G=\underline{n}$. Then $[E]-[F]=[E]+[G]-([F]+[G])=[E \Theta G]-[\underline{n}$ Thus, every element of $K(X)$ is of the form $[H]-[n]$.

Suppose that $E, F$ are such that $[E]=[F]$, then again from our second construction of $K$ it follows that there is a bundle $G$ such that $E \oplus G \cong F \oplus G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a bundle such that
 If two bundles become equivalent when a suitable trivial bundle is added to each of them, the bundles are said to be stably equivalent. Thus, $[E]=[F]$ if and only if $E$ and $F$ axe stably equivalent.

Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map. Then $f^{*}: \operatorname{Vect}(Y)$ $\rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(X)$ induces a ring homomorphism $f^{*}: K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$. By (1.4.3) this homomorphism depends only on the homotopy class of $f$.
82.2. The periodicity theorem. The fundamental theorem for K-theory is the periodicity theorem. In its simplest form, it states that for any $X$, there is an isomorphism between $K(X) \otimes K\left(S^{2}\right)$ and $K\left(X \times S^{2}\right)$. This is a special case of a more general theorem which we shall prove.

If $E$ is a vector bundle over a space $X$, and if $E_{0}=E-X$, where $X$ is considered to lie in $E$ as the zero aection, the non-zero complex numbers act on $E_{0}$ as a group of fiber preserving automorphisms. Let $P(E)$ be the quotient space obtained from $E_{0}$ by
dividing by the action of the complex number. $P(E)$ is called the projective bundle associated to $E$. If $p: P(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection map, $p^{-1}(x)$ is a complex projective space for all $x \in X$. If $V$ is a vector space, and $W$ is a vector space of dimension one, $V$ and $V \otimes W$ are isomorphic, but not naturally 1somorphic. For any non-zero element $\omega \in W$ the map $\nu \rightarrow \nu \otimes \omega$ defines an isomorphism between $V$ and $V \otimes W$, and thus defines an isomorphism $P(\omega): P(V) \rightarrow P(V \otimes W)$. However, if $\omega^{\circ}$ is any other non-zero element of $W, \omega^{\prime}=\lambda \omega$ for some non-zero complex number $\lambda$. Thus $P(\omega)=P\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$, so the isomorphism between $P(V)$ and $P(V \otimes W)$ is natural. Thus, if $E$ is any vector bundle, and $L$ is a line bundle, there is a natural isomorphism $P(E) \cong P(E \otimes L)$.

If $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$ then each point a $\in P(E)_{x}$ m $P\left(E_{x}\right)$ represents a one-dimensional subspace $H_{x}^{*} \subset E_{x}$. The union of all these defines a subspace $H^{*} \subset \mathrm{p}^{*} E$, where $p: P(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection. It is easy to check that $H^{*}$ is a uub-bundle of $p^{*} E$. In fact, the problem being local we may mssume E is a product and then we are reduced to a special case of the Grassmannian already discussed in $\S \frac{1}{}$. 4 . We have denoted nur line- bundle by $H^{*}$ because we want its dual $H$ (the choice of convention here is dictated by algebro-geometric considerations which we do not discuss here).

We can now state the periodicity theorem.
THEOREM 2.2.1. Let $L$ be a line bundle over $X$. Then, as a $K(X)$-algebra, $K(P(L \oplus 1))$ is generated by $[H]$, and is subject to the single relation $([H]-[1])([L][H]-[1])=0$.

Before we proceed to the proof of this theorem, we would like to point out two corollaries. Notice that $P(1 \oplus 1)=X \times S^{2}$.

COROLLARY 2.2.2. $K\left(S^{2}\right)$ is generated by [H] as a $K$ (point) module, and [H] is subject to the only single relation $([\mathrm{H}]-[\mathrm{l}])^{2}=0$.

COROLLARY 2.2.3. If $X$ is any space, and if $\mu: K(X) \otimes K\left(s^{2}\right) \rightarrow K\left(X \times s^{2}\right)$ is defined by $\mu(a \otimes b)=\left(\pi_{1}^{*} a\right)\left(\pi_{2}^{*} b\right)$, where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are the projections onto the two factors, then $\mu$ is an isomorphism of rings.

The proof of the theorem will be broken down into a series of lemmas.

To begin, we notice that for any $x \in X$, there is a natural embedding $L_{x} \rightarrow P(L \oplus I)_{x}$ given by the map $y \rightarrow(y, 1)$. This map extends to the one point compactification of $L_{x}$, and gives us a homeomorphism of the one point compactification of $L_{x}$ onto $P(L \oplus i)_{x}$. If we map $X \rightarrow P(L \Theta 1)$ by sending $x$ to the image of the "point at infinity" of the one point compactification of $L_{x}$.
wo obtain a section of $P(L \in 1)$ which we call the "section at Infinity ${ }^{\text {t }}$. Similarly, the zero section of $L$ gives us a section of $P(L \oplus 1)$, which we call the zero section of $P(L \oplus 1)$.

We choose a metric on $L$, and we let $S \subset L$ be the unit circle bundie. We write $P^{0}$ for the part of $L$ consisting of vectors of length $\leq 1$, and $P^{\infty}$ for that part of $P(L \oplus 1)$ ronsisting of the section at infinity, together with all the vectors of length $\geq 1$. We denote the projections $S \rightarrow X, P^{0} \rightarrow X, P^{\infty} \rightarrow X$ hy $\pi, \pi_{0}$, and $\pi_{\infty}$ respectively.

Since $\pi_{0}$ and $\pi_{\infty}$ are homotopy equivalences, every loundte on $p^{0}$ is of the form $\pi_{0}^{*}\left(E^{0}\right)$ and every bundle on $p^{\infty}$ In of the form $\pi_{\infty}^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)$, where $E^{0}$ and $E^{\infty}$ are bundles on $X$. 'Thus, any bundle $E$ on $P(L \oplus 1)$ is isomorphic to one of the form $\left(\pi_{0}^{*}\left(E^{0}\right), f, \pi_{\infty}^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)\right)$, where $f \in \operatorname{ISO}\left(\pi^{*}\left(E^{0}\right), \pi^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)\right)$ is a llutching function. Moreover, if we insist that the isomorphism

$$
E \longrightarrow\left(\pi_{0}^{*} E^{0}, i, \pi_{\infty}^{*} E^{\infty}\right)
$$

-colncide with the obvious ones over the zero and infinite sections, It follows that the homotopy class of $f$ is uniquely determined by tho isomorphism class of $E$. This again uses the fact that the 0 nection is a deformation retract of $P^{0}$ and the co-section a felormation retract of $\mathrm{p}^{\infty}$. We shall simplify our notation alightly by writing ( $E^{0}, f, E^{\infty}$ ) for $\left(\pi_{0}^{*}\left(E^{0}\right), f, \pi_{\infty}^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)\right)$.

Our proof will now be devoted to showing that the bundles $E^{0}$ and $E^{\infty}$ and the clutching function $f$ can be taken to have a particularly simple form. In the special case that $L$ is trivial, $S$ is just $X \times S^{l}$, the projection $S \rightarrow S^{l}$ is a complex-valued function on $S$ which we denote by $z$ (here $S^{l}$ is identified with the complex numbers of unit modulus). This allows us to consider functions on $S$ which are finite Laurent series in $z$ whose coefficients are functions on X :

$$
\sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_{k}(x) z^{k}
$$

These finite Laurent series can be used to approximate functions on $S$ in a uniform manner.

If $L$ is not trivial, we have an analogue to finite Laurent series. Here $z$ becomes a section in a bundle rather than a function. Since $\pi *(L)$ is a subset of $S \times L$, the diagonal map $S \rightarrow S \times S \subset S \times L$ gives us a section of $\pi^{*}(L)$. We denote this section by $z$. Taking tensor products we obtain, for $k \geq 0$, a section $z^{k}$ of $\left(\pi^{*}(L)\right)^{k}$, and a section $z^{-k}$ of $\left(\pi^{*}\left(L^{*}\right)\right)^{k}$. We write $L^{-k}$ for $\left(L^{*}\right)^{k}$. Then, for any $k, k^{\prime}, L^{k} \otimes L^{k^{\prime}} \cong L^{k+k^{\prime}}$ Suppose that $a_{k} \in\left(L^{-k}\right)$. Then $\pi *\left(a_{k}\right) \otimes z^{k} \in \Gamma\left(\pi^{*}(1)\right)$, and thus $\pi^{*}\left(a_{k}\right) \otimes z^{k}$ is a function on $S$. We write $a_{k} z^{k}$ for this function. By a finite Laurent series, we shall understand a sum of functions on $S$ of the form

$$
\sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

where $a_{k} \in \Gamma\left(L^{-k}\right)$ for all $k$.
More generally, if $E^{0}, E^{\infty}$ are two vector bundles on $X$, and $a_{k} \in \Gamma \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, then if we write $a_{k^{2}} z^{k}$ for $a_{k} \otimes z^{k}$, we see that any finite sum of the form

$$
f=\sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

Is an element of $\Gamma\left(\pi^{*}\left\langle E^{0}\right), \pi^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)\right)$. If $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{ISO}\left(\pi^{*}\left(E^{0}\right), \pi^{*}\left(E^{\infty}\right)\right)$, we call $£$ a Laurent clutching function for ( $\mathrm{E}^{0}, \mathrm{E}^{\infty}$ ).

The function $z$ is a clutching function for (1, L). Further, ( $1,2, L$ ) is just the bundle $H^{*}$ which we defined earlier. To *see this, we first recall that $H^{*}$ was defined as a sub-bundle of $\pi^{*}(L \oplus 1)$. For each $y \in P(L \ominus 1)_{x}, H_{y}^{*}$ is a subspace of $(L \oplus 1)_{x}$, and

$$
H_{\infty}^{*}=L_{x} \Theta 0, \quad H_{0}^{*}=0 \Theta 1_{x}
$$

Thus, the composition

$$
H^{*} \longrightarrow \pi^{*}(L \oplus 1) \longrightarrow \pi^{*}(1)
$$

induced by the projection $L \in 1 \rightarrow 1$ defines an isomorphism:

$$
f_{0}: H^{*} \mid P^{0} \longrightarrow \pi_{0}^{*}(1) \text {. }
$$

Likewiso, the composition

$$
\mathrm{H}^{*} \longrightarrow \pi^{*}(L \oplus 1) \longrightarrow \pi^{*}(\mathrm{~L})
$$

induced by the projection $L \in 1 \rightarrow L$ defines an isomorphism:

$$
f_{\infty}: H^{*} \mid P^{\infty} \longrightarrow \pi_{0}^{*}(L)
$$

Hence $f=f_{\infty} f_{0}^{-1}: \pi^{*}(1) \longrightarrow \pi^{*}(L)$ is a clutching function for $H^{*}$ Clearly, if $y \in S_{x}, f(y)$ is the isomorphism whose graph is $H_{y}^{*}$. Since $H_{y}^{*}$ is the subspace of $L_{x} \oplus 1_{x}$ spanned by $y \oplus 1$ $\left(y \in S_{x} \subset L_{x}, l \in C\right)$, we see that $f$ is exactly oux section $z$. Thus

$$
H^{*} \cong(1, z, L)
$$

Therefore, for any integer $k$,

$$
H^{k} \cong\left(1, z^{-k}, L^{-k}\right)
$$

The next step in our classification of the bundles over $P$ is to show that every clutching function can be taken to be a Laurent clutching function. Suppose that $f \in I \mathrm{Hom}\left(\pi * E^{0}, \pi^{*} E^{\infty}\right)$ is any section. We define its Fourier coefficients

$$
a_{k} \in \Gamma \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)
$$

by

$$
a_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} f_{x} z_{x}^{-k-1} d z_{x}
$$

Here $f_{x}, z_{x}$ denote the restrictions of $f, z$ to $S_{x}$, and $d z_{x}$ is therefore a differential on $S_{x}$ with coefficients in $L_{x}$. Let $S_{n}$ be the partial sum

$$
s_{n}=\sum_{-n}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

and define the Cesaro means

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{0}^{n-1} s_{k}
$$

Then the proof of Fejer's theorem on the ( $C, 1$ ) summability of Fourier series extends immediately to the present more general case and gives

LEMMA 2.2.4. Let $f$ be any clutching function for $\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, and let $f_{n}$ be the sequence of Cesaro means of the Fourier series of $f$. Then $f_{n}$ converges uniformly to $f$. Thus, for all large $n, f_{n}$ is a clutching function for ( $E^{0}, E^{\infty}$ ) And $\left(E^{0}, f, E^{\infty}\right) \cong\left(E^{0}, f_{n}, E^{\infty}\right)$.

Proof: Since $\operatorname{LSO}\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$ is an open subset of the vector space $\operatorname{HOM}\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\mathrm{g} \in \operatorname{ISO}\left(\mathrm{E}^{0}, \mathrm{E}^{\infty}\right.$ ) whenever $|f-g|<\epsilon$, where $|\mid$ denotes the usual sup. norm with respect to fixed metrics in $E^{0}, E^{\infty}$. Since the $f_{n}$ converge uniformly to $f_{n}$ we have $\left|f-f_{n}\right|<\epsilon$ for large $n$. Thus, for $0 \leq t \leq 1, \mid t f+(1-t) f_{n} \in \operatorname{ISO}\left(E^{o}, E^{\infty}\right)$ $f$ and $f_{n}$ are homotopic in ISO $\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, so ( $E^{0}, f, E^{\infty}$ ) $\cong\left(E^{0}, f_{n^{2}} E^{\infty}\right)$.

Next, consider a polynomial clutching function; that is, one of the form

$$
p=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

Consider the homomorphism

$$
\mathscr{L}^{n}(p): \pi^{*}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} L^{k} \oplus E^{0}\right) \longrightarrow \pi^{*}\left(E^{\infty} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right)
$$

given by the matrix

$$
\mathcal{L}^{n}(p)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n} \\
-z & 1 & & & \\
& -2 & 1 & & \\
& & \cdots & \cdots & \\
& & & \cdots & \\
& & & & -z \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{p})$ is linear in 2 . Now, define the sequence $p_{y}(z)$ inductively by

$$
p_{o}=p, z p_{r+1}(z)=p_{r}(z)-p_{r}(0) .
$$

Then we have the following matrix identity:

or, more briefly

$$
\varepsilon^{n}(p)=\left(1+N_{1}\right)(p \oplus 1)\left(1+N_{2}\right)
$$

where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are nilpotent, If $N$ is nilpotent, $1+t N$ is nonsingular for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, so we obtain

PROPOSITION 2.2.5. $\mathfrak{S}^{n}(p)$ and $p \in 1$ define Isomorphic bundles on $P$, ice.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) & \Theta\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, 1, \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right) \\
& \cong\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} L^{k} \oplus E^{0}, \mathcal{L}^{n}(p), E^{\infty} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: The definition of $\mathcal{L}^{n}(p)$ is, of course, modelled on the way one passes from an ordinary differential equation of order $n$ to a system of first order equations.

For brevity, we write $\mathcal{S}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right)$ for the bundle $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E, \dot{s}^{n}(p), \quad E^{\infty} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \oplus E^{0}\right)$.

LEMMA 2. 2, 6. Let $p$ be a polynomial clutching function of degree $\leq n$ for $\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{n}\left(E^{0}, P, E^{\infty}\right) \Theta\left(L^{n+1} \otimes E^{0}, 1, L^{n+1} \otimes E^{0}\right)$
(ii) $s^{n+1}\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z p, E^{\infty}\right) \cong s^{n}\left(E^{0}, P, E^{\infty}\right) \Theta\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z, E^{0}\right)$

Proof: We have

$$
\Sigma^{n+1}(p)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\delta^{n}(p) & 0 \\
0 & 0 \cdots-z & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplying the $z$ on the bottom row by $t$ gives us a homotopy between $\mathcal{s}^{n+1}(p)$ and $\mathcal{s}^{n}(p) \oplus 1$. This establishes the first part.

Similarly,

$$
\mathfrak{L}^{n+1}(z p)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n} \\
-z & 1 & & & \\
& -z & 1 & & \\
& & -z & & \\
& & & -z & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We multiply the $l$ on the second row by $t$ and obtain a homotopy between $\mathfrak{s}^{n+1}(z p)$ and $\mathfrak{s}^{n}(p) \oplus(-z)$. Since $-z$ is the composition of $z$ with the map -1 , and since -1 extends to $E^{0},\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0},-z, E^{0}\right) \cong\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z, E^{0}\right)$. The second part is therefore proved.

We shall now establish a simple algebraic formula in $K(P)$. We write $\left[E^{0}, p, E^{+0}\right]$ for $\left[\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right)\right]$.

PROPOSITION 2.2.7. For any polynomial clutching function $P$ for $\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, we have the identity

$$
\left(\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right]-\left[E^{0}, 1, E^{0}\right]\right)([L](H]-[1])=0
$$

Proof: From the second part of the last lemma, together with the last proposition, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z p, E^{\infty}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, 1, \sum_{k=0}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right) \\
\cong\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, 1, \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right) \\
\Theta\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z, E^{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, in $K(P)$,

$$
\left[L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z p, E^{\infty}\right] \oplus\left[E^{0}, 1, E^{0}\right]=\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right] \oplus\left[L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z, E^{0}\right]
$$

Since $[1,2, L]=\left[H^{-1}\right]$,

$$
\left[L^{-1}\right]\left[H^{-1}\right]\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right] \oplus\left[E^{0}, 1, E^{0}\right]=\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right] \odot\left[L^{-1}\right]\left[H^{-1}\right]\left[E^{0}, 1, E^{0}\right]
$$

In particular, if we put $E^{0}=1, p=z, E^{\infty}=1$, we obtain the formula

$$
([\mathrm{H}]-[1])([\mathrm{L}][\mathrm{H}]-[1])=0
$$

which is part of our main theorem.
We now turn our attention to linear clutching functions. First, suppose that $T$ is an endomorphism of a finite dimensional vector space $E$, and let $S$ be a circle in the complex plane which does not pass through any eigenvalue of $T$. Then

$$
Q=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S}(z-T)^{-1} d z
$$

is a projection operator in $\mathbf{E}$ which commutes with $\mathbf{T}$. The decomposition $E=E_{+} \oplus E_{-}, E_{+}=Q E, E_{-}=(1-Q) E$ is therefore invariant under $T$, so that $T$ can be written as $T=T_{+} \oplus T_{-}$. Then $T_{+}$has all of its eigenvalues inside $S$, while $T_{\text {- }}$ has all of its eigenvalues outside $S$. This is, of course, just the spectral decomposition of $T$ corresponding to the two components of the complement of S .

We shall now extend theso results to vector bundles, but first we make a remark on notation. So far $z$ and hence $p(z)$ have been sections over $S$. However, they extend in a natural way to sections over the whole of $L$. It will alsa be convenient to include the $\infty$-section of $P$ in certain statements. Thas, if we assert that $p(z)=a z+b$ is an isomorphism outside $S$, we shall take this to incluce the statement that $a$ is an isomorphism.

PROPCSITION 2.2.8. Let $P$ be a linear clutching function for $\left(E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$, and define endomorphisms $Q^{0}, Q^{\infty}$ of $\mathrm{E}^{0}, \mathrm{E}^{\infty}$ by putting

$$
Q_{x}^{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} p_{x}^{-1} d p_{x} \quad Q_{x}^{\infty}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} d p_{x} p_{x}^{-1}
$$

Then $Q^{0}$ and $Q^{\infty}$ are projection operators, and

$$
P Q^{0}=\Omega^{\infty} \mathbf{p} .
$$

Write $E_{+}^{i}=Q^{i} E^{i}, E_{-}^{i}=\left(1-Q^{i}\right) E^{i}, i=0, \infty, s o$ that $E^{i}=E_{+}^{i} \Theta E_{+}^{i}$. Then $p$ is compatible with these decompositions, so that $p=p_{+}{ }^{\oplus} p_{-}$. Moreover, $P_{+}$is an isomorphism outside $S$, and $P_{\text {. }}$ is an isomorphlam inside $s$

Proof: It suffices to verify these statements at each point $x \in X$. In other words, we may assume that $X$ is a point, $L=C$,
and $z$ is just a complex number. Since $p(z)$ is an isomorphism for $|z|=1$, we can find a real number $\alpha$ with $\alpha>1$ such that $p(\alpha): E^{0} \rightarrow E^{\infty}$ is an isomorphism. For simplicity of computation, we identify $E^{0}$ with $E^{\infty}$ by this isomorphism, Next, we consider the conformal transformation

$$
w=\frac{1-\alpha z}{z-\alpha}
$$

which preserves the unit circle and its inside. Substituting for $z$, we find (since we have taken $p(\alpha)=1$ )

$$
p(z)=\frac{w-T}{w+\alpha}
$$

where $T \in \operatorname{End}\left(E^{0}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{0} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=1} p^{-1} d p \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|=1}\left(-(w+\alpha)^{-1} d w+(w-T)^{-1} d w\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \quad \int_{|w|_{=1}}(w-T)^{-1} d w \quad \text { since }|\alpha|>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
Q^{\infty}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|=1}(d w)(w-T)^{-1}=Q^{0}
$$

so our assertions follow from the corresponding statements concerning a linear transformation $T$.

## COROLLARY 2.2.9. Let $p$ be as in (2. 2, 8), and

write

$$
p_{+}=a_{+} z+b_{+}, \quad p_{-}=a_{-} z+b_{-}
$$

Then, if $p(t)=p_{+}(t) \oplus P_{-}(t)$, where

$$
P_{+}(t)=a_{+} z+t b_{+}, \quad P_{-}(t)=t a_{-} z+b_{-}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

we obtain a homotopy of linear clutching functions connectizg $p$ with $a_{+} z^{\oplus} b_{-}$Thus

$$
\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) \cong\left(E_{+}^{0}, z, L \otimes E_{+}^{0}\right) \Theta\left(E_{-}^{0}, 1, E_{-}^{0}\right)
$$

Proof: The last part of the last lemma implies that $P_{+}(t)$ and $p_{-}(t)$ are isomorphisms on $S$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Thus, $p(t)$ is a clutching function for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) & \cong\left(E^{0}, p(1), E^{\infty}\right) \\
& \cong\left(E_{+}^{0}, a_{+} z, E_{+}^{\infty}\right) \oplus\left(E_{-}^{0}, b_{-}, E_{-}^{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{+}: L_{\&} E_{+}^{0} \rightarrow E_{+}^{\infty}, b_{-}: E_{-}^{0} \rightarrow E_{-}^{\infty}$ are necessarily isomorphisms, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E_{+}^{0}, a_{+} z, E_{+}^{\infty}\right) \cong\left(E_{+}^{0}, z, L \otimes E_{+}^{0}\right) \\
& \left(E_{-}^{0}, b_{-}, E_{-}^{\infty}\right) \cong\left(E_{-}^{0}, 1, E_{-}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, consider a polynomial clutching function $p$ of degree $\leq n$. Then $\Sigma^{n}(p)$ is a linear clutching function for ( $v^{0}, v^{\infty}$ ) where

$$
v^{0}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, \quad V^{\infty}=E^{\infty} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{k} \otimes E^{0}
$$

Hence, it defines a decomposition

$$
\mathrm{v}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{+}^{0} \oplus \mathrm{v}_{-}^{0}
$$

as above. To express the dependence of $V_{+}^{0}$ on $p$ and $n$, we write

$$
\mathrm{v}_{+}^{0}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{E}^{0}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{E}^{\infty}\right)
$$

Note that this is a vector bundle on $X$. If $p_{t}$ is a homotopy of polynomial clutching functions of degree $\leq n$, it follows by constructing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ over $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{I}$ that

$$
V_{n}\left(E^{0}, P_{0}, E^{\infty}\right) \cong V_{n}\left(E^{0}, P_{1}, E^{\infty}\right)
$$

Hence, from the homotopies used in proving the two parts of (2.2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n+1}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) & \cong V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right), \\
v_{n+1}\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}, z p, E^{\infty}\right) & \cong V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) \oplus\left(L^{-1} \otimes E^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
v_{n+1}\left(E^{0}, 2 p, L \otimes E^{\infty}\right) \cong L \otimes V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right) \Theta E^{0}
$$

Combining this with the above corollary and (2.2.5), we obtain the following formula in $K(P)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right]+\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right]\right\}[1]=} & {\left[V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right)\right]\left[H^{-1}\right] } \\
& +\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[L^{k} \otimes E^{0}\right]-\left[V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{0}\right)\right]\right\}[1]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence the formula

$$
\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right]=\left[V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right)\right]\left(\left[H^{-1}\right]-[1]\right)+\left[E^{0}\right][1]
$$

This shows that $\left[V_{p}^{+}\right] \in K(X)$ completely determines $\left[E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right] \in K(P)$. We can now prove our theorem.

Let $t$ be an indeterminant over the ring $K(X)$. Then the map $t \rightarrow[H]$ induces a $K(X)$-algebra homomorphism (since $([\mathrm{H}]-[\mathrm{L}])([\mathrm{L}][\mathrm{H}]-[\mathrm{l}])=0$ )

$$
\mu: K(X)[t] /((t-1)([L] t-1)) \longrightarrow K(P) .
$$

To prove that $\mu$ is an isomorphism, we explicitly construct an Inverse.

First, suppose that $f$ is a ciutching function for ( $E^{0}, E^{\infty}$ ).
Let $f_{n}$ be the sequence of Cesaro means of its Fourier series, and put $p_{n}=z^{n} f_{n}$. Then, if $n$ is sufficiently large, $p_{n}$ is a
polynomial clutching function (of degree $\leq 2 n$ ) for ( $E^{0}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}$ ). We define

$$
v_{n}(f) \in K(X)[t] /((t-1)([L] t-1))
$$

by the formula

$$
v_{n}(f)=\left[V_{2 n}\left(E^{0}, p_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right)\right]\left(t^{n-1}-t^{n}\right)+\left[E^{0}\right] t^{n}
$$

Now, for sufficiently large $n$, the linear segment joining $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and $\mathrm{zP}_{\mathrm{n}}$ provides a homotopy of polynomial clutching functions of degree $\leq 2(n+1)$. Hence, by the formulae following (2.2.9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2 n+2}\left(E^{0}, p_{n+1}, L^{n+1} \otimes E^{\infty}\right) & \cong V_{2 n+2}\left(E^{0}, z P_{n}, L^{n+1} \otimes E^{\infty}\right) \\
& \cong V_{2 n+1}\left(E^{0}, z p_{n}, L^{n+1} \otimes E^{\infty}\right) \\
& \cong L \otimes V_{2 n}\left(E^{0}, P_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right) \Theta E^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{n+1}(f) & =\left\{[L]\left[v_{2 n}\left(E^{0}, p_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right)\right]+\left[E^{0}\right]\right\}\left(t^{n}-t^{n+1}\right)+\left[E^{0}\right] t^{n+1} \\
& =\nu_{n}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $(t-1)([L] t-1)=0$. Thus, $\nu_{n}(f)$ is independent of $n$ if $n$ is sufficiently large, and thus depends only on $f$. We write it as $\nu(f)$. If $g$ is sufficiently close to $f$, and $n$ is sufficiently large, the linear segment joining $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ provides a homotopy
of polynomial clutching functions of degree $\leq 2 n$, and hence $\nu(f)=\nu_{n}(\mathrm{f})=\nu_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{g})=\nu(\mathrm{g})$. Thus, $\nu(\mathrm{f})$ is a locally constant function of $f$, and hence depends only on the homotopy class of $f$. However, if $E$ is any bundle on $P$, and $f$ a clutching function defining $E$, we define $\nu(E)=\nu(f)$, and $\nu(E)$ will be well defined and depend only on the isomorphism class of $E$. Since $\nu(E)$ is clearly additive for + , it induces a group homomorphism

$$
\nu: \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{P}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})[\mathrm{t}] /((\mathrm{t}-1)([\mathrm{L}] \mathrm{t}-1)) .
$$

From our definition, it is clear that this is a $K(X)$-module homomorphism.

First, we check that $\mu \nu$ is the identity. With our notation as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu \nu(E) & =\mu\left\{\left[V_{2 n}\left(E^{0}, p_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right)\right]\left(t^{n-1}-t^{n}\right)+\left[E^{0}\right] t^{n}\right\} \\
& =\left[V_{2 n}\left(E^{0}, p_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right)\right]\left([H]^{n-1}-\left[H^{n}\right]\right)+\left[E^{0}\right][H]^{n} \\
& =\left[E^{0}, P_{n}, L^{n} \otimes E^{\infty}\right][H]^{n} \\
& =\left[E^{0}, f_{n}, E^{\infty}\right] \\
& =\left[E^{0}, f, E^{\infty}\right] \\
& =E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K(P)$ is additively generated by elements of the form [ $E]$, this proves that $\mu \nu$ is the identity.

Finally, we show that $u f$ is the identity. Since 14 is a homomorphism of $K(X)$-modules, it suffices to show that $\nu_{\mu}\left(t^{n}\right)=t^{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu \mu\left(t^{n}\right) & =\nu\left(H^{n 1}\right) \\
& =\nu\left[1, z^{+n}, L^{-n}\right] \\
& =\left[V_{2 n}(t, 1,1)\right]\left(t^{n-1}-t^{n}\right)+[1] t^{n} \\
& =t^{n}, \text { since } V_{2 n}(1,1,1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

82.3. $K_{G}(X)$. Suppose that $G$ is a finite group and that $X$ is a $G$-space. Let $V^{\text {Vect }}{ }_{G}(X)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of G-vector bundles over $X$. This is an abelian semigroup under $\oplus$. We form the associated abelian group and denote it by $K_{G}(X)$. If $G=1$ is the trivial group then $K_{G}(X)=K(X)$. If on the other hand $X$ is a point then $K_{G}(X) \cong R(G)$ the character ring of $G$.

If $E$ is a $G$ revector bundle over $X$ then $P(E)$ ia a G-space If $E=L \oplus 1$ when $L$ is a $G$-bundle then the zero and infinite sections $X \rightarrow P(E)$ are both $G-s e c t i o n s$. Also the bundile $H$ over $P(E)$ is a $G$ - line bundle. If we now examine the proof of the periodicity theorem which we have just given we see that we could have assumed a G-action on everything. Thius we get the periodicity theorem for $K_{G}$ :

THEOREM 2.3.1. If $X$ is a $G$-space, and if $L$ is a $G$-line bundle over $X$, the map $t \rightarrow[H]$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{X})$ - modules:

$$
K_{G}(X)(t] /(t[L]-1)(t-1) \longrightarrow K_{G}(P(L \oplus 1))
$$

\$2.4. Cohomology theory properties of $K$. We next define $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ for a compact pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ). We shall then be able to establish, in a purely formal fashion, certain properties of K. Since the proofs are formal, the theorems are equally valid for any "cohomology theory" satisfying certain axioms. We leave this formalization to the reader.

Let $C$ denote the category of compact spaces, $C^{+}$the category of compact spaces with distinguished basepoint, and $\mathrm{c}^{2}$ the category of compact pairs. We define functors:

by sending a pair ( $X, Y$ ) to $X / X$ with basepoint $Y / Y$ (if $Y \neq \phi$, the empty set, $X / Y$ is understood to be the disjoint union of $X$ with a point.) We send a space $X$ to the pair ( $x, \phi$ ). The composite $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{+}$is given by $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{+}$, where $\mathrm{X}^{+}$denotes $\mathrm{X} / \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. If X is in $\mathrm{C}^{+}$, we define $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{X})$ to be the kernel of the map $i^{*}: K(X) \rightarrow K\left(x_{0}\right)$ where $i: x_{0} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the basepoint. If $c: X \rightarrow x_{0}$ is the collapsing map then $c^{*}$ induces a splitting $K(X) \cong \tilde{K}(X) \oplus K\left(x_{0}\right)$. This splitting is clearly natural for maps in $\mathbf{C}^{+}$. Thus $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}$ is a functor on $\mathrm{C}^{+}$. Also, it is clear that $K(X) \cong \tilde{K}\left(X^{+}\right)$. We define $K(X, Y)$ by $K(X, Y)=\tilde{K}(X / Y)$. In particular $K(X, \varphi) \cong K(X)$. Since $K$ is a functor on $C^{+}$it follows that $K(X, Y)$ is a contravariant functor of $(X, Y)$ in $C^{2}$.

We now introduce the "smash product" operation in $\mathrm{c}^{+}$. If $\mathbf{X}, Y \in \mathrm{C}^{+}$we put

$$
X \wedge Y=X X Y / X \vee Y
$$

where $X \vee Y=X \times y_{0} \cup x_{0} \times Y, x_{0}, y_{0}$ being the base-points of $X, Y$ respectively. For any three spaces $X, Y, Z \in C^{+}$we have a natural homeomorphism

$$
X \wedge(Y \wedge Z) \circledast(X \wedge Y) \wedge Z
$$

and we shall identify these spaces by the hemeomorphism.
Let I denote the unit interval $[0,1]$ and let $\partial I=\{0\} \cup\{1\}$ be its boundary. We take $I / \partial I \in \mathrm{C}^{+}$as our standard model of the circle $S^{1}$. Similarly if $I^{n}$ denotes the unit cube in $R^{n}$ we take $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ as our model of the n-sphere $S^{n}$. Then we have a natural homeomorphism

$$
S^{n} \approx S^{1} \wedge S^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{1} \quad \text { (n factors) }
$$

For $X \in C^{+}$the space $s^{1} \wedge X \in C^{+}$is called the reduced suspension of $X$, and often written as $S X$. The $n$-th iterated suspension SS... SX (n times) is naturailly homeomorphic to $S^{n} \wedge X$ and is written briefly as $s^{n} X$.

DEFINTTION 2.4.1. For $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{K}^{-n}(X) & =\tilde{K}\left(S^{n} X\right) & \text { for } X \in C^{+} \\
K^{-n}(X, Y) & =\widetilde{K}^{-n}(X / Y)=\tilde{K}\left(S^{n}(X / Y)\right) & \text { for }(X, Y) \in c^{2} \\
K^{-n}(X) & =K^{-n}(X, \not \subset)=\widetilde{K}\left(S^{n}\left(X^{+}\right)\right) & \text {for } X \in C .
\end{array}
$$

It is clear that all these are contravariant functors on the appropriate categories.

Before proceeding further we define the cone on $X$ by

$$
C X=I \times X /\{0\} \times X
$$

Thus $C$ is a functor $C: C \rightarrow C^{+}$. We identify $X$ with the subspace $\{1\} \times X$ of $C X$. The space $C X / X=I \times X / \partial I \times X$ is called the unreduced suspension of $X$. Note that this is a functor $\mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{+}$ whereas the reduced suspension $S$ is a functor $\mathrm{C}^{\boldsymbol{+}} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\boldsymbol{+}}$. If $X \in C^{+}$with base-point $x_{0}$ then we have a natural inclusion map

$$
I \approx G x_{0} / x_{0} \longrightarrow c x / x
$$

and the quotient spaco obtained by collapsing $I$ in $C X / X$ is just SX. Thus by (1.4.8) $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{CX} / \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{SX}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{SX}) \cong \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{CX} / \mathrm{X})$ and hence also an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{SX}) \cong \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{CX}, \mathrm{X})$ Thus the use of SX for both the reduced and unreduced suspensicns leads to no problems .

If $(X, Y) \in C^{2}$ we define $X \cup C Y$ to be the space obtained from $X$ and $C Y$ by identifying the subspaces $Y \subset X$ and $\{1\} \times Y \subset C Y$. Taking the base-point of $C Y$ as base-point of XUCY we have

$$
x \cup C Y \in c^{+}
$$

We note that $\mathbf{X}$ is a subspace of XUCY and that there is a natural homeomorphism

$$
X \cup C Y / X \approx C Y / Y \text {. }
$$

Thus, if $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K}(X \cup C Y, X) & \cong K(C Y, Y) \\
& \cong \tilde{K}(S Y) \\
& =\widetilde{K}^{-1}(Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we begin with a simple lemma
LEMMA 2.4.2. For $(X, Y) \in C^{2}$ we have an exact sequence

$$
K(X, Y) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} K(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}^{*}} K(Y)
$$

where $i: Y \rightarrow X$ and $j:(X, \varnothing) \rightarrow(X, Y)$ are the inclusions.

Proof: The composition $i^{*} \mathbf{j}^{*}$ is induced by the composition $\mathrm{j} i:(Y, \phi) \rightarrow(X, Y)$, and so factors through the zero group $K(Y, Y)$. Thus $i * j *=0$. Suppose now that $\xi \in \operatorname{Ker} i *$. We may represent $\xi$ in the form $[E]$ - [n] where $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$. Since $i^{\star} \xi=0$ it follows that $[E \mid Y]=[n]$ in $K(Y)$. This implies that for some integer $m$ we have

$$
(E \oplus m) \mid Y=n \oplus m
$$

$i_{4}$ e., we have a trivialization $\alpha$ of $(E \notin \mathrm{~m}) \mid Y$. This defines a bundle $E \oplus m / \alpha$ on $X / Y$ and so an element

$$
\eta=[E \oplus m / \alpha]-[n \Theta m] \in \tilde{K}(X / Y)=K(X, Y)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{*}(n) & =[E \oplus m]-[n \oplus m] \\
& =[E]-[n]=\xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Ker $i^{*}=\operatorname{Im} j^{*}$ and the exactness is established.

COROLLARY 2.4.3. If $(X, Y) \in C^{2}$ and $Y \in C^{+}$ (so that, taking the same base-point of $X$, we have $X \in c^{+}$also), then the sequence

$$
\mathbf{K}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(Y)
$$

is exact .

Proof: This is immediate from (2, 4. 2) and the natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(X) \cong \tilde{K}(X) \oplus K\left(y_{0}\right) \\
& K(Y) \cong \tilde{K}(Y) \oplus K\left(y_{0}\right) \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now ready for our main proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.4.4. For $(X, Y) \in C^{+}$there is a natural exact sequence (infinite to the left)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots K^{-2}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\delta} K^{-1}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} K^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} K^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^{0}(X, Y) \\
& \xrightarrow{j^{*}} K^{0}(X) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} K^{0}(Y) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: First we observe that it is sufficient to show that, for $(X, Y) \in C^{2}$ and $Y \in C^{+}$, we have an exact sequence of five terms
(*) $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}^{*}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{j}^{*}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}^{*}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(\mathrm{Y})$.

In fact, if this has been established then, replacing ( $X, Y$ ) by ( $S^{n} X, S^{n} Y$ ) for $n=1,2, \ldots$ we obtain an infinite sequence continuing (*). Then replacing ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) by $\left(\mathrm{X}^{+}, \mathrm{Y}^{+}\right.$) where $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ is any pair in $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ we get the infinite sequence of the enunciation. Now (2.4.3) gives the exactness of the last three terms of ( $\%$ ). To get exactness at the
remaining places we shall apply ( $2,4.3$ ) in furn to: the pairs $(X \cup C Y, X)$ and ( $(X \cup C Y) \cup C X, X \cup C Y)$. First, taking the pair ( $X \cup C Y, X$ ) we get an exact sequence (where $k, m$ are the natural inclusions)

$$
\mathrm{K}(X \cup C Y, X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{m}^{*}} \tilde{K}(X \cup C Y) \xrightarrow{k^{*}} \tilde{K}(X) .
$$

Since CY is contractible $1.4,8$ ) implies that

$$
\mathrm{p}^{*}: \tilde{K}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(X \cup C Y)
$$

is an isomorphism where

$$
\mathbf{P}: X \cup C Y \longrightarrow X \cup C Y / C Y=X / Y
$$

is the collapsing map. Also the composition $\mathbf{k}^{*} \mathbf{p}^{*}$ coincides with j* . Let

$$
\theta: K(X \cup C Y, X) \longrightarrow K^{-1}(Y)
$$

be the isomorphism introduced earlier. Then defining

$$
\delta: \mathrm{K}^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})
$$

by $\delta=m * \theta^{-1}$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} K(X, Y) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \tilde{K}(X)
$$

which is the middle part of (*).
Finally, we apply (2, 4, 3) to the pair

$$
\left(X \cup \epsilon_{1} Y \cup C_{2} x, X \cup C_{1} Y\right)
$$

where we have labelled the cones $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in order to distinguish between them. (see figure).


Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$
K\left(X \cup c_{1} Y \cup c_{2} X, X \cup c_{1} Y\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(X \cup c_{1} Y \cup c_{2} X\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(X \cup C_{1} Y\right)
$$

It will be sufficient to show that this sequence is isomorphic to the sequence obtained from the first three terms of (*). In view of the definition of $\sigma$ it will be sufficient to show that the diagram

$$
K\left(X \cup C_{1} Y \cup C_{2} X, X \cup C_{1} Y\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(X \cup C_{1} Y \cup C_{2} X\right)
$$

(D)

commutes up to sign. that $i *$ is induced by the inclusion

$$
c_{2} \mathrm{Y} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}
$$

and that in the above diagram we have $C_{1} Y$ and not $C_{2} Y$. To deal with this situation we introduce the double cone on $Y$ namely $C_{1} Y \cup C_{2} Y$. This fits into the commutative diagram of maps

where all double arrows $\Rightarrow$ induce isomorphism in K. Using this diagram we see that diagram (D) will commute up to $s$ ign provided the diagram induced by

commutes up to sign. This will follow at once from the following
lemma which is in any case of independent interest and will be needed later

LEMMA 2.4.5. Let $T: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be defined by $T(t)$ $=1-t, t \in I$ (we recall that $S^{1}=1 / \partial I$ ) and let $T \wedge 1: S Y \rightarrow S Y$ be the map induced by $T$ on $S^{l}$ and the identity on $Y$ (for $Y \in C^{+}$). Then $(T \wedge 1)^{*} y=-y$ for $y \in \mathbb{K}(S X)$.

This lemma in turn is an easy corollary of the following:

LEMMA 2.4.6. For any map $f: Y \rightarrow G L(n, C)$ let $E_{i}$ denote the corresponding vector bundle over $S Y$. Then $f \rightarrow\left[E_{f}\right]-[n]$ Induces a group isomorphism

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}[Y, G L(n, C)] \cong K(S Y)
$$

where the group structure on the left is induced from that of GL( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{C}$ ) *

In fact, the operation $\left(T \wedge 1\right.$ ) ${ }^{*}$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\mathrm{SY})$ corresponds by the isomorphism of $(2,4.6)$ to the operation of replacing the map $y \rightarrow f(y)$ by $y \rightarrow f(y)^{-1}$, i. e., it corresponds to the inverse in the group. Thus ( 2.4 .6 ) implies ( 2.4 .5 ) and hence ( 2.4 .4 ) . It remains therefore to establish (2.4.6). Now ( 1.4 .9 ) implies that $f \rightarrow\left[E_{f}\right]-[n]$ induces a bijection of sets

$$
\lim [Y, G L(n, C)] \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}(S Y) .
$$

The fact that this is in fact a group homomorphism follows from the homotopy connecting the two maps $G L(n) \times G L(n) \rightarrow G L(2 n)$ given by

$$
A \times B \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
A \times B \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

This homotopy is given explicitly by

$$
\rho_{t}(A \times B)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos t & \sin t \\
-\sin t & \cos t
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos t & \sin t \\
\sin t & \cos t
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $0 \leq t \leq \pi / 2$.
From (2.4.4) we deduce at once:

COROLLARY 2.4.7. If $Y$ is a retract of $X$, then for all $n \geq 0$, the sequence $K^{-n}(X, Y) \rightarrow K^{-n}(X) \rightarrow K^{-n}(Y)$ is a split short exect sequence, and

$$
K^{-n}(X) \cong K^{-n}(X, Y) \oplus K^{-n}(Y)
$$

COROLLARY 2.4.8. If $X, Y$ are two spaces with
basepoints, the projection maps $\pi_{X}: X \times X \rightarrow X,{ }^{\pi} X: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ induce an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$

$$
\mathbb{K}^{-n}(X \times Y) \cong \tilde{K}^{-n}(X \wedge Y) \oplus \tilde{K}^{-n}(X) \oplus \tilde{K}^{-n}(Y)
$$

## Proof: $X$ is a retract of $X X Y$, and $Y$ is a retract

 of ( $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y}$ )/X. The result follows by two applications of (2, 4, 7) .Since $\tilde{K}^{0}(X \wedge Y)$ is the kernel of $i_{X}^{*} \oplus i_{Y}^{*} ; K^{0}(X \times Y)$ $\rightarrow K^{0}(X) \oplus K^{0}(Y)$, the usual tensor product $K^{0}(X) \otimes K^{0}(Y) \rightarrow K^{0}(X \times Y)$ induces a pairing $\tilde{K}^{0}(X) \otimes \widetilde{K}^{0}(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{0}(X \wedge Y)$. Thus, we have a pairing

$$
\tilde{K}^{-n}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{K}}^{-\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{K}}^{-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{X} \wedge \mathrm{Y}),
$$

since $S^{n} X \wedge S^{m} Y=S^{n} \wedge S^{m} \wedge X \wedge Y=S^{n+m} \wedge X \wedge Y$. Replacing $\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathrm{X}^{+}$; $\mathbf{Y}$ by $\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{+}}$, we have

$$
K^{-n}(X) \otimes K^{-m}(Y) \longrightarrow K^{-n-m}(X \times Y) .
$$

Using this pairing, we can restate the periodicity theorem as follows:

THEOREM 2.4.9. For any space $X$ and any $n \leq 0$, the map $K^{-2}$ (point) $K^{-n}(X) \rightarrow K^{-n-2}(X)$ induces an isomorphism $\beta: K^{-n}(X) \rightarrow K^{-n-2}(X)$.

Proof: $K^{-2}$ (point) $=\tilde{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{2}\right)$ is the free abelian group generated by $[\mathrm{H}]-[1]$. If $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$ the maps in the exact mequence (2.4.4) all commute with the periodicity isomorphism $\beta$. This is immediate for $i^{*}$ and $j^{*}$ and is also true for 8 since this was also induced by a map of spaces. In other words $\beta$ shifts the whole sequence to the left by six terms. Hence if we define
$K^{n}(X, Y)$ for $n>0$ inductively by $K^{-n}=K^{-n-2}$ we can extend (2.4.4) to an exact sequence infinite in both directions. Alternatively using the periodicity $\beta$ we can define an exact sequence of six terma


Except when otherwise stated we shall now always identify $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $K^{n-2}$. We introduce

$$
K^{*}(X)=K^{0}(X) \oplus K^{1}(X)
$$

We define $K^{*}(X)$ to be $K^{\mathbf{0}}(X) \oplus K^{\mathbf{I}}(X)$. Then, for any pair (X,Y), we have an exact sequence


The form of the periodicity theorem given in (2.4.9) is a special case of a more general "Thom isomorphism theorem". If $X$ is a compact space, and $E$ is a real vector bundle over $X$, the Thom complex $X^{E}$ of $E$ is the one point compactification
of the total space of E . Alternatively, if E is a complex bundle, $X^{E}=P(E \oplus 1) / P(E)$. Thus, we see that $\widetilde{K}\left(X^{E}\right)$ is a module over $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$. The Thom isomorphism theorem for complex line bundles can now be stated.

THEOREM 2.4.10. If $L$ is a complex line bundie, $\tilde{K}\left(X^{L}\right)$ is a free $K(X)$-module on one generator $\mu(L)$, and the image of $\mu(L)$ in $K(P(L \oplus 1))$ is $[H]=\left[L^{*}\right]$.

Proof: This is immediate from our main theorem determining $K(P(L \oplus 1))$ and the exact sequence of the pair $P(L \oplus 1), P(L)$ (note that $P(L)=X)$.

We conclude this section by giving the following extension of ( $2.4,5$ ) which will be needed later.

LEMMA 2.4.11, Let $T_{\sigma}: S^{n} X \rightarrow S^{n} X$ be the map induced by a permutation $\sigma$ of the $n$ factors in $S^{n}=S^{1} \wedge S^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge S^{1}$ Then $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}\right)^{*} \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \mathrm{x}$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \tilde{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}\right)$.

Proof: Considering $S^{n}$ as the one-point compactification of $R^{n}$ we can make $G L(n, R)$ act on it and hence on $\tilde{K}\left(S^{n} X\right)$. 'This extends the permutation actions $T_{\sigma}$. Since $G L(n, R)$ has Just two components characterized by sgn det it is sufficient to wheck the formula $T^{*} \mathbf{x}=-\mathbf{x}$ for one $T \in G L(n, R)$ with det $T=-1$. Hut ( $2,4,5$ ) gives this formula for

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

82.5. Computations of $K^{*}(X)$ for some $X$. From the periodicity theorem, we see that $\tilde{K}\left(S^{n}\right)=0$ if $n$ is odd, and $\tilde{K}\left(S^{n}\right)=z$ if $n$ is even. This allows us to prove the Brouwer fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 2.5.1. Let $D^{n}$ be the unit disc in Euclidean n-space. If $f: D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n}$ is continuous, then for some $x \in D^{n}, f(x)=x$,

Proof: Since $\widetilde{K}^{*}\left(D^{n}\right)=0$, and $\widetilde{K}^{*}\left(S^{n-1}\right) \neq 0, S^{n-1}$ is not a retract of $D^{n}$. If $f(x) \neq x$ for every $x \in D^{n}$, define $g: D^{n} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ by $g(x)=(1-\alpha(x)) f(x)+\alpha(x) x$, where $\alpha(x)$ is the unique function such that $\alpha(x) \geq 0,|g(x)|=1$. If $f(x) \neq x$ for all $x$, clearly such a function $\alpha(x)$ exists. If $x \in S^{n-1}$, $\alpha(x)=1$, and $g(x)=x$. Thus $g$ is a retraction of $D^{n}$ onto $s^{n-1}$.

We will say that a space $X$ is a cell complex if there is a filtration by closed sets $X_{-1} \subset X_{0} \subset X_{1} \subset \cdots \subset X_{n}=X$ such that each $X_{k}-X_{k-1}$ is a disjoint union of open $k$-cells, and $x_{-1}=\varnothing$.

PROPOSITION 2.5.2. If $X$ is a cell complex such that $X_{2 k}=X_{2 k+1}$ for all $k$,
$K^{1}(X)=0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{X})$ is a free abelian group with generators in a
one-one correspondence with the cells of $X$.

## Proof: We proceed by induction on $n$. Since

 $X_{2 n} / X_{2 n-2}$ is a union of $2 n$-spheres with a point in common we have:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}-2}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~K}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}-2}\right)=\mathbb{z}^{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k$ is the number of $2 n$-cells in $X$. The result for $X_{2 n}$ now follows from the inductive hypothesis and the exact sequence of the pair $\left(X_{2 n}, X_{2 n-2}\right)$. As examples of spaces to which this proposition applies, we may take $X$ to be a complex Grassmam manifold, a flag manifold, a complex quadric (a space whose homogeneous defining equation is of the form $\Sigma z_{i}^{2}=0$ ), We whall return to the Grassmann and flag manifolds in more detail inter.

PROPOSITION 2. 5. 3. Let $L_{1}, \cdots, L_{n}$ be line bundles over $X$, and let $H$ be the standard bundle over $P\left(L_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus L_{n}\right)$. Then, the map $t \rightarrow[H]$ induces an isomorphism of $K(X)$-modules

$$
K(X)[t] / \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t \cdots\left[L_{i}^{*}\right]\right) \longrightarrow K\left(P\left(L_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Proof: First we shall show that we may take $L_{n}=1$. In fact for any vector bundle $E$ and line bundle $L$ over $X$ we
have $P(E \otimes L)=P(E)$ and the standard line bundles $G, H$ over $P\left(E \otimes L^{*}\right), P(E)$ are related by $G^{*}=H^{*} \otimes L, i, e ., G=H \otimes L^{*}$ Taking $E=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}$ and $L=L_{n}^{*}$ we see that the propositions for $L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}$ and for $M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}$ with $M_{i}=L_{i} \otimes I_{n}^{*}$ are equivalent. We shall suppose therefore that $L_{n}=1$ and for brevity write

$$
P_{m}=P\left(L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{m}\right) \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq n
$$

so that we have inclusions $X=P_{1} \rightarrow P_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{n}$. If $H_{m}$ denotes the standard line bundle over $P_{m}$ then $H_{m} \mid P_{m-1} \cong H_{m-1}$ Now we observe that we have a commutative diagram

( $\pi_{n-1}$ is the projection onto $X=P_{1}, i_{n}$ is the inclusion, $s$ is the zero section) which induces a homeomorphism

$$
P\left(H_{n-1}^{*} \oplus 1\right) / s\left(P_{n-1}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n} / P_{1}
$$

Moreover $q^{*}\left(H_{n}\right) \cong G$, the standard line bundle over $P\left(H_{n-1}^{*} \oplus 1\right)$ Now $K\left(P\left(H_{n-1}^{*} \oplus 1\right)\right)$ is a free $K\left(P_{n-1}\right)$-module on two generators
[1] and [G], and [G] satisfies the equation ( $[G]-[1])\left([G]-\left[H_{n-1}\right]\right)$
Since $s *[G]=[1]$ it follows that $K\left(P\left(H_{n-1}^{*} \otimes I\right), s\left(P_{n-1}\right)\right)$ is the submodule generated freely by [G] - [I] and that, on this submodule, multiplication by [G] and [ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ ] coincide. Hence $K\left(P_{n}, P_{1}\right)$ is a free $K\left(P_{n-1}\right)$-module generated freely by $\left(\left[H_{n}\right]-[1]\right)$ and this module structure is such that, for any $x \in K\left(P_{n}, P_{1}\right)$,

$$
\left[H_{n-1}\right] x=\left[H_{n}\right] x
$$

Now assume the proposition established for $n-1$, so that

$$
K\left(P_{n-1}\right) \cong K(X)[t] / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(t-\left[L_{i}^{*}\right]\right)
$$

with $t$ corresponding to $\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right]$. Then it follows that $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ induces an isomorphigm of the ideal ( $t-1$ ) in

$$
K(X)[t] /(t-1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(t-\left[L_{i}^{* *}\right]\right)
$$

unto $K\left(P_{n}, P_{1}\right)$. Since

$$
K\left(P_{n}\right) \cong K\left(P_{n}, P_{1}\right) \oplus K(X)
$$

and since $L_{n}=1$ this gives the required result for $K\left(P_{n}\right)$ establishing the induction and completing the proof.

COROLLARY 2.5.4. $K\left(P\left(C^{n}\right)\right) \cong Z[t] /(t-1)^{n}$ under the map $t \rightarrow[H]$.

Proof: Take $X$ to be a point.
We could again have assumed that a finite group acted on everything, and we would have obtained

$$
K_{G}(X)[t] / \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t \sim\left[L_{i}^{*}\right]\right) \cong K_{G}\left(P\left(L_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}\right)\right)
$$

§2. 6. Multiplication in $K^{*}(X, Y)$. We first observe that the multiplication in $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$ can be defined "externally" as follows. Let $E, F$ be two bundles over $X$, and let $E \hat{\otimes} F$ be $\pi_{1}^{*}(E) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*}(F)$ over $X \times X$. If $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal then $E \otimes F=\Delta^{*}(E \hat{\otimes} F)$.

If $E$ is a bundle on $X, F$ a bundle on $Y$, let $E \hat{\otimes} F$ $=\pi_{X}^{*}(E) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathbf{Y}^{(F)}$ on $X \times Y$. This defines a pairing

$$
\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y})
$$

If $X, Y$ have basepoints, $\tilde{K}(X \wedge Y)$ is the kernel of $\widetilde{K}(X \times Y)$ $\rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{X}) \oplus \tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{Y})$. Thus, we have $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{X} \wedge \mathrm{Y})$.

Suppose that (X, A), (Y, B) are pairs. Then we have

$$
\tilde{K}(X / A) \otimes \tilde{K}(X / B) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}((X / A) \wedge(Y / B))
$$

That is,

$$
K(X, A) \otimes K(Y, B) \longrightarrow K(X \times Y,(X \times B) \cup(A \times Y))
$$

We define $(X, A) \times(Y, B)$ to be $(X \times Y,(X \times B) \cup(A \times Y))$.
In the special case that $X=Y$, we have a diagonal map
$A:(X, A \cup B) \rightarrow(X, A) \times(X, B)$. This gives us $K(X, A) \otimes K(X, B)$
$\longrightarrow K(X, A \cup B)$. In particular, taking $B=\phi$, we see that $K(X, A)$ is a $K(X)$-module. Further, it is easy to see that

$$
K(X, A) \longrightarrow K(X) \longrightarrow K(A)
$$

is an exact sequence of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$-modules.
More generally, we can define products

$$
K^{-n}(X, A) \otimes K^{-m}(Y, B) \longrightarrow K^{-n-m}((X, A) \times(Y, B))
$$

for $m, n \leq 0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}^{-n}(X, A)=\tilde{K}\left(S^{n} \wedge(X / A)\right) \\
& K^{-m}(X, B)=\tilde{K}\left(S^{m} \wedge(Y / B)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{-n}(X, A) \otimes K^{-m}(Y, B) & \rightarrow \tilde{K}\left(S^{n} \wedge(X / A) \wedge S^{m} \wedge(Y / B)\right) \\
& =\tilde{K}\left(S^{n} \wedge S^{m} \wedge(X / A) \wedge(Y / B)\right) \\
& =K^{-n \rightarrow m}((X, A) \times(Y, B))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if we define $x y \in K^{-n-m}(X, A \cup B)$ for $x \in K^{-n}(X, A)$, $y \in K^{-m}(X, B)$ to be $\Delta^{*}(x \otimes y)$, where $\Delta:(X, A \cup B) \rightarrow(X, A) \times(X, B)$ is the diagonal, then ( $2,4,11$ ) shows that $x y=(-1)^{\mathrm{mIn}} \mathrm{yx}$.

We define $K^{*}(x, A)$ to be

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K^{-n}(X, A)
$$

Then $K^{*}(X)$ is a graded ring, and $K^{H}(X, A)$ is a graded $K^{\#}(X)$-module. If $\beta \in K^{-2}$ (point) is the generator, multiplication by $\beta$ induces an isomorphism $K^{-n}(X, A) \rightarrow K^{-n-2}(X, A)$ for all $n$. We define $\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ to be $\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) /(1-\beta)$. Then $\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{X})$ is a ring graded by $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and $K^{*}(X, A)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded module over $K^{*}(X)$.

For any pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}$ ), each of the maps in the exact triangle

is a $K^{*}(X)$-module map. Only the coboundary $\delta$ causes any difficulty and so we need to prove

LEMMA 2.6.0. $\delta: \mathrm{K}^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ is a $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$-module homomorphism.

Proof: By definition $\delta$ is induced by the inclusion of pairs $j:(X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times 1, Y \times\{0\}) \rightarrow(X \times\{\mathbf{1}\} \cup Y \times 1, Y \times\{0\} \cup X \times\{1\})$ (seefigure)


Hence $\delta=j^{*}$ is a module homomorphism over the absolute group

$$
K(X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times I) \cong K(X)
$$

It remains only to observe that the $K(X)$-module structures of the two groups involved are the standard ones. For $K^{-1}(\mathrm{Y})$ this is immediate and for $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ we have only to observe that the projection $I \rightarrow\{1\}$ induces the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(X, Y) & \rightarrow K(X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times I, Y \times\{0\}) \\
K(X) & \rightarrow K(X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times I)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall now digress for some time to give an alternative and often illuminating description of $K(X, A)$ which has particular relevance for products.

If $n \geq 1$, we define $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ to be a category as follows:
An object of $C_{n}(X, A)$ is a collection $E_{n} E_{n-1}, \cdots, E_{0}$ of bundles over $X$, together with maps $\alpha_{i}: E_{i}\left|A \rightarrow E_{i-1}\right| A$ such that

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{n}\left|A \xrightarrow{\alpha}{ }_{n} E_{n-1}\right| A \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha} E_{0} \mid A \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. The morphisms $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$, where $E=\left(E_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ $F=\left(F_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$, are collections of maps $\varphi_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow F_{i}$ such that
$\beta_{i} \varphi_{i}=\varphi_{1-1} \alpha_{1}$. In particular, $C_{1}(X, A)$ consists of pairs of bundles $E_{1}, E_{0}$ over $X$ and isomorphisms $\alpha: E_{1}\left|A \cong E_{0}\right| A$.

An elementary sequence in $C_{n}(X, A)$ is a sequence of the form $0,0, \cdots, 0, E_{p}, E_{p-1}, 0, \ldots, 0$ where $E_{p}=E_{p+1}$, $\alpha=$ identity map. We define $E \sim F$ if for some set of elementary objects $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{n}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$,

$$
E \oplus Q_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{n} \simeq F \oplus P_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{m}
$$

The set of such equivalence classes is denoted by $\Sigma_{n}(X, A)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{K}_{n}(X, A)$ is a semigroup for each $n$.

There is a natural inclusion $C_{n}(X, A) \subset C_{n+1}(X, A)$ which Induces a homomorphism $\Sigma_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}(X, A)$. We denote by $C_{\infty}(X, A)$ the union of all of the $c_{n}(X, A)$, and by $\varepsilon_{\infty}(X, A)$ the direct limit of the $\mathcal{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{n}}(X, A)$.

The main theorem of this section is the following:

THEOREM 2.6.1. For all $n \geq 1$, the maps $\kappa_{n}(x, A)$
$\cdots \Sigma_{n+1}(X, A)$ are isomorphisms, and $\Sigma_{n}(X, A) \cong K(X, A)$.

We shall break up the proof of this theorem into a number of lemmas.

Consider first the spectal case $A=\varnothing, n=1$. Then ${ }^{\prime}(x, \phi)$ consists of all pairs $E_{1}, E_{0}$ of buncles. We see
that ( $E_{1}, E_{0}$ ) $\sim\left(F_{1}, F_{0}\right.$ ) if and only if there are bundles $Q, P$ such that $E_{1} \oplus Q \cong F_{1} \oplus P, E_{0} \oplus Q \cong F_{0} \oplus P$. Then the map $\delta_{1}(X, \phi) \rightarrow K(X)$ given by $\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]$ is an isomorphism. In fact $\Sigma_{1}(X, \phi)$ coincides with one of our definitions of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$.

DEFINITION 2.6.2. An Euier characteristic $X_{n}$ for $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ is a transformation of funciors

$$
X_{n}: \delta_{n}(X, A) \longrightarrow K_{2}(X, A)
$$

such that whenever $A=\varnothing, \chi\left(E_{n}, E_{n-1}, \cdots, E_{0}\right)=\Sigma(-1)^{i}\left[E_{i}\right]$.

To begin we need a simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.6.3. Let $A \subset X$, and let $E, F$ be bundles over $X$. Let $\varphi: E|A \rightarrow F| A, \psi: E \rightarrow F$ be monomorphisms (resp. isomorphisms) and assume $\psi \mid A$ is homotopic to $\varphi$. Then $\varphi$ extends to $X$ as a monomorphism (resp. isomorphism)

Proof: Let $Y=(A \times[0,1]) \cup(X \times[0])$. Then, if $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}$ are the inverse images of $E, F$ under the projection $Y \rightarrow X$, we can define $\Phi: E^{\prime} \rightarrow F^{\dagger}$ which is a mononorphism (resp. isomorphism) such that $\Phi|A \times[1]=\varphi, \Phi| X \times[0]=\phi$. We can extend $\Phi$ to $(U \times[0,1]) \cup(X \times[0])$ for some neighborhood $U$ of $A$. Let $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ be such that $f(A)=1, f(X-U)=0$

Let $\varphi_{x}=\Phi_{(x, f(x))}$. Then this extends $\varphi$ to $X$.
LEMMA 2.6.4. If $A$ is a point,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \delta_{1}(X, A) \longrightarrow \delta_{1}(X) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{1}(A)
$$

is exact. Thus, if $X_{1}$ is an Euler characteristic for $\mathcal{S}_{1}$, $X_{l}: \mathcal{L}_{1}(X, A) \rightarrow K(X, A)$ is an isomorphism when $A$ is a point.

Proof: If ( $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}$ ) represents an element of $\delta_{1}(\mathrm{X})$ whose image in $\mathcal{L}_{1}(A)$ is zero, $E_{1}$ and $E_{0}$ have the same dimension over $A$. Thus there is an isomorphism $\varphi: E_{1} / A \rightarrow E_{0}$ Thus we have exactness for $\mathcal{L}_{1}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1}(A)$.

If ( $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \varphi$ ) has image zero in $\mathcal{X}_{1}(\mathrm{X})$, there is a trivial $P$ and an isomorphism $\psi: E_{1} \oplus P \cong E_{0} \oplus P, \phi(\varphi \oplus 1)^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $E_{0} \oplus P \mid A$. Since $A$ is a point any such automorphism must be homotopic to the identity and hence by (2.6.3) it extends to $\alpha: \mathrm{E}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{P} \cong \mathrm{E}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{P}$. Thus, we have a commuting diagram:


Thus ( $E_{1}, E_{0}, \varphi$ ) represents 0 in $s_{1}(X, A)$. Thns $\Sigma_{1}(X, A)$ $\rightarrow \Sigma_{1}(X)$ is an injection.

LEMMA 2.6.5. $\delta_{1}(X / A, A / A) \rightarrow s_{1}(X, A)$ is an isomorphism for all ( $X, A$ ). Thus, if $X_{1}$ is an Euler characteristic, $X_{1}: \mathcal{L}_{1}(X, A)$ $\rightarrow K(X, A)$ is an isomorphism for all ( $X, A$ ).

Proof: Since the isomorphism $\Omega_{1}(X / A, A / A) \rightarrow K(X, A)$ factors through $\delta_{1}(X, A)$, the map $\delta_{1}(X / A, A / A) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(X, A)$ is injective.

Suppose that $E_{1}, E_{0}$ are bundles on $X, \alpha: E_{1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{0}\right| A$ is an isomorphism, Let $P$ be a bundle on $X$ such that there is an isomorphism $\beta: E_{1} \oplus P \rightarrow F$, where $F$ is trivial. Then ( $E_{1}, E_{0} ; \alpha$ ) is equivalent to ( $F, E_{0} \oplus P, \gamma$ ) where $\gamma=(\alpha \oplus 1) \beta^{-1}$. Then, $\left(F, E_{0} \oplus P_{,} \gamma\right)$ is the image of $\left(F,\left(E_{0} \oplus P\right) / \gamma, \gamma / \gamma\right)$. Thus, $\delta_{1}(X / A, A / A) \rightarrow L_{1}(X, A)$ is onto.
L.EMMA 2.6.6. If $X_{1}, X_{I}^{2}$ are two Euler characteristics $\underline{\text { for }} \varepsilon_{1} ; X_{I}=X_{1}^{1}$.

Proof: $X_{1}^{\prime} X_{1}^{-1}$ is a transformation of functora from $K$ to itself which is the identity on each $K(X)$. Since $K(X, A)=\tilde{K}(X / A)$ is injected into $K(X / A)$, it is the identity on all $K(X, A)$.

LEMMA 2.6. 7. There exists an Euler characteristic $x_{1}$ for $\mathcal{E}_{1}$.

Proof: Suppose ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{0}, \alpha$ ) represents an element of $\mathcal{S}_{1}(X, A)$. Let $X_{0}, X_{1}$ be two copies of $X$, and let $Y=X_{0} U_{A} X_{1}$ be the space which results from identifying corresponding points of $A$. Then $\left[E_{1}, \alpha_{1} E_{0}\right] \in K(Y)$. Let $X_{i}: Y \rightarrow X_{i}$ be the obvious retraction. Then $K(Y)=K\left(Y, X_{i}\right) \oplus K\left(X_{i}\right) . \quad$ The map $\left(X_{0}, A\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(Y, X_{1}\right)$ induces an isomorphism $K\left(Y, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow K\left(X_{0}, A\right)$. Let $X_{1}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}, \alpha\right)$ be the image of the component of $\left[E_{1}, \alpha, E_{0}\right]$ which lies in $K\left(Y, X_{1}\right)$. If $A=\phi$, then $X\left(E_{1}, E_{0}, \alpha\right)=\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]$. One can easily verify that thia definition is independent of the choices made.

COROLLARY 2,6.8. The ciass of ( $\left.E_{1}, E_{0}, \alpha\right)$ in $\varepsilon_{1}(X, A)$ only depends on the homotopy class of $\alpha$.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X} \times[0,1], \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} \times[0,1]$. Then, if $\alpha_{\mathrm{t}}$ Ls a homotopy with $\alpha_{0}=\alpha, \alpha_{t}$ defines $\beta: \pi^{*}\left(E_{1}\right)\left|B \cong \pi *\left(E_{0}\right)\right| B$. Let $i_{j}:(X, A) \rightarrow(X \times[j], A \times[j])$. From the commuting diagram

we see that since every map is an isomorphism, and since $\mathrm{i}_{0}^{*}\left(\mathrm{i}_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is the identity, $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right)$.

LeMMA 2.6.9. The map $\varepsilon_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}(X, A)$ is onto for $n \geq 1$.

Proof: If $\left(E_{n+1}, \cdots, E_{0} ; \alpha_{n+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{1}\right)$ represents an element of $\Sigma_{n+1}(X, A)$, so does
$\left(E_{n+1}, E_{n} \oplus E_{n+1}, E_{n-1} \oplus E_{n+1}, E_{n-2}, \ldots, E_{0} ; \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n} \oplus 1, \ldots, \sigma_{1}\right)$.

The two maps $\alpha_{n+1} \oplus 0: E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_{n} \oplus E_{n+1}$ and $0 \oplus 1: E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_{n} \oplus E_{n+1}$ are (linearly) homotopic as monomorphisms. $0 \oplus 1$ extends to $X$, and thus by (2.6.3) $\alpha_{n+1} \oplus 0$ extends to a monomorphism $\beta: E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_{n} \oplus E_{n+1}$ on all of $X$. Thus we can write $E_{n} \oplus E_{n+1}$ as $\beta\left(E_{n+1}\right) \oplus Q$. Then we see that, if $\gamma: Q \rightarrow E_{n-1} \oplus E_{n+1}$ is the resulting map, ( $E_{n+1}, \cdots, E_{0} ; \alpha_{n+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{1}$ ) is equivalent to $\left(0, \Omega_{1} E_{n-1} \oplus E_{n+1} ; \cdots, E_{0} ; 0, \gamma, \cdots, \alpha_{1}\right)$. Thus $\delta_{n}(X, A)$ $\rightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}(X, A)$ is onto.

LEMMA 2.6.10. The map $\sum_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow \sum_{n+1}(X, A)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof: It suffices to produce a map $\varepsilon_{n+1}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{s}_{1}(X, A)$ which is a left inverse of the map $\Sigma_{1}(X, A) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{n+1}(X, A)$.

Let $\left(E_{n}, \cdots, E_{0} ; \alpha_{n}, \cdots, \alpha_{1}\right)$ represent an eiement of $\Sigma_{n}(X, A)$. Choose a Hermitian metric on each $E_{i}$. Let $\alpha_{i}^{2}: E_{i-1}\left|A \rightarrow E_{i}\right| A$ be the Hermitian adjoint of $\alpha_{i}$.

Put $F_{0}=\Sigma E_{2 i}, F_{1}=\Sigma E_{2 i+1}$, and define $\beta: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0}$ by $\beta=\Sigma \alpha_{2 i+1}+\Sigma c_{2 i}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(F_{1}, F_{0}, \beta\right) \epsilon_{\delta_{1}}(X, A)$. This gives us a map $\kappa_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{\Sigma}_{1}(X, A)$. To see that it is well defined, we need only see that it does not depend on the choice of metrics. But all choices of metric are homotopic to one another, so that a change of metrics only changes the homotopy class of $\beta$. Thus this map is well defined. It clearly is a left inverse to $\AA_{1}(X, A)$ $\rightarrow \Sigma_{n}(X, A)$.

COROLLARY, 2.6.11. For each $n$ there exists exactly one Euler characteristic $X_{n}: \delta_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow K(X, A)$, and it is always an isomorphism. Thus, there exists $X: \mathcal{X}_{\infty}(X, A) \rightarrow K(X, A)$ isomorphically.

We next want to construct pairings

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}(X, Y) \otimes \varepsilon_{m}\left(X, Y^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \varepsilon_{n+m}\left((X, Y) \times\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

compatible with the pairinga

$$
K(X, Y) \otimes K\left(X ', Y^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow K\left((X, Y) \times\left(X ', Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad .
$$

To do this, we must consider complexes of vector bundies, i. e., sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n}} E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow E_{0} \cdots 0
$$

where $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}=0$ for all $i$.
LEMiviA 2.6.12. Let $E_{0}, \cdots, E_{n}$ be vector bundles on $X$, and let $\sigma_{i}: E_{i}\left|Y \rightarrow E_{i-1}\right| Y$ be such that

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n}} E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n-1}} \ldots E_{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

iE exact on $Y$. Then the $\sigma_{i}$ can be extended to $A: E_{i} \rightarrow E_{i-1}$ on $X$ ouch that $\rho_{i} \rho_{i+1}=0$ for all $i$.

Proof: We shall show that there is some open neighborhood $U$ of $Y$ in $X$ and an extension $r_{i}$ of $\sigma_{i}$ to $U$ for all $i$ ouch that

is exact on $U$. The extension to the whole of $X$ is then achieved by replacing $\tau_{i}$ by $\rho \tau_{i}$ where $\rho$ is a continuous function on $X$ ouch that $\rho=1$ on $Y$ and supp $\rho \in \mathbb{U}$.

Suppose that on some closed neighborhood $U_{i}$ of $Y$ in $X$, we could extend $\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{i}$ to $\tau_{1}, \cdots, \tau_{i}$ such that on $U_{i}$,

$$
E_{i} \xrightarrow{\tau_{i}} E_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E_{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Let $K_{i}$ be the kernel of $\tau_{i}$ on $U_{i}$. Then $\sigma_{i+1}$ defines a section of Hom $\left(E_{i+1}, X_{i}\right)$ defined on $Y$. Thus, this section can be extended to a neighborhood of $Y$ in $U_{i}$, and thus $\sigma_{i+1}: E_{i+1}-K_{i}$ can be extended to $r_{i+1}: E_{i+1}-K_{i}$ on thia neighborhood. $\sigma_{i+1}$ is a surjection on $Y$, so $\tau_{i+1}$ will be a surjection on some closed neighborbood $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{i}+1}$ of $\mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{i}}$. Thus, the lemma follow: by induction on i.

We introduce the set $0_{n}(X, Y)$ of complexes of length $n$ on $X$ which are acyclic (i.e., exact) on $Y$. We say that two euch complexes are homotopic if they are isomorphic to the restrictions to $X \times\{0\}$ and to $X \times\{1\}$ of an element in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{I})$. There is a natural map

$$
\Phi: \theta_{n}(X, Y) \longrightarrow £_{n}(X, Y)
$$

given by restriction of homomorphisme.

LEMMA 2.6.13. $\Phi$ induces a bijection of homotopy clagses.

Proof: The last lemma shows that $£$ is surjective. To show that $\Phi$ is injective we have to show that any complex over $X \times\{0\} \cup X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times I$ which is acyclic over $Y \times I$ can be extended to a complex on the whole of $X \times 1$. We carry out this extension in three eteps. First we make the obvious extensions to $X \times[0,1 / 4]$ and $X \times[3 / 4,1]$. Next we apply the preceding lemma to the pair $X \times[1 / 4,3 / 4], Y \times\{1 / 4,3 / 4] \cup \vee \times\{1 / 4\} \cup \vee \times\{3 / 4\}$ where $V$ is a closed neighborhood of $X$ in $X$ over which the given complexee are still acyclic. This gives a complex on $\mathrm{X} \times[1 / 4,3 / 4]$ which agrees with that already defined at the two thickened ends along the strips $V \times\{1 / 4\}$ and $V \times\{3 / 4\}$. Thus if we now multiply everything by a function $\rho$ auch that
(i) $\rho=1$ on $X \times\{0\} \cup X \times\{1\} \cup Y \times I$
(ii) $\rho=0$ on $(x-V) \times\{1 / 4\} \cup(X-V) \times\{3 / 4\}$,
we obtain the desired extension (see figure: the dotted line indicates the support of $p$ ).


If $E \in \otimes_{n}(X, Y), F \in{\underset{m}{m}}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$ then $E \otimes F$ is a complex on $X \times X^{\prime}$ which is acyclic on ( $X \times Y^{\prime}$ ) $\cup\left(Y \times X^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we have a natural pairing

$$
\left.\theta_{n}(X, Y) \otimes \theta_{m}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \theta_{n+m}(X, Y) X\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

which is compatible with homotopies. Thus, by mean of $\Phi$, it induces a pairing

$$
\left.\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(X, Y) \otimes \varepsilon_{m}\left(X^{\prime}, Y Y^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \dot{L}_{n+m}(X, Y) \times\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

LEMMA 2.6.14. For any classes $x \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(X, Y)$, $x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
x\left(x \otimes x^{\prime}\right)=x(x) x\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof: This is clearly true when $Y=Y^{\prime}=\varnothing$. However, the pairing $K(X, Y) \otimes K\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow K\left((X, Y) \times\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ which we defined earlier was the only natural pairing compatible with the pairings defined for the case $Y=Y=\phi$.

With this lemma we now have a very convenient deocription of the relative product. At a simple applicatim we shall give a new construction for the generator of $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$.

Let $V$ be a complex vector space and consider the exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*}(V)$. We can regard this in a natural way as a complex
of vector bundles over $V$. Thus we put $E_{i}=V \times \Lambda^{i}(V)$, and define

$$
v \times \Lambda^{i}(v) \longrightarrow v \times \Lambda^{i+1}(v)
$$

by

$$
(v, w) \longrightarrow(v, v \wedge w) .
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} V=1$ the complex has just one map and this is an isomorphism for $v \neq 0$. Thus it defines an element of $K(B(V), S(V)) \cong \tilde{K}\left(S^{2}\right)$ where $B(V), S(V)$ denote the unit ball and unit sphere of $V$ with respect to some metric. Moreover this element is, from its definition, the canonical generator of $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2}\right)$ except for a sign -1 . Since

$$
A^{*}(V \oplus W) \approx A^{*}(V) \otimes A^{*}(W)
$$

it follows that for any $V$, $\Lambda^{*}$ (V) defines a complex over $V$ acyclic on $\mathrm{V}-\{0\}$, and that this gives the canonical generator of $\boldsymbol{T}(B(V), S(V))=\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ except for a factor $(-1)^{n}$ (where $n=\operatorname{dim} V$ ).

More generally the same construction applies to a vector bundle $V$ over a space $X$. Let us introduce the Thom space $X^{V}$ defined as the one-point compactification of $V$ or equivalently as $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{V}) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{V})$. Then $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{V})) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right.$ and the exterior algebra of $V$ defines an element of $\tilde{K}\left(X^{V}\right)$ which we denete by $\lambda_{V}$. It has the two properties
(A) $\lambda_{V}$ restricts to a generator of $E\left(P^{V}\right)$ for each point $P \in X$.
(B) $\lambda_{V} \oplus W=\lambda_{V} \cdot \lambda_{W}$, where this product is from $\mathbb{K}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{V}}\right) \times \vec{K}\left(\mathbf{X}^{W}\right)$ to $\mathbb{Z}\left(\mathbf{x}^{V \oplus W}\right)$.

A very similar discussion can be carried out for projective spaces. Thas if $V$ is a vector bundle over $X$ let $P=P(V \oplus 1)$ and let $H$ be the standard line-bundle over $P$. By definition we have a monomorphism

$$
H^{*} \longrightarrow R^{*}(V \oplus 1)
$$

when $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ ia the projection. Hence tensoring with $H$ wo get a section of $H \otimes \pi^{*}(V \oplus 1)$. Projecting onto the first factor gives therefore a natural section

$$
s \in \Gamma\left(H \otimes \pi^{*} V\right) \quad .
$$

Consider the exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*}\left(H \otimes \pi^{*} V\right)$. Each component is a vector bundle over $P$ and exterior multiplication by gives us a complex of vector bundles acyclic outside the subspace where $s=0$. But this is just the image of the natural crose-section $X \rightarrow P$. If we restrict to the complement of $P(V)$ in $P(V \oplus 1)$ then $H$ becomes isomorphic to $I$ and we recover the element which defines $\lambda_{V}$ (identifying $P(V \oplus 1)-P(V)$ with $V$ in the usual wny). This shows that the image of $\lambda_{V}$ under the homomorphism

$$
\tilde{K}\left(X^{V}\right)=K(P(V \oplus l), P(V)) \longrightarrow K(P(V \oplus I))
$$

is the alternating aum

$$
\Sigma(-1)^{\mathfrak{i}}[H]^{\frac{i}{i}}\left[\lambda^{i} V\right]
$$

We conclude this section by remarking that everything we have been saying works equally well for G-spaces, $G$ being a finite group*. We have only used the basic facts about extensions of homomorphisms etc. which hold equally well for G-bundles, Thus elements of $K_{G}(X, Y)$ may be represented by $G$-complexes of vector bundles over $X$ acyclic over $Y$. In particular the exterior algebra of a $G$-vector bundle $V$ defines an element

$$
\lambda_{V} \in \tilde{K}_{G}\left(X^{\mathbf{V}}\right)
$$

as above.
82.7. The Thom isomorphism. If $E=\Sigma L_{i}$ is a decomposable vector bundle over X (i.e., a sum of line-bundles) then we have ( $2,5,3$ ) determined the structure of $K(P(E))$ as a $K(X)$-algebra. Now for any space $X$ we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
K^{*}(x) \cong K\left(x \times s^{1}\right)
$$

Also, if $\pi: X \times s^{1} \rightarrow X$ is the projection, we have

$$
P(E) \times s^{1}=P\left(\pi^{*} E\right)
$$

and 30

$$
K^{*}(P(E)) \cong K\left(P\left(\pi^{*} E\right)\right)
$$

Thus replacing $X$ by $X \times S^{1}$ in (2.5.3) gives at once

PROPOSITION 2. 7.1. Let $E=\Sigma L_{i}$ be a decomposable vector bundle over $X$. Then $K^{*}(P(E))$, as a $K^{*}(X)$-algebra, is generated by [H] gubject to the single relation

$$
\Pi\left(\left[L_{i}\right][H]-1\right)=0
$$

Remark: As with (2.5,3) this extends at once to G-spaces kiving $K_{G}^{*}(P(E))$ as a $K_{G}^{*}(X)$-algebra.

Now the Thom space $X^{E}$ may be identified with $P(E \oplus i) / P(E)$, nnd at the end of $\$ 2.6$ we saw that the image of $\lambda_{E}$ in $K(P(E \oplus 1))$
is

$$
\Sigma(-1)^{i}[H]^{i}\left[\lambda^{i} E\right]=\Pi\left(1-\left[L_{i}\right][H]\right)
$$

Since this element generates (as an ideal) the kernel of

$$
\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E} \oplus \mathrm{I})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E}))
$$

we deduce

PROPOSITION 2. 7. 2, Let $E$ be a decomposable vector bundle over $X$. Then $\tilde{K}^{*}\left(X^{E}\right)$ is a free $K^{*}(X)$-module on $\lambda_{E}$ as generator.

Remark: This "Thom isomorphism theorem" for the decomposable case also holds as before for G-spaces. We now show how this fact can be put to use.

COROLLARY 2,7.3. Let $X$ bea G-space such that $K_{G}^{1}(X)=0$ and let $E$ be a decomposable $G$-vector bundle. Then, if $S(E)$ denotes the sphere bundlo, we have an exact sequence

where $\varphi$ is multiplication by

$$
\lambda_{-1}[E]=\Sigma(-1)^{i} \lambda^{i}[E]
$$

Proof: This follows at once by applying (2, 7.2) in the exact sequence of the pair ( $B(E)$, $S(E)$ ).

In order to apply this corollary when $\mathrm{X}=$ point we need to verify

LEMMA 2.7.4. $K_{G}^{1}$ (point) $=0$.

Proof: it is sufficient to show that

$$
K_{G}\left(s^{1}\right) \longrightarrow K_{G}(\text { point })
$$

is an laomorphism, But, since $G$ is acting trivially on $s^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{G}\left(S^{l}\right) & \cong K\left(s^{1}\right) \otimes R(G) \\
& \cong K(\text { point }) \otimes R(G) \\
& \cong K_{G}(\text { point })
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can take $X=$ point in (2. 7. 3) . Moreover if we take $G$ abelian then $E$ is necessarily decomposable. Thus we obtain

$$
\text { COROLLARY 2.7.5. Let } G \text { be an abelian group, } E \text { a }
$$ G-module. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{G}^{\mathbf{l}}(S(\mathrm{E})) \longrightarrow R(G) \longrightarrow R(G) \longrightarrow K_{G}^{0}(S(E)) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\varphi$ is multiplication by

$$
\lambda_{-1}[E]=\Sigma(-1)^{i} \lambda^{i}[E]
$$

Suppoze in particular that $G$ acts freely on $S(E)$
(it is then necessarily cyclic), so that

$$
K_{G}^{*}(S(E)) \cong K^{*}(S(E) / G)
$$

Thus we deduce

COROLLARY 2. 7.6. Let $G$ bea cyclicgroup, E a G-module with $S(E)$ G-free. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{1}(S(E) / G) \longrightarrow R(G) \longrightarrow R(G) \rightarrow K^{0}(S(E) / G) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\varphi$ is multiplication by $\lambda_{-1}[E]$.

Remark: A similar result will hold for other groups acting freely on spheres once the Thom isomorphism for $K_{G}$ has been extended to bundles which are not decomposable. However, this will not be done in these notes.

As a special case of $(2,7,6)$ take $G=Z_{2}, E=C^{n}$ with the ( -1 ) action. Then

$$
S(E) / G=P_{2 n-1}(R)
$$

is real projective space of odd dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(Z_{2}\right) & =z[\rho] / \rho^{2}-1 \\
\lambda_{-1}[E] & =(1-\rho)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $\sigma=\rho-1$ so that $\sigma^{2}=-2 \sigma$ and $\lambda_{-1}[E]=(-\sigma)^{n}$ we see that $\tilde{K}^{0}\left(P_{2 n-1}(R)\right)$ is cyclic of order $2^{n-1}$ while $K^{1}\left(P_{2 n-1}(R)\right)$ is infinite cyclic. If we compare the sequences for $n$ and $n+1$ we get a commatative diagram


But in $R\left(Z_{2}\right)$ the kernel of $(-\sigma)^{n}$ (for $n \geq 1$ ) is ( $2-\sigma$ ) and so coincides with the kernel of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$. Hence the map

$$
K^{1}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right) \longrightarrow K^{1}\left(P_{2 n-1}\right)
$$

is zero. From the exact sequences of the pairs $\left(P_{2 n+1}, P_{2 n}\right)$, $\left(P_{2 n}, P_{2 n-1}\right)$ we deduce that

$$
K^{1}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right) \longrightarrow K^{1}\left(P_{2 n}\right)
$$

is surjective, while

$$
K^{1}\left(P_{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow K^{1}\left(P_{2 n-1}\right)
$$

is injective. Hence

$$
K^{1}\left(P_{2 n}\right)=0
$$

The exact sequence of the pair $\left(P_{2 n+1}, P_{\mathbf{2 n}_{n}}\right)$ then shows that

$$
K^{0}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right) \rightarrow K^{0}\left(P_{2 n}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. Summarizing we have established

PROPOSITION $2,7,7$. The atrucutre of $K^{*}\left(P_{n}(R)\right)$ is es follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{1}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)=Z \\
& K^{1}\left(P_{2 n}\right)=0 \\
& K^{0}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)=X^{0}\left(P_{2 n}\right)=z_{2} n
\end{aligned}
$$

We leave it as an exercise to the reader to apply ( $2,7,6$ ) to other spaces.

We propose now to proceed to the general Thom igomorphism theorem. It should be emphasized at this point that the methods to be used do not extend to G-bundles. Entirely different methods
are needed for G-bundles and we do not discusa them here,
We start with the following general result

THEOREM 2.7.8. Let $\pi: B \rightarrow X$ be a map of compact spaces, and let $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n}$ be homogeneous elements of $K^{*}(B)$. Let $M^{*}$ be the free ( $z_{2}$ ) graded group generated by $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n}$ Suppose that every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U$ such that for all $V \subset U$, the natural map

$$
\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{~V}) \otimes \mathrm{M}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{*}\left({ }^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, Then, for any $Y \subset X$, the map

$$
K^{*}(X, Y) \otimes M^{*} \longrightarrow K^{*}\left(B, \pi^{-1}(Y)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof: If $U \subset X$ has the property that, for all $V \subset U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{~V}) \otimes \mathrm{M}^{*} \tilde{\equiv} \mathrm{~K}^{*}\left(\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall say that $U$ is good. If $U$ is good then, using exact sequences and the fact that $\otimes M^{*}$ preserves exactness ( $M^{*}$ being torsion free) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{~V}) \otimes \mathrm{M}^{*} \cong \mathrm{~K} *\left(\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{U}), \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use of course the compatibility of $\sigma$ with products (Lemma 2.6.0). What we have to show therefore is X locally good $\Rightarrow$ X good.

Since $X$ is compact it will be enough to show that

$$
\mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{U}_{2} \text { good } \Rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1} \cup \mathrm{U}_{2} \text { good. }
$$

Now any $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1} \cup \mathrm{U}_{2}$ is of the form $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (and so $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is also good). Since

$$
\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}_{2}=\mathrm{v}_{1} / \mathrm{v}_{1 \cap \mathrm{v}_{2}}
$$

it follows that (2) holds for the pair ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}_{2}$ ). Since (1) holds for $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ the exact sequence of ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}_{2}$ ) shows that (1) holds for $V$. Thus $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$ is good and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.7.9. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ be a vactor bundle, and let $H$ be the usual line bundle over $P(E)$. Then $K^{*}(P(E))$ is a free $K^{*}(X)$-module on the generators $1,[H],[H]^{2}, \cdots,[H]^{n}$ [H] satisfies the equation $\Sigma(-1)^{i}[H]^{i}\left[\lambda^{i} E\right]=0$.

Proof: Since E is locally trivial it is in particular locally decomposable.* Hence, by (2.7.1), each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U$ so that for all $V \subset U, K^{*}(P(E \mid V))$ is a free $K^{*}(V)$-module on generators $1,[H], \cdots,[H]^{n-1}$. Now apply (2, 7, 8). The equation for [H] has already been established at the end of $\$ 2.6$.

COROLLARY 2.7.10. If $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundie, and if $F(E)$ is the flag bundle of $E$ with projection map $p: F(E) \rightarrow X$ then $P^{*}: K^{*}(X) \rightarrow K^{*}(F(E))$ is injective.

Proof: $F(E)$ is the flag bundle over $P(E)$ of a bundle of dimension one less than $\operatorname{dim}(E)$. We proceed inductively on $\operatorname{dim}(E)$ using (2.7.9).

COROLLARY 2.7.11. (The Splitting Principle). If $E_{1}, \cdots, E_{n}$ are vector bundles on $X$, then there exist a apace $F$ and a map $x: F \rightarrow X$ guch that

1) $\quad \pi^{*}: K^{*}(X) \rightarrow K^{*}(F) \quad$ is injective
2) Each ${ }^{*}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is a sum of line bundles.

Proof: We take $F$ to be the flag bundle of $\oplus \mathrm{E}_{i}$ * The importance of the Splitting Principle is clear. It enables

Remark: This is the argument which does not generalize to G-spaces.
us to reduce many problems to the decomposable case.

COROLLARY 2.7.12. (The Thom Isomorphism Theoram).
If $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle

$$
\Phi: K^{*}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{*}\left(X^{E}\right)
$$

defined by $\Phi(x)=\lambda_{E} x$ is an isomorphism.
Proof: This followa from (2.7.9) in the same way as (2,7.2) followed from (2, 7,1).

We leave the following propositions $2 s$ exercises for the reader

PROPOSITION 2.7.13. If $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle, $L_{1}, \cdots, L_{n}$ the usual line bundles over $F(E)$, then the map defined by $t_{i} \rightarrow\left[L_{i}\right]$ defines an isomorphism of $K^{*}(X)$ modules

$$
K^{*}(X)\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right] / I \longrightarrow K^{*}(F(E))
$$

where I is the ideal generated by elements

$$
\sigma^{1}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)-E, \quad \sigma^{2}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)-\lambda^{2}(E), \cdots, \sigma^{n}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)-\lambda^{n}(E)
$$

$\sigma^{i}$ being the $i$-th elementary symmetric function.

PROPOSITION 2.7.14. Let $\quad$ : $E \rightarrow X$ be an n-dimensional vector bundle and let $G_{k}(E)$ be the Grassmanm bundle (of $k$-dimensional subspaces) of $E$. Let $F$ be the induced $k$-dimensional bundle over $G_{k}(E), \quad F^{\prime}$ the quotient bundle $p^{*}(E) / F$. Then the map defined by $t_{i} \rightarrow \lambda^{i}(F)$, $n_{i}-\lambda^{i}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ defines an isomorphiam of $K^{*}(X)$ modules

$$
K^{*}(X)\left[t_{1}, \cdots, t_{k}, s_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n-k}\right] / I \rightarrow K^{*}\left(G_{k}(E)\right)
$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$
\left(\sum_{i+j=1} t_{i} i^{4} j\right)-\lambda^{l}(E) \quad \text { for all } \ell
$$

(Hint: Compare $G_{k}(E)$ with the flag bundle of $E$ ).
In particular, we see that if $G_{n, k}$ is the Grassmann manifold of $k$-dimensional subepaces of an $n$-dimensional vector space, $K^{*}\left(G_{n, k}\right)$ is torsion free. This also followe from its cell decomponition. By induction we deduce $K^{*}$ is torsion free for a product of Grassmannians.

THEOREM 2.7.15. Let $X$ be a apace such that $K^{*}(X)$ is toraion free, and let $Y$ be a (finite) cell complex, $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ a subcomplex. Then the map

$$
K^{*}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes \mathbf{K}^{*}\left(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow K^{*}\left(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof: The theorem holds for $Y$ a ball, $Y^{\prime}$ its boundary as a consequence of 2.7.2. It thus holds for any ( $Y, Y^{\prime}$ ) by induction on the number of cells in $Y$.

COROLLARY 2.7.15. (The KUnneth Theorem).
Let $X$ be a space such that $K(X)$ is a finitely generated abelian group, and let $X$ be a cell complex. Then there is a natural exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \sum_{i+j_{k}} K^{i}(X) \not K^{j}(X) \rightarrow K^{k}(X \times Y) \\
& \longrightarrow \sum_{i+j=k+1} \operatorname{Tor}\left(K^{i}(X), K^{j}(Y)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where all suffixes are in $Z_{2}$.

Proof: Suppose we can find a space $Z$ and a map $f: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $K^{*}(Z)$ is torsion free, and $f^{*}: K^{*}(Z) \rightarrow K^{*}(X)$ is ourjective. Then from the exact sequence $K^{*}(Z / X)$ is torsion free, From the last theorem, $K^{*}(Z \times Y)=K^{*}(Z) \otimes K^{*}(Y)$, $K^{*}((Z / X) \times Y)=K^{*}(Z / X) \otimes K^{*}(Y)$. The result will then follow from the exact sequence for the pair ( $Z \times Y, X \times Y$ ).

We now construct such a map $g: S X \rightarrow Z$. Let $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ generate $K^{0}(X)$, and let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}$ generate $K^{-1}(X)=K(S X)$. Then each $a_{i}$ determines a map $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}: X \rightarrow G_{r_{i}, s_{i}}$ for $r_{i}, s_{i}$
suitable, and each $b_{i}$ a map $\beta_{i}: S X \rightarrow G_{u_{i}}, v_{i}$. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow G_{r_{1}, s_{1}} \times \cdots \times G_{r_{n^{\prime}} s_{n}}=G^{\prime}$ be $\alpha_{1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{n}$, and $\beta: S X \rightarrow G_{u_{1}, v_{1}} \times \ldots \times G_{u_{m}, v_{m}}=G^{\prime \prime}$ be $\beta_{1} \times \ldots \times \beta_{m}$.

Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha^{*}: K^{0}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow K^{0}(X) & \text { is surjective } \\
\beta^{*}: K^{0}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \longrightarrow K^{0}(S X) & \text { is surjective. }
\end{array}
$$

Thus, if $f:(S \alpha) \times \beta: S X \rightarrow\left(S G^{\prime}\right) \times G^{\prime \prime}=G$

$$
f^{*}: K^{*}(G) \longrightarrow K^{*}(S X) \text { is surjective }
$$

and $K^{*}(G)$ is torsion free as required. This proves the formula for SX and this is equivalent to the formula for $X$.

We next compute the rings $K^{*}(\mathbf{U}(\mathrm{n})$ ), where $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n})$ is the unitary group on $n$ variables. Now for any compact Lie group $G$ we can consider repreaentations $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(m, C)$ as defining elements $[\rho] \in K^{l}(G)$ : we simply regard $\rho$ as a map and disregard its multiplicative properties. Suppose now that $\alpha, \beta$ are two representations $G \rightarrow G L(m, C)$ which agree on the closed subgroup $H$. Then we can define a map

$$
\gamma: G / H \rightarrow G L(m, C)
$$

by $\gamma(\mathrm{gH})=\alpha(\mathrm{g}) \beta(\mathrm{g})^{-1}$. This is well-defined because of the multiplicative properties of $\alpha, \beta$. The map $\gamma$ defines an element
$[\gamma] \in K^{1}(G / H)$ whose image in $K^{1}(G)$ is just $[\alpha]-[\beta]$. As a particular case of this we take

$$
G=U(n), \quad H=U(n-1), \quad G / H=S^{2 n-1}
$$

For $\alpha, \beta$ we take the representations of $G$ on the even and odd parts of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*}\left(C^{n}\right)$, and we identify these two parts by exterior multiplication with the neth basic vector $e_{n}$ of $C^{n}$. Since $U(n-1)$ keeps $e_{n}$ fixed this identification is compatible with the action of $U(n-1)$. We are thus in the situation being considered and so we obtain an element

$$
[\gamma] \in K^{1}\left(s^{2 n-1}\right)
$$

If we pass to the isomorphic group $\mathbb{K}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$ we see from its definition that $[\gamma]$ is just the basic element

$$
\lambda_{C^{n}} \in \mathbb{K}\left(s^{2 n}\right)
$$

constructed earlier from the exterior algebra. Thus $[\gamma]$ is a generator of $K^{1}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$, and its image in $K^{l}(U(n))$ is $\Sigma(-1)^{i}\left[\lambda^{i}\right]$ where the $\lambda^{i}$ are the exterior power representations. With this preliminary discussion we are now ready to prove:

THEOREM 2.7.17. $K^{*}(U(n))$ is the exterior algebra generated by $\left[\lambda^{1}\right], \cdots,\left[\lambda^{n}\right]$, where $\lambda^{i}$ is the $i-t h$ exterior power representation of $U(n)$.

Proof: We proceed by induction on $n$. Consider the mapping

$$
U(n) \longrightarrow U(n) / U(n-1)=s^{2 n-1}
$$

Since the restriction of $\lambda^{i}$ to $U(n-1)$ is $\mu^{i} \oplus \mu^{i-1}$, where $\mu^{i}$ denotes the i-th exterior power representation of $U(n-1)$, the inductive hypothesia together with (2.7.8) imply that $\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}))$ is a free $K^{*}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$-module generated by the monomiala in $\left[\lambda^{1}\right], \cdots,\left[\lambda^{n-1}\right]$. But $K^{*}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ is an exterior algebra on one generator [ $\gamma$ ] whowe image in $K^{*}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n})$ ) is

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left[\lambda^{i}\right]
$$

as shown above. Hence $K^{*}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n})$ ) is the exterior algebra on $\left[\lambda^{1}\right], \cdots,\left[\lambda^{n}\right]$ as required.

## CHAPTER III. Operations.

81. Exterior Powers. By an operation $F$ in K-theory, we shall mean a natural transformation $F_{X}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$. That is, for every space $X$, there is a (set) map $F_{X}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$, and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is any continuous map, $F_{X^{\prime}}=f^{*} F_{X}$.

Suppose that $F$ and $G$ are two operations which have the property that $F([E]-n)=G([E]-n)$ whenever $E$ is a sum of line bundles and $n$ is an integer. Then $F(x)=G(x)$ for all $x \in K(X)$, as we see immediately from the splitting principle of the last chapter.

There are various ways in which one can define operations using exterior power operations. The first of these which we shall discuss is due to Grothendieck.

If V is a vector bundle over a space X , we define $\lambda_{t}[V] \in K(X)[[t]]$ to be the power series

$$
\sum_{i=0} t^{i}\left[\lambda^{i}(v)\right]
$$

The isomorphism

$$
\lambda^{k}(v \oplus w) \approx \sum_{i+j=k} \lambda^{i}(v) \otimes \lambda^{j}(w)
$$

gives us the formula

$$
\lambda_{t}[v \oplus w]=\lambda_{t}^{[v] \lambda_{t}[w]}
$$

for any two bundles $V, W$. For any $W$ the power series $\lambda_{t}[W]$ is a unit in $K(X)[t]]$, because it has constant leading term 1 .

Thus we have a homomorphism

$$
\lambda_{t}: \operatorname{Vect}(X) \rightarrow 1+K(X)[[t]]^{+}
$$

of the additive semi-group Vect(X) into the multiplicative group of power series over $K(X)$ with constant term 1 . By the universal property of $K(X)$ this extends uniquely to a homomorphism

$$
\lambda_{t}: K(X) \rightarrow 1+K(X)[[t]]^{+}
$$

Thus, taking the coefficient of $t^{i}$ we have operations

$$
\lambda^{i}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

Explicitly therefore

$$
\left.\lambda_{t}(f V]=[w]\right)=\lambda_{t}[V] \lambda_{t}[w]^{-1}
$$

In a very similar way we can treat the symmetric powers $s^{\frac{1}{3}}(V)$. Since

$$
s^{k}(v \oplus w) \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \sum_{i+j=k} s^{i}(v) \otimes s^{j}(w)
$$

we obtain a homomorphism

$$
S_{t}: K(X) \rightarrow 1+K(X)[[t]]^{+}
$$

whose coefficients define the operations

$$
s^{i}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

Notice that if $L$ is a line bundie,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{t}(L) & =1+t L \\
S_{t}(L) & =1+t L+t^{2} L+\cdots \\
& =(1-t L)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lambda_{-t}(L) S_{t}(L)=1
$$

Thus, if $V$ is a sum of line bundles, $\lambda_{-t}[V] s_{t}[V]=1$. Therefore, for any $x \in K(X), \lambda_{-t}(x) S_{t}(x)=1$, and so

$$
\lambda_{t}([V]-[w])=\lambda_{t}[V] s_{-t}[w]
$$

that is,

$$
\lambda^{k}([v]-[w])=\sum_{i+\frac{y}{j} k}(-1)^{j} \lambda^{i}[v] s^{j}[w]
$$

This gives us an explicit formula for the operations $\lambda^{i}$ in terms of operations on bundles.

Now recall that, for any bundle $E$, $\operatorname{dim} E_{x}$ is a locally constant function of $X$. Since $X$ is assumed compact

$$
\operatorname{dim} E=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in X} \operatorname{dim} E_{x}
$$

is finite. The exterior powers have the basic property that

$$
\lambda^{i} E=0 \quad \text { if } \quad i>\operatorname{dim} E .
$$

Let us call an element of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$ positive (written $x \geq 0$ ) if it is represented by a genuine bundle, i. e., if it is in the image of Vect (X). Then

$$
x \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda_{t}(x) \in K(X)[t]
$$

For many problems it is not dim $E$ which is important but another integer defined as follows. First let us denote by rank $E$ the bundle whose fibre at $x$ is $C^{d(x)}$ where $d(x)=\operatorname{dim} E_{x}$ : if $X$ is connected then rank $E$ is just the trivial bundle of dimension equal to dim $E$. Then $E \rightarrow$ rank $E$ induces an (idempotent) ring endomorphism

$$
\text { rank }: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

which is frequently referred to as the augmentation. The kernel of this endomorphism is an ideal denoted by $\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{X})$. For a connected space with base-point we clearly have

$$
K_{1}(X)=\tilde{K}(X)
$$

For any $x \in K(X)$ we have

$$
x-\operatorname{rank} x \in K_{1}(X)
$$

Now define $\operatorname{dim}_{K} \mathbf{x}$, for any $x \in K(X)$, to be the least integer $n$ for which

$$
x-\operatorname{rank} x+n \geq 0
$$

since every element of $K(X)$ can be represented in the form [ $V$ ] - $n$ for some bundle $V$ it follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{K^{x}}$ is finite for all $x \in K(X)$. For a vector bundle E we clearly have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K}[E] \leq \operatorname{dim} E .
$$

Notice that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K} \cdot x=\operatorname{dim}_{K} x_{1}
$$

where $x_{1}=x-r a n k x$, so that $\operatorname{dim}_{K}$ is essentially a function on the quotient $K_{1}(X)$ of $K(X)$.

It is now convenient to introduce operations $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{i}$ which have the same relation to $\operatorname{dim}_{K}$ as the $\lambda^{i}$ have to the dimension of bundles. Again following Grothendieck we define

$$
\left.y_{t}(x)=\lambda_{t / 1-t}(x) \in K(x)[f t]\right]
$$

so that $\gamma_{t}(x+y)=\gamma_{t}(x) \gamma_{t}(y)$. Thus for each $i$ we have an operation

$$
\gamma^{i}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

The $\gamma^{i}$ are linear combinations of the $\lambda^{j}$ for $j \leq i$ and viceversa, in view of the formula

$$
\lambda_{s}(x)=\gamma_{s / 1+s}(x)
$$

obtained by putting $s=t / 1-t, t=s / 1+s$. Note that

$$
\gamma_{t}(1)=(1-t)^{-1}
$$

and for a linewbundle $L$

$$
\gamma_{t}([L]-1)=1+t([L]-1)
$$

PROPOSITION 3. 1.1. Let $x \in K_{1}(X)$, then $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \operatorname{dim}_{K} x$.

Proof: Let $n=\operatorname{dim}_{k} x$, so that $x+n \geq 0$. Thus $x+n$ $=[E]$ for some vector bundle $E$. Moreover $\operatorname{dim} E=n$ and so

$$
\lambda^{i}(E)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i>n
$$

Thus $\lambda_{t}(x+n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{t}(x) & =\gamma_{t}(x+n) \gamma_{t}(1)^{-n} \\
& =\gamma_{t / 1-t}(x+n)(1-t)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{1=0}^{n} \lambda^{i}(x+n) t^{i}(t-t)^{n-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ as stated.
We now define $\operatorname{dim}_{\gamma} x$ to be the largest integer $n$ such that $\gamma^{n}(x-\operatorname{rank} x) \neq 0$, and we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}_{K} X=\sup _{x \in K(X)} \operatorname{dim}_{K^{x}} \\
& \operatorname{dim}_{\gamma} X=\sup _{x \in K(X)} \operatorname{dim} \gamma^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.1.1) we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\gamma^{x}} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{K^{x}}, \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\gamma} X \leq \operatorname{dim}_{K} X
$$

We shall show that, under mild restrictions, $\operatorname{dim}_{K} X$ is finite. For this we shall need some preliminary iemmas on symmetric functions.

LEMMA 3.1.2. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be indeterminates. Then any homogeneous polymomial in $Z\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]$ of degree $>n(n-1)$ lies in the ideal generated by the symmetric functions of ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ ) of positive degree.

Proof: Let $\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be the $i-t h$ elementary symmetric function, Then the equation

$$
x^{n}=\sigma_{1} x^{n-1}+\sigma_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} \sigma_{n}=0
$$

has roots $x=x_{i}$. Thus $x_{i}^{n}$ is in the ideal generated by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$. But any monomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of degree $>n(n-1)$ is divisible by $x_{i}^{n}$ for some $i$ and so is also in this ideal.

LEMMA 3.1.3. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}$ be indeterminates and let

$$
a_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \quad b_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}\right)
$$

be the elementary symmetric functions. Let I be any ideal in $Z[a, b], J$ its extension in $Z[x, y]$. Then

$$
J \cap z[a, b]=I
$$

Proof: It is well-known that $Z[x]$ is a free $Z f a l$-module with basis the monomials

$$
x^{r}=x_{1}^{r_{1}}{ }_{x_{2}}^{r_{2}} \ldots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} \quad 0 \leq r_{i} \leq n-i
$$

Hence $Z[x, y]=Z[x] \otimes Z[y]$ is a free module over $Z[a, b]=Z[a] \otimes Z\{b]$ with basis the monomials $x-y^{s}-$. Then the ideal $J \subset Z[x, y]$ consists of all elements $f$ of the form

$$
f=\sum f_{\underline{r}, \underline{s}} x^{\underline{\underline{r}}+y^{\underline{s}}} \quad \text { with } \quad f_{\underline{x}, \underline{s}} \in I
$$

Since the $x=y$ are a free basis $f$ belongs to $Z[a, b]$ if and only if ${ }^{f_{\underline{r}}, \underline{s}}=0$ for $\underline{\underline{r}}, \underline{s} \neq(0,0)$ in which case

$$
f=f_{0,0} \in I
$$

Thus $\mathrm{J} \cap \mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]=\mathrm{I}$ as stated.
Remark: This lemma is essentially an algebraic form of the splitting principle aince it asserts that we can embed $Z[a, b] / I$ in $Z[x, y] / J$. It is of course purely formal in character and it seems preferable to use this rather than the topological splitting principle whenever we are dealing with formal algebraic results. The topological splitting principle depends of course on the periodicity theorem and should only be used when we are dealing with properties that lie at that depth.

LEMMA 3.1.4. Let $K$ be a commutative ring (with 1)
and suppose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(t)=1+a_{1} t+a_{2} t^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} t^{n} \\
& b(t)=1+b_{1} t+b_{2} t^{2}+\cdots+b_{m} t^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

are elements of $\mathrm{K}[t]$ such that

$$
a(t) b(t)=1
$$

Then there exists an integer $N=N(n, m)$ so that any monomial

$$
{ }_{a_{1}}^{r_{1}}{\stackrel{a}{a_{2}}}_{r_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{r_{n}}
$$

of weight $\Sigma \mathrm{jr}_{\mathrm{j}}>\mathrm{N}$ vanishes.

Proof: Passing to the universal situation it is sufficient to prove that if $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are indeterminates, then any monomial $\alpha$ in the $a_{i}$ of weight $\geq N$ lies in the ideal I generated by the elements

$$
c_{k}=\sum_{i+j=k} a_{i} b_{j} \quad k=1, \cdots, m n\left(a_{0} * b_{0}=1\right)
$$

By (3.1.3), introducing indetarminates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}$, it is sufficient to prove that $\alpha$ belongs to the extended ideal $J$. But $c_{k}$ is just the $k$-th elementary symmetric function of the $(m+n)$ variables $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}$. The reault now follows by applying (3.1,2) with $N=(m+n)(m+n-1)$.

Remark: The value for $N(m, n)$ obtained in the above proof is not best possible. It can be shown by more detailed arguments
that the best possible value is mn.
We now apply these algebraic results:

PROPOSITION 3.1.5. Let $x \in K_{1}(x)$. Then there exists an integer $N$, depending on $x$, such that any monomial

$$
\gamma^{i_{1}}(x) \gamma^{i} 2(x) \ldots \gamma^{i} k(x)
$$

of weight $\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} i_{j}>N$ is equal to zero.

Proof: We apply (3.1.4) to the polynomials $\gamma_{t}(x), \gamma_{t}(-x)$. Note therefore, that N depends on $\operatorname{dim}_{\gamma} \mathrm{x}, \operatorname{dim}_{\gamma}(-\mathrm{x})$.

Since $\gamma^{1}(x)=x$ we deduce:

$$
\text { COROLLARY 3.1.6. Any } x \in K_{1}(X) \text { is nilpotent. }
$$

If we define the degree of each $\gamma^{i}$ to be one, then for any monomial in the $\gamma^{i}$ we have

$$
\text { weight } \geq \text { degree }
$$

In view of (3.1.5), therefore, all monomials in $\gamma^{i}(x)$ of sufficiently high degree are zero if $x \in K_{1}(X)$. Thus we can apply a formal power series* in the $\gamma^{i}$ to any $x \in K_{1}(X)$. Let us denote by

[^0]Op( $\left.K_{1}, K\right)$ the set of all operations $K_{1} \rightarrow K$. This has a ring structure induced by the ring structure of $K$ (addition and multiplication of values). Then by what we have said we obtain a ring homomorphism

$$
\varphi: Z\left[\left[\gamma^{1}, \ldots, \gamma^{n}, \ldots\right]\right] \rightarrow O_{P}\left(K_{L}, K\right)
$$

THEOREM 3.2.7.

$$
\varphi: z\left[\left[\gamma^{1}, \cdots, \gamma^{n}, \ldots\right]\right] \longrightarrow O_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}, K\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof: Let $Y_{n, m}$ be the product of $n$ copies of $P_{m}(C)$. Using the base point $P_{0}(C)$ of $P_{m}(C)$ the $Y_{n, m}$ form a direct system of spaces with inclusions

$$
Y_{n, m} \longrightarrow Y_{n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } n^{\prime} \geq n, m^{\prime} \geq m
$$

Then $K\left(Y_{n, m}\right)$ is an inverse system of groups with

$$
K\left(Y_{n, m}\right)=z\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{m+1}, \cdots, x_{n}^{m+1}\right)
$$

$\lim _{\lim _{m}} K\left(Y_{n, m}\right)=Z\left[\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]\right]$
$\sum_{m, n}^{\lim n} K\left(Y_{n, m}\right)=\lim _{\underset{n}{ }} Z\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]$.

Any operation will induce an operation on the inverse limits. Hence we can define a map

$$
\phi: O_{p}\left(K_{1}, K\right) \rightarrow \underset{\leftarrow_{n}}{\lim } Z\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]
$$

by $\Rightarrow(f)=\lim _{\longleftrightarrow} f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)$. Since, in $K\left(Y_{n, m}\right)$ we have

$$
y_{t}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(2+x_{i} t\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\phi \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)={\underset{\sim}{n}}_{\lim _{n}} \sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ denotes the $i-t h$ elementary symmetric function. In particular, therefore $\phi \varphi$ is injective and so $\varphi$ is injective. Moreover the image of $\phi \varphi$ is

$$
z\left[\left[\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}\right]\right\}
$$

which is the same as

$$
\stackrel{\lim }{\leftarrow_{n}} \mathrm{z}\left[\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]\right]^{S_{n}}
$$

where []$^{S_{n}}$ denotes the subring of invariants under the symmetric \&roup $S_{n}$. But, for all $f \in O_{p}\left(K_{1}, K\right)$,

$$
\psi(f)=\lim _{\sim} f\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)
$$

lies in this group. In other words

$$
\operatorname{Im} \psi \varphi=\operatorname{Im} \psi .
$$

To complete the proof it remains now to show that $\phi$ is injective. Suppose then that $\psi(f)=0$. Since any line bundle over a space $X$ is induced by a map into some $P_{n}(C)$ it follows that

$$
f([E]-n)=0
$$

whenever $E$ is a sum of $n$ line -bundles. By the splitting principle this implies that

$$
f(x)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in K_{1}
$$

$i_{\text {s }}$ e., $f$ is the zero operation, as required.
Let us define $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{z})$ to be the ring of all continuous maps $X \rightarrow Z$. Then we have a direct sum decomposition of groups

$$
K(X)=K_{1}(X) \oplus H^{0}(x, z)
$$

determined by the rank homomorphism, It is easy to see that there are no non-zero natural homomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{X})
$$

and so $O_{p}(K)=O_{p}(K, K)$ differs from $O_{p}\left(K_{1}, K\right)$ only by $O_{p}\left(H^{0}(Z)\right)$ which is the ring of all maps $Z \rightarrow Z$. Thus (3.1.7) gives essentially a complete description of $O_{P}(\mathrm{~K})$.

We turn now to a discussion of finiteness conditions on $K(X)$. First we deal with $H^{0}(X, z)$.

## PROPOSITION 3.1.8. The following are equivalent

(A) $H^{0}(X, Z) \quad$ is a Noetherian ring
(B) $\quad H^{0}(X, Z) \quad$ is a finite $Z$-module .

Proof: (B) implies (A) trivially. Suppose therefore that $H^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z})$ is Noetherian. Assume if possible that we can find a strictly decreasing infinite chain of components (open and closed sets) of $X$

$$
x=x_{0} \supset x_{1} \supset \cdots \supset x_{n} \supset x_{n+1} \supset \cdots
$$

Then for each $n$ we can find a continuous map $f_{n}: X \rightarrow Z$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}\left(x_{n+1}\right) & =0 \\
f_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Sonsider the ideal $I$ of $H^{0}(X, Z)$ consisting of maps $f: X \rightarrow Z$ uch that $f\left(X_{n}\right)=0$ for some $n$. Since $H^{0}(x, z)$ is Noetherian is finitely generated and hence there exists $N$ so that

$$
f\left(X_{N}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } f \in I
$$

But this is a contradiction because

$$
f_{N} \in I, \quad f_{N}\left(X_{N}\right) \neq 0
$$

Thus $X$ has only a finite number of components, so that

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

with $X_{i}$ connected. Fience $H^{0}(X, Z)$ is isomorphic to $Z^{n}$.
Passing now to $K(X)$ we have

## PROPOSITION 3.1.9. The following are equivalent

(A) $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$ is a Noetherian ring
(B) $K(X) \quad$ is a finite $Z$-module .

Proof: Again assume (A), then $H^{0}(X, Z)$ which is a quotient ring of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$ is also Noetherian. Hence by $(3,1,8), H^{0}(X, Z)$ is a finite $Z$-module. Now $K_{l}(X)$ is an ideal of $K(X)$ consisting of nilpotent elements (3.1.6). Since $K(X)$ is Noetherian it follows that $K_{1}(X)$ is a nilpotent ideai. For brevity put $I=K_{1}(X)$. Then $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{n}}=0$ for some $n$ and the $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}+1}, \mathrm{~m}=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ are all finite modules over $K / I=H^{0}(X, Z)$. Hence $K(X)$ is a finite
$\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z})$-module and so also a finite Z -module.
Examples of spaces $X$ for which $K(X)$ is a finite $Z$-module are cell-complexes.

Let us now define a filtration of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$ by the subgroups $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma_{(X)}$ generated by all monomials

$$
\gamma^{i_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \gamma^{i 2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \gamma^{\frac{3}{k}}\left(x_{k k}\right)
$$

with $\sum_{j=1}^{k} i_{j} \geq n$ and $x_{i} \in K_{l}(x)$. Since $\gamma^{1}(x)=x$, we have $K_{1}^{y}=K_{1}$. If $x \in K_{n}^{\gamma}(X)$ we say that $x$ has $y$-filtration $\geq n$ and write $F_{\gamma}(x) \geq n$.

PROPOSITION 3.1.10, Assume $K(X)$ is a finite $Z$-module. Then for some $n$

$$
K_{\mathbf{n}}^{\gamma}(\mathrm{X})=0
$$

Proof: Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{s}$ be generators of $K_{1}(X)$ and let $N_{j}=N\left(x_{j}\right)$ be the integers given by (3.1.5). Because of the formula

$$
\gamma_{t}(a+b)=\gamma_{t}(a) \gamma_{t}(b)
$$

It will be sufficient to show that there exists N so that all monomial a in the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ of total weight $>\mathrm{N}$ are zero. But taking $\mathrm{N}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{s} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}$ we see that any suck $\quad$-nat, for some $j$, have weight $>N_{j}$
in the $\gamma^{i}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Hence by (3.1.5) this monomial is zero.

COROLLARY 3.1.11. Assume $K(X)$ is a finite $Z$-module. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\gamma} \mathrm{X}$ is finite.

We call the reader's attention to certain further properties of the operations $\gamma^{i}$.

PROPOSITION 3.1.12, If $V$ is a bundle of dimension $n$, $\lambda_{-1}[V]=(-1)^{n} \gamma^{n}([V]-n)$. Thus $\tilde{K}^{*}\left(X^{V}\right)$ is a free $K^{*}(X)$ module generated by $\gamma^{n}([V]-n)$.

PROPOSITION 3.1.13. There exist polynomials $P_{i}, Q_{i j}$ such that for all $x, y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{i}(x y) & =P_{i}\left(\gamma^{1}(x), \gamma^{1}(y), \gamma^{2}(x), \gamma^{2}(y), \cdots, \gamma^{i}(x), \gamma^{i}(y)\right) \\
\gamma^{i}\left(\gamma^{j}(x)\right) & =Q_{i j}\left(\gamma^{1}(x), \cdots, \gamma^{i+j}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We leave these proofs to the reader, who may verify them easily by use of the splitting principle.
82. The Adams Operations. We shall now eeparate out for special attention some operations with particularly pleasing properties. These were introduced by J.F. Adams, We define $\psi^{0}(x)=\operatorname{rank}(x)$. In the ring $\left.K(X)[t]\right]$ we define $\psi t(x)=\sum_{i=0} t^{i} \psi^{i}(x)$ by

$$
\psi_{t}(x)=\psi^{0}(x)-t \frac{d}{d t}\left(\log \lambda_{-t}(x)\right) .
$$

Notice that since all of the coefficients of this power series are integers, this definition makes sense.

PROPOSITION 3, 2.1. For any $x, y \in K(X)$

1) $\quad \psi^{k}(x+y)=\psi^{k}(x)+\psi^{k}(y)$ for all $k$
2) If $x$ is a line bundle, $\psi^{k}(x)=x^{k}$.
3) Properties 1 and 2 uniquely determine the operations $\psi^{k}$.

Proof: $\psi_{t}(x+y)=\psi_{t}(x)+\psi_{t}(y)$, so that $\psi^{k}(x+y)=\psi^{k}(x)+\psi^{k}(y)$ for each $k$.

If $x$ is a line bundle, $\lambda_{\text {ot }}(x)=1-t x$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}(\log (1-t x)) & =\frac{-x}{1-t x} \\
& =-x-t x^{2}-t^{2} x^{3}-\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi_{t}(x)=1+t x+t^{2} x^{2}+\cdots$.
The last part follows from the splitting principle.

PROPOSITION 3.2.2. For any $x, y \in K(X)$

1) $\quad \psi^{k}(x y)=\psi^{k}(x) \psi^{k}(y) \quad$ for all $k$
2) $\quad \psi^{k}\left(\phi^{\ell}(x)\right)=\phi^{k \ell}(x)$ for all $k, 2$.
3) If $p$ is prime, $\psi^{p}(x) \equiv x^{p} \bmod p$
4) If $u \in \tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right), \psi^{k}(u)=k^{n} u$ for all $k$.

Proof: The first two assertions follow immediately from the last proposition and the splitting principle. Also, from the splitting principle, $f^{p}(x)=x^{p}+\operatorname{pf}^{1}\left(\lambda^{1}(x), \cdots, \lambda^{p}(x)\right)$, where $f$ is some polynomial with integral coefficients. Finally, if $h$ is the generator of $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2}\right), \psi^{k}(h)=k h$. Since $s^{2 n}=s^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge s^{2}$, and $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ is generated by $h \otimes h \otimes \cdots \otimes h$, the last assertion follows from the first.

We next give an application of the Adams operations $\psi^{k}$. Suppose that $f: 5^{4 n-1} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ is any map. We define the Hopf invariant $H(f)$ as follows. Let $X_{f}$ be the mapping cone of $f$. Let $i: S^{2 n} \rightarrow X_{f}$ be the inclusion, and let $j: X_{f} \rightarrow S^{4 n}$ collapse $S^{2 n}$. Let $u$ be the generator of $\tilde{K}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$. From the exact sequence we see that there is an element $x \in \tilde{K}\left(X_{f}\right)$ such that $i^{*}(x)$ generates $\tilde{K}\left(s^{2 n}\right)$. $\tilde{K}\left(X_{f}\right)$ is the free abelian group generated by
$x$ and $y=j^{*}(u)$. Since $\left(i^{*}(x)\right)^{2}=0, x^{2}=H y$ for some $H$. This integer $H$ we define as the Hopf invariant of $f$. Clearly, up to a minus sign, $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{f})$ is well defined. The following theorem was first established by J. F. Adams by cohomological methods.

THEOREM 3.2.3. If $H(f)$ is odd, then $n=1,2$, or 4.

Proof: Let $\psi^{2}(x)=2^{n} x+a y, \psi^{3}(x)=3^{n} x+b y$. Since $\psi^{2}(x)$ $\neq x^{2} \bmod 2$, a is odd. $\psi^{k}(y)=j^{*}\left(\psi^{k}(u)\right)=k^{2 n} y$. Thus, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{6}(x)=\psi^{3}\left(\psi^{2}(x)\right)=6^{n} x+\left(2^{n} b+3^{2 n} a\right) y \\
& \psi^{6}(x)=\psi^{2}\left(\psi^{3}(x)\right)=6^{n} x+\left(2^{2 n} b+3^{n} a\right) y
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $2^{n} b+3^{2 n_{a}}=2^{2 n_{b}}+3^{n} a$, or $2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right) b=3^{n}\left(3^{n}-1\right) a$. Since a is odd, $2^{\text {n }}$ divides $3^{\text {n }}-1$, which by elementary number theory can happen only if $n=1,2$, or 4 .

If $n=1$, 2 , or 4 , the Hopf maps determined by consilering $S^{4 n-1}$ as a subspace of the non-zero vectors in 2-dimensional complex, quaternionic, or Cayley space, and $s^{2 n}$ as the complex, quaternionic, or Cayley projective line all have Hopf invariant one. We leave the verification to the reader.

PROPOSITION 3. 2.4. Let $x \in K(X)$ be such that $F_{\gamma}(x) \geq n$. Then for any $k$ we have

$$
F_{\gamma}\left(\psi^{k}(x)-\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{n}} x\right) \geq \mathbf{n}+1 .
$$

Proof: If $\mathrm{n}=0$ we have

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=\psi^{k}\left(\operatorname{rank} x+x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{rank} x+\psi^{k} x_{1}
$$

Here $x_{1}$ and so $\psi^{k} x_{1}$ are in $K_{1}(X)$. Thus

$$
\psi^{k} x-x=\psi^{k} x_{1}-x_{1} \in K_{1}(x)=K_{1}^{\gamma}(x) .
$$

Consider now $n>0$. Since $\psi^{k}$ is a ring homomorphism it is sufficient to prove that the composition $\psi^{k} \cdot \gamma^{n}-k^{n} \gamma^{n}$ (where $\psi^{k} \in \operatorname{Op}(K), \gamma^{n} \in \operatorname{Op}\left(K_{1}, K\right)$ is equal to a polynomial in the $\gamma^{i}$ in which each term has weight $\geq n+1$. As in (3.1.7) we have isomorphisms

$$
z\left[\left[\gamma^{1}, \cdots\right]\right] \cong O_{p}\left(K_{1}, K\right) \cong \lim _{\leftarrow_{m}} z\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right]^{S m}
$$

in which $y^{i}$ corresponds to $i$-th elementary symmetric function $\sigma_{i}$ of the $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Now

$$
\psi^{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{k}-1
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{k}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots\right)\right) & =\sigma_{n}\left(\left(1+x_{1}\right)^{k}-1, \cdots\right) \\
& =k^{n} \sigma_{n}(x)+f
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ is a polynomial in the $\sigma_{i}$ of weight $\geq \mathfrak{n}+1$. Since
$\psi^{k} \cdot \gamma^{n}$ corresponds to $\psi^{k}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ by the above isomorphisms the proposition is established.

Iterating (3. 2. 4) we obtain:

COROLLARY 3.2.5. If $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\gamma}(\mathrm{X})=0$,

$$
\left[\prod_{m=0}^{n}\left(\psi^{k} m-\left(k_{m}\right)^{m}\right)\right]=0
$$

for any sequence of non-negative integers $\mathbf{k}_{0}, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}$.

By (3.1.10) we can apply 3, 2.5 in particular whenever $K(X)$ is a finite Z -module.

Notice that $\psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ acts as a linear transformation on the vector space $K(X) \otimes Q$. Taking $k_{m}=k$ for all $m$ in $(3,2.5)$ we see that

$$
\prod_{m=0}^{n}\left(\psi^{k}-k_{k}^{m}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } K(x) \otimes Q .
$$

Thus the eigenvalues of each $\psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ are powers of $k$ not exceeding $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{n}}$. Let $V_{k, i}$ denote the eigenspace of $\phi^{k}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $k^{i}$ (we may have $v_{k, i}=0$ ). Then if $k>1$, we have an orthogonal decomposition of the identity operator 1 of $K(X) \otimes Q$ :

$$
1=\sum n_{i}, n_{i}=\prod_{m \neq i}\left(\psi^{k}-k^{m}\right) /\left(k^{i}-k^{m}\right)
$$

Thus $K(X) \otimes Q$ is the direct sum of the $V_{k, i}$. Now put in (3.2.5),

$$
k_{i}=\ell, \quad k_{m}=k \quad \text { for } m \neq i
$$

and we see that

$$
\left(\psi^{\ell}-f^{i}\right) v_{k, i}=0
$$

and so $V_{k, i} \subset V_{f, i}$. Hence we deduce

PROPOSITION 3.2.6. Assume $K(X)$ has finite $\gamma$-filtration and let $V_{k, i}$ denote the eigenspace of $\psi^{k}$ on $K(X) \otimes Q$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $k^{i}$. Then if $k, \ell>1$ we have

$$
v_{k, i}=v_{\ell, i}
$$

Since the subspace $V_{k, i}$ does not depend on $k$ (for $k>1$ ) we may denote it by a symbol independent of $k$. We shall denote it by $H^{2 i}(X ; Q)$ and call it the $2 i$-th Betti group of $X$. From (3. 2.4) it follows that the eigenvalue $k^{0}=1$ occurs only in $H^{0}(X, Z) \otimes Q$. Thus our notation is consistent in that

$$
H^{0}(X, Z) \otimes Q=H^{0}(X ; Q)
$$

We define the odd Betti groups by

$$
H^{2 m+1}(X ; Q)=H^{2 m+2}\left(S X^{+} ; Q\right)
$$

where $X^{+}=X U$ point and $S$ denotes reduced suspension. If the spaces involved are finite-dimensional we put

$$
B_{k}=\operatorname{dim}_{Q} H^{k}(X ; Q)
$$

and the Euler characteristic $E(X)$ is defined by

$$
E(X)=\Sigma(-1)^{k} B_{k}=\operatorname{dim}_{Q}\left(K^{0}(X) \otimes Q\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{Q}\left(K^{1}(X) \otimes Q\right)
$$

Note that the Künneth formula (when applicable) implies

$$
E(X \times Y)=E(X) E(Y) \quad .
$$

The following proposition is merely a reformulation of (3.2.4) in terms of the notation just introduced:

PROPOSITION 3.2. 7.

$$
K_{n}^{y}(X) \otimes Q=\sum_{m \geq n} H^{2 m}(X ; Q)
$$

and 30

$$
\left\{K_{n}^{\gamma(X)} / K_{n+1}^{\gamma}(X)\right\} \otimes Q \cong H^{2 n}(X ; Q)
$$

Since $\psi^{k} u=k u$ for the generator $u$ of $\widetilde{K}\left(S^{2}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\psi^{k} \beta(x)=k \beta \psi^{k}(x)
$$

where $\beta: \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{-2}(\mathrm{X})$ is the periodicity isomorphism. Thus $\beta$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H^{2 m}(X ; Q) \cong H^{2 m+2}\left(S^{2} X^{+} ; Q\right)
$$

From the way the odd Betti groups were defined it follows that, for all $k$
(3.2.8)

$$
H^{k}(X ; Q) \cong H^{k+1}\left(S X^{+} ; Q\right)
$$

If we now take the exact K -sequence of the pair $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}$, tensor with $Q$, decompose under $\psi^{k}$ and use (3.2.8) we obtain:

PROPOSITION 3.2.9. If $A \subset X$, and if both $K^{*}(X), K^{*}(A)$ are finite $Z$-modules the exact sequence

induces an exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(A ; Q) \xrightarrow{6} H^{i}(X, A ; Q) \rightarrow H^{i}(X ; Q) \rightarrow H^{i}(\Lambda ; Q)-\delta, \cdots
$$

We next give a second application of the operations $\psi^{\mathbf{k}}$. Since $P_{n}(C) / P_{n-1}(C)$ is the sphere $S^{2 n}$, we have an inclusion of $s^{2 n}$ into $P_{n+k}(C) / P_{n-1}(C)$ for all $k$. We should like to know for which values of $n$ and $k, s^{2 n}$ is a retract of $P_{n+k}(C) p_{n-1}(C)$. That is, we should like to know when can there exist a map $f: P_{n+k}(C) / P_{n-1}(C) \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ which is the identity on $s^{2 n}$. We shall obtain certain necessary conditions on $n$ and $k$ for such an $f$ to exist.

THEOREM 3. 2.10. Assume a retraction

$$
f: P_{n+k}(C) / P_{n-1}(C) \rightarrow P_{n}(C) / P_{n-1}(C)=S^{2 n}
$$

exists. Then the coefficients of $x^{i}$ for $i \leq k$ in $\left(\frac{\log \frac{1+x}{x}}{x}\right)^{n}$ are all integers.

Proof: Let $\xi$ be the usual line-bundle over $P_{n+k}$ and let $x=\xi-1$. Then $K\left(P_{n+k}\right)$ is a free abelian group on generators $x^{s}, 0 \leq s \leq n+k$, and we may identify $K\left(P_{n+k^{\prime}} P_{n-1}\right)$ with the subgroup generated by $x^{s}$ with $n \leq s \leq n+k$. In $K\left(P_{n+k}\right) \otimes Q$ put $y=\log (1+x)$, so that $\xi=e^{y}$. Then

$$
e^{r y}=\xi^{r}=\psi^{r}\left(e^{y}\right)=e^{\psi^{r}(y)}
$$

so that $\psi^{r}(y)=r y$. Thus $H^{2 s}\left(P_{n+k} / P_{n-1} ; Q\right)$, for $n \leq s \leq n+k$
is a one-dimensional space generated by $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{s}}$. Now let $u$ generate $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$, and let

$$
f^{*}(u)=\sum_{i=n}^{n+k} a_{i} x^{i}
$$

Since $f$ is a retract we have $a_{n}=1$. Since $\forall^{k} u=k^{n} u, f^{*}(u)$ must be a multiple of $y^{n}$, so that

$$
\sum_{i=n}^{n+k} a_{i} x^{i}=\lambda y^{n}
$$

Restricting to $s^{2 n}$ we see that $\lambda=1$, and so

$$
y^{n}=(\log (1+x))^{n}
$$

has all coefficients from $x^{n}$ to $x^{n+k}$ integral as required,

Remark: It has been shown by Adams and Grant-Walker (Proc. Camb. Fhil. Soc. 61(1965), 81-103) that (3. 2.10) gives a sufficient condition for the existence of a retraction.

Suppose once more that we have a map $f: S^{2 n+2 n-1} \rightarrow S^{2 m}$ Then we can attach to $f$ an invariant $e(f) \in Q / Z$ in the following fashion.

Let $X$ be the mapping cone of $f, i=s^{2 m} \rightarrow X$ the inclusion, $j: X \rightarrow s^{2 n+2 m}$ the map which collapses $s^{2 m}$. Let $u$ generate $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{~m}}\right)$, $v$ generate $\tilde{\mathrm{K}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right)$, and let $x \in \tilde{\mathrm{~K}}^{0}(\mathrm{~K})$ be such that $i^{*}(x)=v$. Let $y=j^{*}(u)$. Then for any $k$,

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=k^{m_{x}}+a_{k} y
$$

As before, we know that ${ }^{\prime} \psi^{\ell}=\psi^{\ell} \psi^{k}$, so that

$$
k^{n}\left(k^{m}-1\right) a_{\ell}=\ell^{n}\left(\ell^{m}-1\right) a_{k}
$$

Thus

$$
e(f)=\frac{a_{k}}{k^{n}\left(k^{m}-1\right)} \in Q
$$

is well defined once $x$ is chosen, If $x$ is changed by a multiple of $y$, $e(f)$ is changed by an integer, so that $e(f) \in Q / Z$ is well defined. We leave to the reader the elementary exercise that $e: \Pi_{2 n+2 m-1}\left(S^{2 m}\right) \rightarrow Q / Z$ is a group homomorphism. It turns out that this is a very powerful invariant.
83. The Groups $J(X)$. In this section we assume, for simplicity, that $X$ is connected. One can introduce a notion of equivalence between vector bundles, known as fibre homotopy equivalence, which is of much interest in homotopy theory. Let $E, E^{r}$ be two bundles over a space $X$, and suppose that both $E$, $E^{\prime}$ have been given Hermitian metrics. Then $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are said to be fibre homotopy equivalent if there exist maps $f: S(E) \rightarrow S\left(E^{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(\mathbb{E})$, commuting with the projection onto $X$, and such that gf and fg are homotopic to the identity through fibre-preserving maps. Clearly this is an equivalence relation defined on the set of equivalence classes of vector bundles over $X$.

Fibre homotopy equivalence is additive; that is, if $E, E^{1}$ are fibre homotopy equivalent to $F, F^{\prime}$ respectively, then $E \oplus E^{\prime}$ is fibre-homotopy equivalent to $F \oplus F^{\prime}$. This follows from the fact that $S\left(E \oplus E^{\prime}\right)$ may be viewed as the fibre-join of the two fibre spaces $S(E), S\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ : in general the fibre-join of $\mathbb{N}: ~ Y ~ P ~, ~$ $\pi^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is defined as the space of triples $\left(y, t, y^{\prime}\right)$ where $t \in I, \pi(y)=\pi^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and we impose the equivalence relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y, 0, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(y, 0, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left(y_{2}, 1, y^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(y_{2}, 1, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that two bundles $E, E^{\prime}$ are stably fibre-honotopy equivalent if there exist trivial bundles $V$, $V$ ' such that $E \oplus V$ is
fibre-homotopy equivalent to $E^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. The set of all stable fibre-homotopy equivalence classes over $X$ forms a semi-group which we denote by $J(X)$. Since every vector bundle $E$ has a complementary bundle $F$ so that $E \oplus F$ is trivial it follows that $J(X)$ is a group and hence the map

$$
\operatorname{Vect}(X) \longrightarrow J(X)
$$

extends to an epimorphism

$$
K(X) \longrightarrow J(X)
$$

which we also denote by J.
If we have two bundles $E, E^{\prime}$ and if $\pi: S(E) \rightarrow X$, $\pi^{\prime}: S\left(E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow X$ are the projection maps of the respective sphere bundles, the Thom complexes $X^{E}, X^{E^{\prime}}$ are just the mapping cones of the maps $\pi, \pi^{2}$ respectively. Thus, we see that if $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are fibre homotopy equivalent, $X^{E}$ and $X^{E^{\prime}}$ have the same homotopy type. However, if E is a trivial bundle of dimension $n, X^{E}=s^{2 n}\left(X^{+}\right)$. Thus, to show that $J(E) \neq 0$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{E}}$ does not have the same stable homotopy type as a suspension of $X^{+}$.

We shall now show how to use the operations $\psi^{k}$ of $\$ 2$ to give necessary conditions for $J(E)=0$. By the Thom isomorphism (2. 7, 12) we know that $\tilde{K}\left(X^{E}\right)$ is a free $K(X)$-module generated by
$\lambda_{E}$. Hence, for any $k$, there is a unique element $\rho^{k}(E) \in K(X)$ such that

$$
\psi^{k}\left(\lambda_{E}\right)=\lambda_{E} \rho^{k}(E)
$$

The multiplicative property of the fundamental class $\lambda_{E}$, established in 82 , together with the fact that $\psi^{k}$ preserves products, shows that

$$
\rho^{k}\left(E \oplus E^{x}\right)=\rho^{k}(E) \cdot \rho^{k}\left(E^{\prime}\right)
$$

Also, taking $E=1$, and recalling that

$$
\psi^{k} \cdot \beta=k \beta^{\circ} \cdot \psi^{k}
$$

where $\beta$ is the periodicity isomorphism, we see that

$$
\rho^{k}(1)=k
$$

Now let $Q_{k}=Z[1 / k]$ be the subring of $Q$ consisting of fractions with denominators a power of $k$. Then if we put

$$
\sigma^{k}(E)=k^{-n} \rho_{h_{k}}(E) \quad n=\operatorname{dim} E
$$

we obtain a homomorphism

$$
\sigma^{k}: K(X) \rightarrow G_{k}
$$

where $G_{k}$ is the multiplicative group of units of $K(X) \otimes Q_{k}$. Suppose now E is fibre-homotopically trivial, then there exists
$u \in \tilde{K}\left(X^{E}\right)$ so that $\psi^{k} u=k^{n} u$. Putting $u=\lambda_{E}$ a we find that

$$
\psi^{k} \lambda_{E} \cdot \psi^{k} \mathbf{a}=k^{n} \lambda_{E}{ }^{a}
$$

and so

$$
\sigma^{k}(E) \cdot \psi^{k}(a)=a
$$

Moreover, restricting to a point, we see that a has augmentation I so that $a$ and $\psi^{k}(a)$ are both elements of $G_{k}$. Hence we may write

$$
\sigma^{k}(E)=\frac{a}{\psi^{k}(a)} \in G_{k}
$$

Since $\sigma^{k}(E)$ depends only on the stable class of $E$, we have established the following

PROPOSITION 3.3.1. Let $H_{k} \subset G_{k}$ be the subgroup generated by all elements of the form $a / \psi^{k}(a)$ with a a unit of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$. Then

$$
\sigma^{k}: K(X) \rightarrow G_{k}
$$

maps the kernel of J into $\mathrm{H}_{k}$, and so induces a homomorphism

$$
J(X) \rightarrow G_{k} / H_{k}
$$

In order to apply (3.3.1) it is necessary to be able to compute $\sigma^{k}$ or equivalently $\rho^{k}$. Now

$$
\rho^{k} \in O_{p} K
$$

is an operation. Its augmentation is known so it remains to determine its value on combinations of line-bundles. Because of its multiplicative property, it is only necessary to determine $\rho^{k}(L)$ for a line-bundle $L$.

LEMMA 3.3.2. For a line-bundle $L$, we have

$$
\rho^{k}[L]=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}[L]^{j}
$$

Proof: By (2, 7.1) and (2.7.2) we have a description of $\tilde{K}\left(X^{L}\right)$ as the $K(X)$ sub-module of $K(P(L \oplus I))$ generated by $n=1-[L][H]$. The structure of $K(P(L \oplus 1))$ is of course given by our main theorem (2,2,1). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{k}(u) & =1-\left[L^{k}\right]\left[H^{k}\right] \\
& =(1-[L][H])\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[L^{j}\right]\left[H^{j}\right]\right\} \\
& =u \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[L^{j}\right], \quad \text { since }(L-[L][H]\}(1-\{H])=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\psi^{k} \lambda_{L}=\lambda_{L}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[L^{j}\right]\right\}
$$

proving that

$$
\beta^{k}(L)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[L^{j}\right]
$$

as required.
As an example we take $X=P_{2_{n}}(R)$, real projective $2 n-s p a c e$. As shown in (2.7.7) $\mathfrak{X}(X)$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}$ with generator $x=[L]-1$, where $L$ is the standard line-bundle. The multiplicative structure follows from the relation $[\mathrm{L}]^{2}=1$ (since $L$, is associated to the group $Z_{2}$ ). Now take $k=3$, then

$$
\psi^{3}(x)=\left[L^{3}\right]-1=x,
$$

and so the group $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ defined above is reduced to the identity. Using (3.3.2) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{3}(m x) & =\rho^{3}(m x)=\left(\rho^{3}(x)\right)^{m}=\left(\rho^{3}[L]\right)^{m} \cdot 3^{-m} \\
& =3^{-m}\left(1+[L]+[L]^{2}\right)^{m} \\
& =(1+x / 3)^{m} \\
& \left.=1+\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1} \frac{2^{i-1}}{3^{i}}\binom{m}{i} x \quad \text { (since } x^{2}=-2 x\right) \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(1-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{m}\right) x \\
& =1+3^{-m\left(\frac{3^{m}-1}{2}\right) x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $J(m x)=0$ we must have $3^{m}-1$ divisible by $2^{n+1}$. This happens if and only if $2^{n-1}$ divides $m$. Thus the kernel of

$$
J: \tilde{K}\left(P_{2 n}(R)\right) \rightarrow J\left(P_{2 n}(R)\right)
$$

is at most of order 2. This result can in fact be improved by use of real K-theory and is the basis of the solution of the vectorfield problem for spheres.

The problem considered in (3,2,10) is in fact a special case of the more general problem we are considering now. In fact, the space $P_{n+k}(C) / P_{n-1}(C)$ is easily seen to be the Thom space of the bundle $n H$ over $P_{k}(C)$. The conclusion of $(3,2.10)$ may therefore be interpreted as a statement about the order of $J[H] \in J\left(P_{k}(C)\right)$. The method of proof in (3.2.10) is essentially the same as that used in this section. The point is that we are now considering not just a single space but a whole class, namely Thom spaces, and describing a uniform method for dealing with all spaces of this class.

For further details of $J(X)$ on the preceding lines we refor the reader to the series of papers "On the groups $J(X)$ " by J. F. Adams (Topology 1964-).

## APPENDIX

The space of Fredholm operators. In this appendix we shall give a Hilbert space interpretation ${ }^{\prime}$ of $K(X)$. This is of interest in connection with the theory of the index for elliptic operators.

Let $H$ denote a separable complex Hilbert space, and let $G(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded operators on $H$. We give $G$ the norm topology. It is well-known that this makes $\mathbb{G}$ into a Banach algebra. In particular the group of units $Q^{*}$ of $G$ forms an open set. We recall also that, by the closed graph theorem, any $T \in G$ which is an algebraic isomorphism $H \rightarrow H$ is also a topological isomorphism, i.e., $T^{-1}$ exists in $G$ and so $T \in G^{*}$.

DEFINITION: An operator $T \in G(H)$ is a Fredholm operator if Ker $T$ and Coker $T$ arefinite dimensional. The integer

> dim Ker T - dim Coker T
is called the index of $T$.

We firat observe that, for a Fredholm operator $T$, the image $T(H)$ is closed. In fact, since $T(H)$ is of finite codimension in $H$ we can find a finite dimensional algebraic complement $P$. Then $T \oplus j: H \oplus P \rightarrow H$ (where $j: P \rightarrow H$ is the inclusion) is

These results have been obtained independently by K. Janich (Bonn dissertation 1964).
surjective, and so by the closed graph theorem the image of any closed set is closed. In particular $T(H)=T \oplus j(H \oplus 0)$ is closed.

Let $\} \subset G$ be the subspace of all Fredholm operators. If T, S are two Fredholm operators we have

> dim Ker $\mathrm{TS} \leq$ dirn Ker $\mathrm{T}+\operatorname{dim}$ Ker S
> $\operatorname{dim}$ Coker $\mathrm{TS} \leq \operatorname{dim}$ Coker $\mathrm{T}+\operatorname{dim}$ Coker S
and so TS is again a Fredholm operator. Thus 3 is a topological space with an associative product $\mathbf{z} \times \boldsymbol{z} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$. Hence for any space $X$ the set [ $\mathrm{X}, 7$ ] of homotopy classes of mappinge $X \rightarrow Y$ is a semi-group. Our main aim will be to indicate the proof of the following:

THE OREM Al. For any compact space we have a natural isomorphism

$$
\text { index : }[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{z}] \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) .
$$

Note: If X is a point this means that the connected compronents of 3 are determined by an integer: this is in fact the index which explains our use of the word in the more general context of Theorem Al.

Theorem Al asserts that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a classifying or representing space for K-theory. Another closely related classifying space may be obtained as follows. Let $X \in G$ denote all the compact operators. This is a closed 2-sided ideal and the quotient $B=G / X$ is thexefore again a Banach algebra, Let $Q^{*}$ be the group of units of 6 . It is a topological group and so, for any $X,\left[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{B}^{*}\right]$ is a group. Then our second theorem is:

THEOREM A2. $B^{*}$ is a classifying space for K-theory, i. e., we have a natural group-isomorphism

$$
\left[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~B}^{*}\right] \cong \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})
$$

We begin with the following lemma which is essentially the generalization to infinite dimensions of Proposition 1.3.2.

LEMMA A3. Let $T \in \boldsymbol{F}^{2}$ and let $V$ be a closed subspace of $H$ of finite codimension such that $V \cap \operatorname{Ker} T \cong 0$. Then there existe a neighborhood $U$ of $T$ in $G$ such that, for all $S \in U$, we have
(i) $\quad V \cap \operatorname{Ker} S=0$
(ii) $\underset{S \in U}{ } \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{V})$ topologized as a quotient space of $\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{H}$
is a trivial vector bundle over $U$.

Proof: Let $W=T(V)^{\perp}$ (the orthogonal complement of $T(V)$ in H.) Since $T \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\operatorname{dim} H / V$ is finite it follows that $\operatorname{dim} W$ is finite. Now define, for $S \in G$,

$$
\varphi_{S}: V \oplus W \rightarrow H
$$

by $\varphi_{S}(V \oplus W)=S(V)+W$. Then $S \rightarrow \varphi_{S}$ gives a continuous linear map

$$
\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(V \oplus W, H)
$$

where \& stands for the space of all continuous linear maps with the norra topology. Now $\varphi_{I}$ is an isomorphism and the isomorphisms in $\mathcal{L}$ form an open set (like $\mathbb{G}^{*}$ in $\mathbb{G}$ ). Hence there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $T$ in $G$ so that $\varphi_{S}$ is an isomorphism for all $S \in U$. This clearly implies (i) and (ii).

COROLLARY A4: 3 is open in 6 .

Proof: Take $V=(\text { Ker } T)^{\boldsymbol{L}}$ in (A3).

PROPOSITION AS. Let $T: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a continuous map. with $X$ compact. Then there exists $V \subset H$ closed and of finite codimension so that
(i) $V \cap \operatorname{Ker} T_{x}=0 \quad$ for all $x \in X$.

Moreover, for any such $V$ we have
(ii) $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (V), topologized as a quotient space of $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{H}$, is a vector bundle over X .

Proof: For each $x \in X$ take $V_{x}=\left(\operatorname{Ker} T_{X}\right)^{\downarrow}$ and let $U_{x}$ be the inverse image under $T$ of the open set given by (A3). Let $K_{i}=U_{X_{i}}$ be a finite sub-cover of this family of open sets. Then $V=\Pi_{i} V_{x_{i}}$ aatisfies (i). To prove (ii) we apply (A3) to each $T_{x}$, and deduce that $U_{y} H / T_{y}(V)$ is locally tzivial near $x$, and hence is a vector bundle.

For brevity we ghall denote the bundle $U_{x \in X} H / T_{x}(V)$, occurring in (A4), by $H / T(V)$. Just as in the finite-dimensional case we can split the map $p: X \times H \rightarrow H / T(V):$ more precisely we can find a continuous map

$$
\varphi: H / T(V) \rightarrow X \times H
$$

commuting with projection on $X$ and such that

$$
\phi \varphi=\text { identity }
$$

One way to construct $\varphi$ is to use the metric in $H$ and map $H / T(V)$ onto the orthogonal complement $T(V)^{\perp}$ of $T(V)$. This is technically in convenient since we then have to verify that $T(V)^{\perp}$ is a vector bundle. Instead we observe that, by definition, $p$ splits locally and so we can choose splittings $\varphi_{i}$ over $U_{i}$, where $U_{i}$ is a finite open covering of $X$. Then $\varphi_{i}-\varphi_{j}=\theta_{i j}$ is essentially a map $H / T(V) \mid U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ $\rightarrow U_{i} \cap U_{j} \times V$. If $\rho_{i}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to the
covering we put, in the usual way

$$
\theta_{i}=\Sigma \rho_{j} \theta_{i j}
$$

so that $\theta_{i}$ is defined over all $U_{i}$, and then $\varphi=\varphi_{i}-\theta_{i}$ is independent of $i$ and gives the required oplitting.

We can now define index $T$ for any map $T: X \rightarrow X$ ( $X$ being compact). We choose $V$ as in (A5) and put

$$
\text { index } T=[H / V]-[H / T(V)] \in K(X)
$$

where $H / V$ stands for the trivial bundle $X \times H / V$. We must show that this is independent of the choice of $V$. If $W$ is another choicesois $V \cap W$, so it is sufficient to assume $W C V$. But then we have the exact eqquences of vector bundles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{W} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{W} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O} \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{W} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~W}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{W}]=[\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{W}]=[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~V})]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{~W})]
$$

as required.

It is clear that our definition of index $T$ is functorial.
Thus if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ ia a continuous map then

$$
\text { index } T f=f^{*} \text { index } T
$$

This follows from the fact that a choice of the subspace $V$ for T is also a choice for Tf.

If $T: X \times I \rightarrow$ is a homotopy between $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$ then index $T \in \mathbb{K}(X \times I)$ restricts to index $T_{i} \in K(X \times\{i\}), i=0,1$. Since we know that

$$
K(X \times I) \rightarrow K(X \times\{i\}) \cong K(X)
$$

is an isomorphism, it follows that

$$
\text { index } T_{0}=\text { index } T_{1}
$$

Thus

$$
\text { index }:[x, 3] \rightarrow K(X)
$$

is well-defined.

Next we must show that "index" is a homomorphism. Let
$S: X \rightarrow \bar{J}, T: X-Z$ be two continuous maps, Let $W \in H$ be a choice for $T$. Replacing $S$ by the homotopic map $\pi{ }_{W} S_{W}$ denoting projection onto W) we can assume $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{H}) \subset \mathrm{W}$. Now let $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{H}$ be a choice for S then it is also a choice for TS and we have an exact sequence of vector bundles over $X$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{SV} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TSV} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TW} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { index TS } & =[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TSV}] \\
& =[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{SV}]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TW}] \\
& =[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{SV}]+[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{W}]-[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TW}] \\
& =\text { index } S+\text { index } T
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Having now established that

$$
\text { index }:[X, \not x] \rightarrow K(X)
$$

is a homomorphism the next step in the proof of Theorem (Al) is

PROPOSITION A6. We have an exact sequence of semi-

## groups

$$
\left[x, Q^{*}\right] \longrightarrow[x, z] \xrightarrow{\text { index }} \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof: Considex first a map $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}$ of index zero. This means that

$$
[H / V]-[H / T V]=0 \quad \text { in } K(X)
$$

Hence adding a trivial bundie $P$ to both factors we have

$$
H / V \oplus P \cong H / T V \oplus P .
$$

Equivalently replacing $V$ by a closed subspace $W$ with $\operatorname{dim} V / W=\operatorname{dim} P$,

$$
\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{W} \cong \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TW} .
$$

If we now split $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{TW}$ as explained earlier we obtain a continuous map

$$
\varphi: X \times H / W \longrightarrow X \times H
$$

commuting with projection on $X$, linear on the fibres. If

$$
\check{\Phi}: X \rightarrow \Sigma(H / W, H)
$$

is the map associated to $\varphi$, it follows from the construction of $\varphi$ that

$$
x \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{x}+T_{x}
$$

gives a continuous map

$$
\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}^{*} .
$$

But if $0 \leq t \leq 1, T+t \Phi$ provides a homotopy of maps $X \rightarrow \mathbf{J}$ connecting $T$ with $T+\Phi$. This proves exactness in the middie.

It remains to show that the index is surjective. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be a vector bundle over $X$ and let $F$ be a complement so that $1: \oplus F$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $X \times V$. Let $\pi_{x} \in$ End $V$
denote projection onto the subspace corresponding to $E_{x}$,
Let $T_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the standard operator of index $k$, defined relative to an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{k}\left(e_{i}\right) & =e_{i-k} & & \text { if } i-k \geq 1 \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then define a map

$$
s: X \rightarrow J(H \otimes v) \cong z(H)
$$

by $S_{x}=T_{-1} \otimes \pi_{x}+T_{0} \otimes\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)$. We have $K e r S_{x}=0$ for all $x$ and $H \otimes V / S(H \otimes V) \cong E$. Hence

$$
\text { index } S=-[E]
$$

The constant map $T_{k}: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ given by $T_{k}(x)=T_{k}$ has index $k$ and so

$$
\text { index } T_{\mathbf{k}} S=k-[E]
$$

Since every element of $K(X)$ is of the form $k \sim[E]$ this shows that the index is surjective and completes the proof of the propersit Theorem (Al) now follows from (A6) and the following: PROPOSITION AT. $\left[X, C^{*}\right]=1$.

This proposition is due to Kuiper and we shall not reproduce the proof here (full details are in Kuiper's paper: Topology 3 (1964) 19-30). In fact, Kuiper actually shows that $\mathrm{G}^{*}$ is contractible.

We turn now to discuss the proof of (A2). We recall first that

$$
1+M \subset \%
$$

This is a standard result in the theory of compact operators: the proof is easy.

## PROPOSITION A8, Let $\pi: Q \rightarrow B=a / k$ be the natural

map. Then

$$
3=\pi^{-1}\left(\theta^{*}\right) .
$$

Proof: (a) Let $T \in \mathcal{J}$ and let $P, Q$ denote orthogonal projection onto Ker $T$, Ker $T^{*}$ respectively. Then $T * T+p$ and $T T^{*}+Q$ are both in $Q^{*}$, and so their images by $T$ are in $B^{*}$. But $P, Q \in X$ and so $\pi\left(T^{*}\right) \cdot \pi(T) \in B^{*}, \pi(T) \pi\left(T^{*}\right) \in B$ This implies that $\pi(T) \in \mathbb{B}^{*}$.
(b) Let $T \in \pi^{-1}\left(\beta^{*}\right)$, i. $e$, there exists $S \in Q$ with $S T$ and $T S \in 1+\mathcal{K}$. Since dim Ker $T \leq \operatorname{dim}$ Ker ST

$$
\text { dim Coker } T \leq \operatorname{dim} \text { Coker } T S
$$

it follows that $T \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (A2) will now follow from (A1) and the following general lemma (applied with $L=\mathbb{a}, M=\mathbb{Q}, U=\mathbb{Q}^{*}$ ).

LEMMA A9. Let $\pi: L \rightarrow M$ be a continuous linear map of Banach spaces with $\pi(L)$ dense in $M$ and let $U$ be an open set in $M$. Then, for any compact $X$

$$
\left[x, \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{U})\right] \rightarrow[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{U}]
$$

is bijective.

Proof: First we shall show that if

$$
\pi: L \rightarrow M
$$

satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma, then for any compact $X$, the induced map

$$
\pi^{X}: L^{X} \longrightarrow M^{X}
$$

also satisfies the same hypotheses. Since $L^{X}, M^{X}$ are Banach spaces the only thing to prove is that $\pi^{X}\left(L^{X}\right)$ is dense in $M^{X}$. Thus, let $f: X \rightarrow M$ be given. We have to construct $g: X \rightarrow L$ so that $\|\pi g(x)-f(x)\|<\epsilon$ for all $x \in X$. Choose $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ in $f(X)$ so that their $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$-neighborhoods $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ cover $f(X)$ and choose $b_{i}$ so that $\left\|\pi\left(b_{i}\right)-a_{i}\right\|<\epsilon / 3$. Let $u_{i}(x)$ be a partition of unity of $X$ subordinate to the covering $\left\{f^{-1} U_{i}\right\}$ and define
$g: X \rightarrow$ L by

$$
g(x)=\sum u_{i}(x) b_{i}
$$

This is the required map.
Hence replacing $\pi$ by $\pi^{X}$ and $U$ by $U^{X}$ (which is open in $M^{S}$ ) we see that it is only necessary to prove the lemma when $X$ is a point, i.e., to prove that

$$
\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}
$$

induces a bijection of path-components. Clearly this map of path-components is surjective: if $P \in U$ then there exists $Q \in \pi(L) \cap U$ such that the segment $P Q$ is entirely in $U$. To see that it is injective let $P_{0}, P_{1} \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ and suppose $f: I \rightarrow U$ is a path with $f(0)=\pi\left(P_{0}\right), f(l)=\pi\left(P_{1}\right)$. By what we proved at the beginning there exists $g: I \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{U})$ such that

$$
\|\pi g(t)-f(t)\|<\epsilon \quad \text { for all } t \in I
$$

If $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small the segments joining $\pi g(i)$ to $f(i)$, for $i=0,1$, will lie entirely in $U$. This implies that the segment joining $g(i)$ to $P_{i}$, for $i=0,1$, lies in $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Thus $P_{0}$ can be joined to $P_{1}$ by a path in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ (see figure) and this completes the proof.
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## Introduction

For any finite $C W$-complex $X$ we can define the Grothendieck group $K(X)$. It is constructed from the set of complex vector bundles over $\boldsymbol{X}$ [see (8) for precise definitions]. It has many formal similarities to the cohomology of $X$, but there is one striking difference. Whereas cohomology is graded, by dimension, $K(X)$ has only a filtration: the subgroup $K_{q}(X)$ is defined as the kernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$
K(X) \rightarrow K\left(X_{q-1}\right),
$$

where $X_{q-1}$ is the $(q-1)$-skeleton of $X$. Now $K(X)$ has a ring structure, induced by the tensor product of vector bundles, and this is compatible with the filtration, so that $K(X)$ becomes a filtered ring. There are also natural operations in $K(X)$, induced by the exterior powers, and one of the main purposes of this paper is to examine the relation between operations and filtration (Theorem 4.3).

Besides the formal analogy between $K(X)$ and cohomology there is a more precise relationship. If $X$ has no torsion this takes a particularly simple form, namely the even-dimensional part of the integral cohomology ring

$$
\left.H^{\operatorname{er}}(X ; \mathbf{Z})=\sum_{q} H^{2 a(X} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

is naturally isomorphic to the graded ring

$$
G K(X)=\sum_{q} K_{2_{q}}(X) / K_{2 Q-1}(X) .
$$

Since this isomorphism preserves the ring structures, it is natural to ask about the operations. Can we relate the operations in $K$-theory to the Steenrod operations in cohomology?
If we consider the way the operations arise in the two theories, we see that in both cases a key role is played by the symmetric group. It is well known [cf. (10)] that one way of introducing the Steenrod operations is via the cohomology of the symmetric group (and its subgroups). On the other hand, the operations on vector bundles come essentially from representations of the general linear group and the role of the symmetric group in constructing the irreduoible representations of $G L(n)$ is of course classioal [cf. (11)]. A closer examination of the two cases shows
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that the symmetric group enters in essentially the same way in both theories. The operations arise from the interplay of the $k$ th power map and the action of the symmetric group $S_{k}$.

We shall develop this point of view and, following Steenrod, we shall introduce operations in $K$-theory corresponding to any subgroup $G$ of $S_{k}$. Taking $k=p$ (a prime) and $G=Z_{p}$ to be the cyclic group of order $p$ we find that the only non-trivial operation defined by $Z_{p}$ is the Adams operation $\psi^{p}$. This shows that $\psi^{p}$ is analogous to the total Steenrod power operation $\sum P^{i}$ and, for spaces without torsion, we obtain the precise relationship between $\psi^{p}$ and the $P^{i}$ (Theorem 6.5). Incidentally we give a rather simple geometrical description (2.7) of the operation $\psi^{p}$.

It is not difficult to translate Theorem 6.5 into rational cohomology by use of the Chern character, and (for spaces without torsion) we recover a theorem of Adams (1). In fact this paper originated in an attempt to obtain Adams's results by more direct and elementary methods.

Although the only essentially new results are concerned with the relation between operations and filtration, it seems appropriate to give a new self-contained account of the theory of operations in $K$-theory. We assume known the standard facts about $K$-theory [ef. (8)] and the theory of representations of finite groups. We do not assume anything about representations of compact Lie groups.

In § 1 we present what is relevant from the classical theory of the symmetric group and tensor products. We follow essentially an idea of Schur [see (11) 215], which puts the emphasis on the symmetric group $S_{k}$ rather than the general linear group $G L(n)$. This seems particularly appropriate for $K$-theory where the dimension $n$ is rather a nuisance (it can even be negative!). Thus we introduce a graded ring

$$
R_{*}=\sum_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(R\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right), \mathbf{Z}\right),
$$

where $R\left(S_{k}\right)$ is the character ring of $S_{k}$, and we study this in considerable detail. Among the formulae we obtain, at least one (Proposition 1.9) is probably not well known. In § 2, by considering the tensor powers of a graded vector bundle, we show how to define a ring homomorphism

$$
j: R_{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}(K),
$$

where $\operatorname{Op}(K)$ stands for the operstions in $K$-theory. The detailed information about $R_{*}$ obtained in $\S 1$ is then applied to yield results in $K$-theory.
$\S 3$ is concerned with 'externalizing' and 'relativizing' the tensor powers defined in § 2. Then in $\S 4$ we study the relation of operations and filtration. $\$ 5$ is devoted to the cyclic group of prime order and its related operations. In § 6 we investigate briefly our operations in connexion with the spectral sequence $H^{*}(X, Z) \Rightarrow K^{*}(X)$ and obtain in particular the relation with the Steenrod powers mentioned earlier. Finally in § 7 we translate things into rationsl cohomology and derive Adams's result.

The general exposition is considerably simplified by introducing the functor $K_{G}(X)$ for a $G$-space $X$ (§ 2). We establish some of its elementary properties but for a fuller treatment we refer to (4) and (9).

The key idea that one should consider the symmetric group acting on the $k$ th power of a complex of vector bundles is due originally to Grothendieck, and there is a considerable overlap between our presentation of operations in $K$-theory and some of his unpublished work.

I am indebted to P. Cartier and B. Kostant for some very enlightening discussions.

## 1. Tensor products and the symmetric group

For any finite group $G$ we denote by $R(G)$ the free abelian group generated by the (isomorphism classes of) irreducible complex representations of $G$. It is a ring with respect to the tensor product. By assigning to each irreducible representation its character we obtain an embedding of $R(G)$ in the ring of all complex-valued class functions on $G$. We shall frequently identify $R(G)$ with this subring and refer to it as the character ring of $G$. For any two finite groups $G, H$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$
R(G) \otimes R(H) \rightarrow R(G \times H)
$$

Now let $S_{k}$ be the symmetric group and let $\left\{V_{\pi}\right\}$ be a complete set of irreducible complex $S_{k}$-modules. Here $\pi$ may be regarded as a partition of $k$, but no use will be made of this fact. Let $E$ be a complex vector space, $E^{\otimes k}$ its $k$ th tensor power. The group $S_{k}$ acts on this in a natural way, and we consider the classical decomposition

$$
E^{\otimes k} \cong \sum V_{\pi} \otimes \pi(E)
$$

where $\pi(E)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}}\left(V_{\pi}, E^{\otimes k}\right)$. We note in particular the two extreme cases: if $V_{\pi}$ is the trivial one-dimensional representation, then $\pi(E)$ is the $k$ th symmetric power $\sigma^{k}(E)$; if $\boldsymbol{V}_{\pi}$ is the sign representation, then $\pi(E)$ is the $k$ th exterior power $\lambda^{k}(E)$. Any endomorphism $T$ of $E$ induces an $S_{k}$-endomorphism $T^{\otimes k}$ of $E^{\otimes k}$, and hence an endomorphism $\pi(T)$ of $\pi(E)$. Taking $T \in G L(E)$, we see that $\pi(E)$ becomes a representation
space of $G L(E)$, and this is of course the classical construction for the irreducible representations of the general linear group. For our purposes, however, this is not relevant. All we are interested in are the character formulae. We therefore proceed as follows.

Let $E=\mathrm{C}^{n}$ and let $T$ be the diagonal matrix $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$. Since the eigenvalues of $7^{8 k}$ are all monomials of degree $k$ in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$, it follows that, for each $\pi$, Trace $\pi(T)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ with integer coefficients. Moreover, Trace $\pi(T)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(\pi\left(S^{-1} T S\right)\right.$ ) for any permutation matrix $S$ and so Trace $\pi(T)$ is symmetric in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. We define

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=\operatorname{Trace}_{S_{k}}\left(T^{\otimes k}\right)=\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{Trace} \pi(T) \otimes\left[V_{\pi}\right] \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right),
$$

where $\left[V_{\pi}\right] \in R\left(S_{k}\right)$ is the class of $V_{\pi}$ and $\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ denotes the symmetric polynomials of degree $k$. If we regard $R\left(S_{k}\right)$ as the character ring, then $\Delta_{n, k}$ is just the function of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ and $g \in S_{k}$ given by Trace $\left(g T^{\otimes k}\right)$. There are a number of other ways of writing this basio element, the simplest being the following proposition:

Proposition 1.1. For any partition $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\psi}\right)$ of $k$ let $\rho_{\alpha} \in R\left(S_{k}\right)$ be the representation induced from the trivial representation of
then

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha_{2}} \times S_{\alpha_{\mathbf{2}}} \times \ldots \times S_{\alpha_{k}} \\
\Delta=\sum_{\alpha, k} m_{\alpha} \otimes \rho_{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $m_{\alpha}$ is the monomial symmetric function generated by $t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} t_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots t_{r}^{\alpha_{2}}$ and the summation is over all partitions of $k$.

Proof. Let $E^{\alpha}$ be the eigenspace of $T^{\otimes k}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} t_{2}^{\alpha_{s}} \ldots t_{r}^{\alpha_{p}}$. This has as a basis the orbit under $S_{k}$ of the vector

$$
e_{\alpha}=e_{1}^{\otimes \alpha_{1}} \otimes e_{2}^{\otimes \alpha_{1}} \ldots \otimes e_{r}^{\otimes \alpha_{r}},
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are the standard base of $\mathrm{C}^{n}$. Since the stabilizer of $e_{\alpha}$ is just the subgroup $S_{\alpha}$, it follows that $E^{\alpha}$ is the induced representation $\rho_{\alpha}$. Since $S_{\alpha}$ and $S_{\beta}$ are conjugate if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the same partition of $k$, it follows that

$$
\Delta=\sum_{\mid \alpha i=k} t^{\alpha} \otimes \rho_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha \in-k} m_{\alpha} \otimes \rho_{\alpha}
$$

where the first summation is over all sequences $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{g}, \ldots$ with

$$
|\alpha|=\sum \alpha_{i}=k .
$$

Now let us introduce the dual group

$$
R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}}\left(R\left(S_{k}\right), \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

Then $\Delta_{n, k}$ defines (and is defined by) a homomorphism

$$
\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime} ; R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

From the inclusions

$$
S_{k} \times S_{l} \rightarrow S_{k+l}
$$

we obtain homomorphisms

$$
R\left(S_{k+l}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S_{k} \times S_{l}\right) \cong R\left(S_{k}\right) \otimes R\left(S_{l}\right)
$$

and hence by duality

$$
R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) \otimes R_{*}\left(S_{l}\right) \rightarrow R_{*}\left(S_{k+l}\right)
$$

Putting $R_{*}=\sum_{k>0} R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)$ we see that the above pairings turn $R_{*}$ into a commutative graded ring. This follows from the fact, already used in Proposition 1.1, that $S_{\alpha}$ and $S_{\beta}$ are conjugate if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the same partition. Moreover, if we define

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime}: R_{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

by $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}=\sum \Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}$, we see that $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ is a ring homomorphism. This follows from the multiplicative property of the trace:

$$
\operatorname{Trace}\left(g_{1} g_{2} T^{\otimes(k+\delta)}\right)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(g_{1} T^{\otimes k}\right) \operatorname{Trace}\left(g_{2} T^{\otimes l}\right),
$$

where $g_{1} \in S_{k}, g_{2} \in S_{l}$. Finally we observe that we have a commutative diagram


$$
\operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

where the vertical arrow is given by putting $t_{n+1}=0$. Hence passing to the limit we can define

$$
\Delta^{\prime}: R_{*} \rightarrow \lim _{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

Here the inverse limit is taken in the category of graded rings, so that

$$
\underset{\sim}{\lim _{\boxed{ }}} \operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]=\sum_{k=0} \lim _{n}^{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

is the direct sum (and not the direct product) of its homogeneous parts.
Proposiction 1.2. $\quad \Delta^{\prime}: R_{*} \rightarrow \underset{\substack{+} \underset{\lim }{ } \operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]}{ }$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\sigma^{k} \in R_{*}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right)$ denote the homomorphism $R\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ defined by

$$
\sigma^{k}(1)=1, \quad \sigma^{k}\left(V_{\pi}\right)=0 \quad \text { if } V_{\pi} \neq 1,
$$

where 1 denotes the trivial representation. Since $\pi(E)$ is the $k$ th symmetric power of $E$ when $V_{\pi}=1$, it follows from the definition of $\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}$ that

$$
\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{k}\right)=h_{k}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)
$$

is the $k$ th homogeneous symmetric function (i.e. the coefficient of $z^{k}$ in II $\left.\left(1-z t_{i}\right)^{-1}\right)$. Since the $h_{k}$ are a polynomial basis for the symmetric functions, it follows that $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism for all $n$. Now the rank of $R\left(S_{k}\right)$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of $S_{k}$, that is the number of partitions of $k$, and hence is also equal to the rank of $\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ provided that $n \geqslant k$. Hence

$$
\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}: R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

is an epimorphism of free abelian groups of the same rank (for $n \geqslant k$ ) and hence is an isomorphism. Since

$$
\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

is also an isomorphism for $n \geqslant k$, this completes the proof.
Corollary 1.3. $R_{*}$ is a polynomial ring on generators $\sigma^{1}, \sigma^{2}, \ldots$.
Instead of using the elements $\sigma^{k} \in R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)$ we could equally well have used the elements $\lambda^{k}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{k}\left(V_{\pi}\right)=1 & \text { if } V_{\pi} \text { is the sign representation. } \\
\lambda^{k}\left(V_{\pi}\right)=0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}
$$

Since $\pi(E)$ is the $k$ th exterior power when $\pi$ is the sign representation of $S_{k}$, it follows that

$$
\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{k}\right)=e_{k}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)
$$

is the $k$ th elementary symmetric function. Thus $R_{*}$ is equally well a polynomial ring on generators $\lambda^{1}, \lambda^{2}, \ldots$.

Corollary 1.4. Let $\Delta_{n, k}=\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ and $b_{i} \in R\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right)$, and suppose $n \geqslant k$. Then the $a_{i}$ form a base if and only if the $b_{i}$ form a base. When this is so the $a_{i}$ determine the $b_{i}$ and conversely, i.e. they are 'dual bases'.

Proof. This is an immediate reinterpretation of the fact that $\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 1.5. The representations $\rho_{\alpha}$ form a base for $R\left(S_{k}\right)$.
Proof. Apply Corollary 1.4 to the expression for $\Delta_{n, k}$ given in Proposition 1.1. Since the $m_{\alpha}$ are a basis for the symmetric functions, it follows that the $\rho_{\alpha}$ are a basis for $R\left(S_{k}\right)$.

Corollary 1.6. The characters of $S_{k}$ take integer values on all conjugacy classes.
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Proof. The characters of all $\rho_{\alpha}$ are integer-valued and so Corollary 1.6 follows from Corollary 1.5.

Note. Corollary 1.6 can of course be deduced fairly easily from other considerations.

Let $C\left(S_{k}\right)$ denote the group of integer-valued class functions on $S_{k}$. By Corollary 1.6 we have a natural homomorphism

$$
R\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow C\left(S_{k}\right)
$$

This has zero kernel and finite cokernel, and the same is therefore true for the dual homomorphism

$$
C_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)
$$

The direct $\operatorname{sum} C_{*}=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} C_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)$ has a natural ring structure, and

$$
O_{*} \rightarrow R_{*}
$$

is a ring homomorphism. We shall identify $C_{*}$ with the image subring of $R_{*}$. From its definition, $O_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)$ is the free abelian group on the conjugaoy classes of $S_{k}$. Let $\psi^{k}$ denote the class of a $k$-cycle. Then $C_{*}$ is a polynomial ring on $\psi^{1}, \psi^{2}, \ldots$. The next result identifies the subring $\Delta^{\prime}\left(C_{*}\right)$ of symmetric functions:

Proposition 1.7. $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}\left(\psi^{k}\right)=m_{k}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{t}$ so that $\Delta^{\prime}\left(C_{*}\right)$ is the subring generated by the power sums $m_{k}$.

Proof. By definition we have

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime}\left(\psi^{k}\right)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(g T^{\otimes k}\right)
$$

where $g \in S_{k}$ is a $k$-cycle. Now use Proposition 1.1 to evaluate this trace and we get

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime} \psi^{k}=\sum_{\alpha x-k} m_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}(g)
$$

But, if $H \subset G$, any character of $G$ induced from $H$ is zero on all elements of $G$ not conjugate to elements of $H$. Hence, taking $H=S_{\alpha}, G=S_{k}$, we see that $\rho_{\alpha}(g)=0$ unless $\alpha=k$ (i.e. $\alpha$ is the single partition $k$ ). Since $\rho_{k}(g)=1$, we deduce

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime} \psi^{k}=m_{k}
$$

as required.
Corollary 1.8. Let $Q_{k}$ be the Newton polynomial expressing the power sum $m_{k}$ in terms of the elementary symmetric functions $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$, i.e.
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{k} & =Q_{k}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right) \\
\psi_{k} & =Q_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{k}\right) \in R_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Remark. Let us tensor with the rationals $\mathbf{Q}$, so that we can introduce

$$
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha} \in R\left(S_{k}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

the characteristic function of the conjugacy class defined by the partition $\alpha$. Then Proposition 1.7 is essentially equivalent to the following expression [cf. (11) VII (7.6)] for $\Delta_{n, k}$

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=\sum_{\alpha 1-k} p_{\alpha}(t) \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right) \otimes Q
$$

where $p_{\alpha}$ is the monomial in the power sums

$$
p_{\alpha}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(m_{i}\right)^{a_{i}}, \quad \alpha=1^{a_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{3}} \ldots
$$

Since $\Delta^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)=e_{k}$, it follows that we can write $\Delta_{n, k}$ in the form

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=\sum_{\alpha-k} q_{\alpha}(t) \otimes b_{\alpha}
$$

where $q_{\alpha}$ is the monomial in the elementary symmetric functions

$$
q_{\alpha}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(e_{i}\right)^{a_{i}}, \quad \alpha=1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots
$$

and the $b_{\alpha}$ are certain uniquely defined elements in $R\left(S_{k}\right)$. We shall not attempt to find $b_{\alpha}$ in general, but the following proposition gives the 'leading coefficient' $b_{k}$.

Proposition 1.9. Let $M$ denote the $(k-1)$-dimensional representation of $S_{k}$ given by the subspace $\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i}=0$ of the standard $k$-dimensional representation. Let $\Lambda^{d}(M)$ denote the ith exterior power of $M$, and put

$$
\Lambda_{-1}(M)=\Sigma(-1)^{i} \Lambda^{i}(M) \in R\left(S_{k}\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=(-1)^{k-1} e_{k}(t) \otimes \Lambda_{-1}(M)+\text { composite terms },
$$

where 'composite' means involving a product of at least two $e_{i}(t)$.
Proof. In the formula

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=\sum_{\alpha+k} q_{\alpha}(t) \otimes b_{\alpha}
$$

the $b_{\alpha}$ are the basis of $R\left(S_{k}\right)$ dual to the basis of $R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right)$ consisting of monomials in the $\lambda^{i}$. Thus $b_{k}$ is defined by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle b_{k}, \lambda^{k}\right\rangle & =\mathrm{I} \\
\left\langle b_{k}, u\right\rangle & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $u$ is composite in the $\lambda^{i}$. Since the $\psi^{i}$ are related to the $\lambda^{i}$ by the equations of Corollary 1.8

$$
\psi^{k}=Q_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{k}\right)=(-1)^{k-1} k \lambda_{k}+\text { composite term } \varepsilon,
$$
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we can equally well define $b_{k}$ by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle b_{k}, \psi^{k}\right\rangle=(-1)^{k-1} k, \\
& \left\langle b_{k}, u\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $u$ is composite in the $\psi^{i}$. To prove that $b_{k}=(-1)^{k-1} \Lambda_{-1}(M)$, it remains therefore to check that the character $\Lambda_{-1}(M)$ vanishes on all composite classes and has value $k$ on a $k$-cycle. Now, if $g \in S_{k}$ is composite, i.e. not a $k$-cycle, it has an eigenvalue 1 when acting on $M$; if $g=(1 \ldots r)(r+1, \ldots s) \ldots$ is the cycle decomposition, the fixed vector is given by

$$
z_{i}=\frac{1}{r} \quad(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r), \quad z_{j}=-\frac{1}{k-r} \quad(j>r) .
$$

Since $\Lambda_{-1}(M)(g)=\operatorname{det}\left(1-g_{M}\right)$, where $g_{M}$ is the linear transformation of $M$ defined by $g$, the existence of an eigenvalue 1 of $g_{34}$ implies $\Lambda_{-1}(M)(g)=0$. Finally take $g=(12 \ldots k)$ and consider the $k$-dimensional representation $N=M \oplus 1$. Then $g_{A}$ is given by the following matrix

$$
g_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 1 & & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & & \\
& & & . & & & \\
& & & & & & \\
& & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and so $\operatorname{det}\left(1-t g_{N}\right)=1-t^{k}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}-t g_{M}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(1-t g_{N}\right) \cdot(1-t)^{-1} \\
& =\frac{1-t^{k}}{1-t}=1+t+t^{2}+\ldots+t^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\Lambda_{-1}(M)(g)=\operatorname{det}\left(1-g_{M}\right)=k
$$

which completes the proof.
If $G \subset S_{k}$ is any subgroup, then we can consider the element

$$
\Delta_{n, k}(G) \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] \otimes R(G)
$$

obtained from $\Delta_{n, k}$ by the restriction $\eta: R\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow R(G)$. Similarly

$$
\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}(G): R_{*}(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

is the composition of $\Delta_{n, k}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\eta_{*}: R_{*}(G) \rightarrow R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) .
$$

Consider in particular the special case when $k=p$ is prime and $G=Z_{p}$ is the cyclic group of order $p$. The image of

$$
\eta: R\left(S_{p}\right) \rightarrow R\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

is generated by the trivial representation 1 and the regular representation $N$ of $Z_{p}$ (this latter being the restriction of the standard $p$-dimensional representation of $S_{p}$ ). Hence we must have

$$
\Delta_{n, p}\left(Z_{p}\right)=a(t) \otimes 1+b(t) \otimes N
$$

for suitable symmetric functions $a(t), b(t)$. Evaluating $R\left(S_{p}\right)$ on the identity element we get

$$
e_{1}^{p}=a+p b
$$

Evaluating on a generator of $Z_{p}$ and using Proposition 1.7 we get

$$
m_{p}=a
$$

Hence $b=\frac{e_{i}^{p}-m_{p}}{p}$ which has, of course, integer coefficients since

$$
\left(\sum t_{i}\right)^{p} \equiv \sum t_{i}^{p} \quad \bmod p
$$

Thus we have established the proposition:
 metric group to the cyclic group we get

$$
\Delta_{n, p}\left(Z_{p}\right)=m_{p} \otimes 1+\frac{e_{1}^{p}-m_{p}}{p} \otimes N
$$

where $N$ is the regular representation of $Z_{p}$.
Let $\theta^{p} \in R_{*}\left(S_{p}\right)$ be the element corresponding to

$$
\frac{e_{1}^{p-}-m_{p}}{p} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{p}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

by the isomorphism of Proposition 1.2 (for $n \geqslant p$ ), i.e.

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\prime} \theta^{p}=\frac{e_{1}^{p}-m_{p}}{p}
$$

Then Proposition 1.10 asserts that $\theta^{p}$ is that homomorphism $R\left(S_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ which gives the multiplioity of the regular representation $N$ when we restrict to $Z_{p}$. Thus, for $\rho \in R\left(\mathcal{S}_{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\rho)=\psi^{p}(\rho) 1+\theta^{p}(\rho) N, \tag{I.II}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta: R\left(S_{p}\right) \rightarrow R\left(Z_{p}\right)$ is the restriction.

## 2. Operations in $K$-theory

Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space and let $Q$ be a finite group. We shall say that $X$ is a $G$-space if $G$ acts on $X$. Let $E$ be a complex vector bundle over $X$. We shall say that $E$ is a $G$-vector bundle over the $G$ space $X$ if $E$ is a $G$-space such that
(i) the projection $E \rightarrow X$ commutes with the action of $G$,
(ii) for each $g \in G$ the map $E_{x} \rightarrow E_{g(x)}$ is linear.

The Grothendieck group of all $G$-vector bundles over the $G$-space $X$ is denoted by $K_{G}(X)$. Note that the action of $G$ on $X$ is supposed given: it is part of the structure of $X$. Since we can always construct an invariant metric in a $G$-vector bundle by averaging over $G$, the usual arguments show that a short exact sequence splits compatibly with $G$. Hence, if

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow E_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

is a long exact sequence of $G$-vector bundles, the Euler characteristic $\Sigma(-1)^{i}\left[E_{i}\right]$ is zero in $K_{G}(X)$. For a fuller treatment of these and other points about $K_{G}(X)$ we refer the reader to (4) and (9).

In this section we shall be concerned only with a trivial $G$-space $X$, i.e. $g(x)=x$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. In this case a $\theta$-vector bundle is just a vector bundle $E$ over $X$ with a given homomorphism

$$
G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut} E
$$

where Aut $E$ is the group of vector bundle automorphisms of $E$. We proceed to examine such a $G$-vector bundle.

The subspace of $E$ left fixed by $G$ forms a subvector bundle $E^{G}$ of $E$ : in fact it is the image of the projection operator

$$
\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} g
$$

and the image of any projection operator is always a sub-bundle (4). If $E, F$ are two $G$-vector bundles, then the subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$ consisting of all $\phi_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}$ commuting with the action of $G$ forms a subvector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}_{\sigma}(E, F)$ : in fact $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(E, F)=(\operatorname{Hom}(E, F))^{\sigma}$. In particular let $V$ be a representation space of $G$, and let $V$ denote the corresponding $G$-vector bundle $X \times V$ over $X$. Then, for any $G$-vector bundle $E$ over $X, \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, E)$ is a vector bundle, and we have a natural homomorphism $\mathrm{V} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\mathrm{~V}, E) \rightarrow E$.

Now let $\left\{V_{\pi}\right\} \ldots$ be a complete set of irreducible representations of $G$ and consider the bundle homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \sum_{\pi}\left\{\mathbf{V}_{\pi} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\vec{f}}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\pi}, E\right)\right\} \rightarrow E
$$

For each $x \in X, \alpha_{x}$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\alpha$ is an isomorphism. This establishes the following proposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Propostrion 2.1. If } X \text { is a trivial } G \text {-space, we have a natural iso- } \\
& \text { morphism } \\
& K(X) \otimes R(G) \rightarrow K_{G}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular we can apply the preceding discussion to the natural
action of $S_{k}$ on the $k$-fold tensor product $E^{\otimes k}$ of a vector bundle $E$. Thus we have a canonical decomposition compatible with the action of $S_{k}$

We put

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\otimes k} \cong \sum_{\pi}\left\{\mathbf{V}_{\pi} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\pi}, E^{\otimes k}\right)\right\} \\
\pi(E)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\pi}, E^{\otimes k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\pi$ is an operation on vector bundles. In fact $\pi(E)$ is the vector bundle associated to $E$ by the irreducible representation of $G L(n)$ ( $n=\operatorname{dim} E$ ) associated to the partition $\pi$, but this fact will play no special role in what follows.

Our next step is to extend these operations on vector bundles to operations on $K(X)$. For this purpase it will be convenient to represent $K(X)$ as the quotient of a set $\mathscr{C}(X)$ by an equivalence relation (elements of $\mathscr{C}(X)$ will play the role of 'cochains'). An element of $\mathscr{C}(X)$ is a graded vector bundle $E=\sum_{i \in Z} E_{i}$, where $E_{i}=0$ for all but a finite number of values of $i$. We have a natural surjection

$$
\mathscr{C}(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

given by taking the Euler characteristic $[E]=\Sigma(-1)^{1}\left[E_{i}\right]$. The equivalence relation on $\mathscr{C}(X)$ which gives $K(X)$ is clearly generated by isomorphism and the addition of elementary objeets, i.e. one of the form $\sum P_{i}$ with

$$
P_{j}=P_{j+1} \quad(\text { for some } j), \quad P_{i}=0 \quad(i \neq j, j+1) .
$$

Similarly for a $G$-space $X$ we can represent $K_{\sigma}(X)$ as a quotient of $\mathscr{C}_{G}(X)$, where an element of $\mathscr{C}_{G}(X)$ is a graded $G$-vector bundle.

Suppose now that $E \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ is a graded vector bundle. Then $E^{\otimes k}$ is also a graded vector bundle, the grading being defined in the usual way as the sum of the degrees of the $k$ factors. We consider $S_{k}$ as acting on $E^{\otimes k}$ by permuting factors and with the appropriate sign change. Thus a transposition of two terms $e_{p} \otimes e_{q}$ (where $e_{p} \in E_{p}, e_{q} \in E_{q}$ ) carries with it the $\operatorname{sign}(-1)^{p q}$. The Euler characteristic $\left[E^{\otimes k}\right]$ of $E^{\otimes k}$ is then an element of $K_{S_{t}}(X)$.

Proposimion 2.2. The element $\left[E^{\otimes k}\right] \in K_{s_{k}}(X)$ depends only on the clement $[E] \in K(X)$. Thus we have an operation:

$$
\otimes k: K(X) \rightarrow K_{S_{k}}(X)=K(X) \otimes R\left(\mathcal{S}_{k}\right)
$$

Proof. We have to show that, if $P$ is an elementary object of $\mathscr{C}(X)$, then

$$
\left[(E \oplus P)^{\otimes k}\right]=\left[E^{\otimes k}\right] \in K_{S_{k}}(X)
$$

But we have an $\mathbb{S}_{k}$-decomposition:

$$
(E \oplus P)^{\otimes k} \cong E^{\otimes k} \oplus Q
$$

We have to show therefore that $[Q]=0$ in $K_{S_{k}}(X)$. To do this we regard $E$ as a complex of vector bundles with all maps zero and $P$ as a complex with the identity map $P_{j} \rightarrow P_{j+1}$. Then $(E \oplus P)^{\otimes k}$ is a complex of vector bundles, and $S_{k}$ acts on it as a group of complex automorphisms (because of our choice of signs). The same is true for $E^{\otimes k}$ and $Q$. Now $Q$ contains $P$ as a factor, and so $Q$ is certainly acyclic. Hence, by the remark at the beginning of this section, we have $[Q]=0$ in $K_{S_{2}}(X)$ as required.

Remark. If we decompose $E^{\otimes k}$ under $S_{k}$

$$
E^{\otimes k} \cong \sum_{\pi} \mathbf{V}_{\pi} \otimes \pi(E),
$$

where $\pi(E)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{k}}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\pi}, E^{\otimes k}\right)$, Proposition 2.2 asserts that $E \mapsto \pi(E)$ induces an operation

$$
\pi: K(X) \rightarrow K(X) .
$$

Let $O_{p}(K)$ denote the set of all natural transformations of the functor $K$ into itself. In other words, an element $T \in \operatorname{Op}(K)$ defines for each $X$ a map

$$
T(X): K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

which is natural. We define addition and multiplication in $\mathrm{Op}(K)$ by adding and multiplying values. Thus, for $a \in K(X)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T+S)(X)(a) & =T(X)(a)+S(X) a \\
T S(X)(a) & =T(X) a \cdot S(X) a
\end{aligned}
$$

If we follow the operation

$$
\otimes k: K(X) \rightarrow K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right)
$$

by a homomorphism $\phi: R\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ we obtain a natural map

$$
T_{\phi}: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

This procedure defines a map

$$
j_{k}: R_{*}\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}(K)
$$

which is a group homomorphism. Extending this additively we obtain a ring homomorphism

$$
j: R_{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}(K) .
$$

We have now achieved our aim of showing how the symmetric group defines a ring of operations in $K$-theory. The structure of the ring $\boldsymbol{R}_{*}$ has moreover been completely determined in § 1 . We conolude this section by examining certain particular operations and connecting up our definitions of them with those given by Grothendieck [cf (5); § 12] and Adams (2).

To avoid unwieldy formulae we shall usually omit the symbol $j$ and just think of elements of $R_{*}$ as operations. In fact it is not difficult to
show that $j$ is a monomorphism (although we do not really need this fact), so that $R_{*}$ may be thought of as a subring of $\mathrm{Op}(K)$.

All the particular elements that we have described in § 1 , namely $\sigma^{k}, \lambda^{k}, \psi^{k}, \theta^{p}$, can now be regarded as operations in $K$-theory. From the way they were defined it is clear that, if $E$ is vector bundle, then $\lambda^{k}[E]$ is the class of the $k$ th exterior power of $E$, and $\sigma^{k}(E)$ is the class of the $k$ th symmetric power of $E$. A general element of $K(X)$ can always be represented in the form $\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]$, where $E_{0}, E_{1}$ are vector bundles. Taking $\left(E_{0} \oplus E_{1}\right)^{8 k}$ as an $S_{k}$-complex and picking out the symmetric and skewsymmetric components, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{k}\left(\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j} \sigma^{k-j}\left[E_{0}\right] \lambda^{j}\left[E_{1}\right]  \tag{1}\\
& \lambda^{k}\left(\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j} \lambda^{k-j}\left[E_{0}\right] \sigma^{j}\left[E_{1}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting formally $\lambda_{u}=\sum \lambda^{k} u^{k}, \sigma_{u}=\sum \sigma^{k} u^{k}$, where $u$ is an indeterminate, and taking $E_{0}=E_{1}$ in (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{u}\left[E_{1}\right] \lambda_{-u}\left[E_{1}\right]=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This identity could of course have been deduced from the corresponding relation between the generating functions of $e_{k}$ and $h_{k}$ by using the isomorphism of (1.2). Now from (2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{u}\left(\left[E_{0}\right]-\left[E_{1}\right]\right) & =\lambda_{u}\left[E_{0}\right] \sigma_{-u}\left[E_{1}\right] \\
& =\lambda_{u}\left[E_{0}\right] \lambda_{u}\left[E_{1}\right]^{-1} \quad \text { by }(3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the formula by which Grothendieck originally extended the $\lambda^{k}$ from vector bundles to $K$. Thus our definition of the operations $\lambda^{k}$ coincides with that of Grothendieek. Essentially the use of graded tensor products has provided us with a general procedure for extending operations which can be regarded as a generalization of the Grothendieck method for the exterior powers. $\uparrow$

Adams defines his operations $\psi^{k}$ in terms of the Grothendieck $\lambda^{k}$ by use of the Newton polynomials

$$
\psi^{k}=Q_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{k}\right) .
$$

Corollary 1.8 shows that our definition of $\psi^{k}$ therefore agrees with that of Adams. An important property of the $\psi^{k}$ is that they are additive. We shall therefore show how to prove this directly from our definition.

Proposition 2.3. Let E, F be vector bundles, then

$$
\psi^{k}([E] \pm[F])=\psi^{k}[E] \pm \psi^{k}[F] .
$$

$\dagger$ This fact was certainly known to (irrothendiuck.

Proof. Construet a graded vector bundle $D$ with $D_{0}=E, D_{1}=F^{\prime}$ and consider $D^{\otimes k}$. The same reasoning as used in Proposition 1.1 shows that

$$
[D]^{\otimes k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j} \text { ind }_{j}\left[E^{\otimes k-j} \otimes F^{\otimes j}\right] \in K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right),
$$

where ind $: K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k-j} \times S_{j}\right) \rightarrow K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right)$ is given by the induced representation. Here $E^{\otimes k-j}$ is an $S_{k-j}$-vector bundle via the standard permutation, while $S_{j}$ gets on $F^{\oplus 1}$ via permutation and signs. To obtain $\psi^{k}[D]$ we have to evaluate $R\left(S_{k}\right)$ on a $k$-cycle. As in Proposition 1.1 all terms except $j=0, k$ give zero; since the sign of a $k$-oyole is $(-I)^{k-1}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{k}([E]-[F]) & =\psi^{k}[E]+(-\mathrm{I})^{k}(-\mathrm{I})^{k-1} \psi^{k}[F] \\
& =\psi^{k}[E]-\psi^{k}[F] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $[G]+[F]$ the argument is similar but easier.
The multiplicative property

$$
\psi^{k}[E \otimes F]=\psi^{k}[E] \psi^{k}[F]
$$

follows at onoe from the isomorphism

$$
(B \otimes F)^{\otimes k} \cong E^{\otimes k} \otimes F^{\otimes k}
$$

and the multiplicative property of the trace.
Suppose now that we have any expansion, as in Corollary 1.4, of the basic element $\Delta_{n, k}$ in the form

$$
\Delta_{n, k}=\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i}
$$

where the $a_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ are a basis and the $b_{i} \in R\left(S_{k}\right)$ are therefore a dual basis (assuming $n \geqslant k$ ). Then, for any $x \in K(X)$, we obtain a corresponding expansion for $x^{\otimes k}$ :

$$
x^{\otimes k}=\alpha_{1}(x) \otimes b_{i} \in K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right),
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a_{i} \in R_{*}$. This follows at once from the definition of $\Delta^{\prime}$ and the way we have made $R_{*}$ operate on $K(X)$.

Taking the $a_{i}$ to be the monomials in the elementary symmetrio functions the $\alpha_{i}$ are then the corresponding monomials in the exterior powers $\lambda^{i}$. Proposition 1.9 therefore gives the following proposition: $\dagger$

Proposition 2.4. For any $x \in K(X)$ we have

$$
x^{\otimes k}=(-1)^{k-1} \lambda^{k}(x) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(M)+\text { composite terms, }
$$

where 'composite' means involving a product of at least two $\lambda^{i}(x)$ and $M$ is the ( $k-1$ )-dimensional representation of $S_{k}$.

[^1]Now let us restrict ourselves to the cyclic group $Z_{k}$. The image of $x^{\otimes k}$ in $K(X) \otimes R\left(Z_{k}\right)$ will be denoted by $P^{k}(x)$ and called the cyclic $k t h$ power. In the particular case when $k=p$ (a prime), (1.11) leads to the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5. Let $p$ be a prime and let $x \in K(X)$. Then the cyclic pth power $P^{p}(x)$ is given by the formula

$$
P^{p}(x)=\psi^{p}(x) \otimes 1+\theta^{p}(x) \otimes N \in K(X) \otimes R\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

where $N$ is the regular representation of $Z_{p}$.
Now $\psi^{p}$ and $\theta^{p}$ correspond, under the isomorphism

$$
\Delta^{\prime}: R_{*} \rightarrow \underset{\boxed{ }}{\lim } \operatorname{Sym}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

to the polynomials $\sum t_{i}^{p}$ and $\frac{\left(\sum t_{i}\right)^{p}-\sum t_{i}^{p}}{p}$ respectively. Hence they are related by the formula

$$
\psi^{p}=\left(\psi^{1}\right)^{p}-p \theta^{p}
$$

so that, for any $x \in K(X)$, we have

$$
\psi^{p}(x)=x^{p}-p \theta^{p}(x) .
$$

Substituting this in (2.5) we get the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{p}(x)=x^{p} \otimes 1+\theta^{p}(x) \otimes(N-p) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a better way of writing (2.5) since it corresponds to the decomposition

$$
R\left(Z_{p}\right)=\mathbf{Z} \oplus I\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

where $I\left(Z_{p}\right)$ is the augmentation ideal. Thus

$$
\theta^{p}(x) \otimes(N-p) \in K(X) \otimes I\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

represents the difference between the $p$ th cyclic power $P^{p}(x)$ and the 'ordinary' $p$ th power $x^{p} \otimes 1$.

Proposition 2.5 leads to a simple geometrical desoription for $\psi^{p}[V]$, where $V$ is a vector bundle. Let $T$ be the automorphism of $V^{\otimes p}$ which permutes the factors cyclically and $V_{j}$ be the eigenspace of $T$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\exp (2 \pi i j / p)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{p}[V]=\left[V_{0}\right]-\left[V_{1}\right] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact from Proposition 2.5 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[V_{0}\right]=\psi^{p}[V]+\theta^{p}[V]} \\
& {\left[V_{j}\right]=\theta^{p}[V] \quad(j=1, \ldots, p-1) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. External tensor powers

For a further study of the properties of the operation $\otimes k$ it is necessary both to 'relativize' it and to 'externalize' it.

First consider the relative group $K_{G}(X, Y)$, where $X$ is a $G$-space, $Y$ a sub $G$-space. As with the absolute case we can consider $K_{G}(X, Y)$ as the quotient of a set $\mathscr{C}_{G}(X, Y)$ by an equivalence relation. An object $E$ of $\mathscr{C}_{G}(X, Y)$ is a $G$-complex of vector bundles over $X$ acyelic over $Y$, i.e. $E$ consists of $G$-vector bundles $E_{i}$ (with $E_{i}=0$ for all but a finite number) and homomorphisms

$$
\rightarrow E_{i} \xrightarrow{d} E_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d}
$$

commuting with the action of $G$, so that $d^{2}=0$ and over each point of $Y$ the sequence is exact. An elementary object $P$ is one in which $P_{i}=0$ $(i \neq j, j+1), P_{i}=P_{j+1}$, and $a: P_{j} \rightarrow P_{j+1}$ is the identity. The equivalence relation imposed on $\mathscr{C}_{G}(X, Y)$ is that generated by isomorphism and addition (direct sum) of elementary objects. Then, if $E \in \mathscr{C}_{G}(X, Y)$, its equivalence class $[E] \in K_{G}(X, Y)$. For the details we refer to (4). For the analogous results in the case when there is no group, i.e. for the definition of $K(X, Y)$ as a quotient of $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$, we refer to (7) [Part II].

Consider next the external tensor power. If $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$, we define $E^{\boxtimes_{k}}$ to be the vector bundle over the Cartesian product. $X^{k}$ ( $k$ factors of $X$ ) whose fibre at the point ( $x_{1} \times x_{2} \times \ldots \times x_{k}$ ) is $E_{x_{1}} \otimes E_{x_{i}} \otimes \ldots \otimes E_{x_{k}}$ Thus $E^{\mathbb{}}{ }_{k}$ is an $S_{k}$-vector bundle over the $S_{k^{-}}$ space $X^{k}$, the symmetric group $S_{k}$ acting in the usual way on $X^{k}$ by permuting the factors. Clearly, if

$$
d: X \rightarrow X^{k}
$$

is the diagonal map, we have a natural $S_{k}$-isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{*}\left(E^{\boxtimes_{k}}\right) \cong E^{\otimes k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E$ is a complex of vector bundles over $X$, then we can define in an obvious way $E^{\mathbf{X}_{k}}$, which will be a complex of vector bundles over $X^{k}$. Moreover $E^{\boxtimes_{k}}$ will be an $S_{k}$-complex of vector bundles, $X^{k}$ being an $S_{k}$ space as above. If $E$ is acyclic over $Y \subset X$, then $E^{\mathbb{X}_{k}}$ will be acyclic over the subspace of $X$ consisting of points $\left(x_{1} \times x_{2} \times \ldots \times x_{k}\right)$ with $x_{i} \in Y$ for at least one value of $i$. We denote this subspace by $X^{k-1} Y$ and we write $(X, Y)^{k}$ for the pair ( $X^{k}, X^{k-1} Y$ ). Thus we have defined an operation

$$
\boxtimes k: \mathscr{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{S_{k}}(X, Y)^{k}
$$

The proof of (2.2) generalizes at once to this situation and establishes
Proposition 3.2. The operation $E \mapsto E^{\boldsymbol{V}_{k}}$ induces an operation

$$
\boxtimes k: K(X, Y) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{S}_{k}}(X, Y)^{k} .
$$

Corollary 3.3. If $x$ is in the kernel of $K(X) \rightarrow K(Y)$, then $x^{\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{k}}}$ is in the kernel of

$$
K_{S_{k}}\left(X^{k}\right) \rightarrow K_{S_{k}}\left(X^{k-1} Y\right)
$$

Proof. This follows at once from (3.2) and the naturality of the operation $\boldsymbol{Q} k$.

From (3.1) we obtain the commutative diagram


## 4. Operations and filtrations

From now we assume that the spaces $X, Y, \ldots$ are finite $C W$-complexes. Then $K(X)$ is filtered by the subgroups $K_{q}(X)$ defined by

$$
K_{q}(X)=\operatorname{Ker}\left\{K(X) \rightarrow K\left(X_{Q-1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $X_{Q-1}$ denotes the $(q-1)$-skeleton of $X$. Thus $K_{0}(X)=K(X)$ and $K_{n}(X)=0$ if $\operatorname{dim} X<n$. Moreover, as shown in (8), we have

$$
K_{2 q}(X)=K_{2 q-1}(X)
$$

for all $g$. Since any $\operatorname{map} Y \rightarrow X$ is homotopic to a cellular map, it follows that the filtration is natural.

In [8] it is shown that $K(X)$ is a filtered ring, i.e. that $K_{p} K_{Q} \subset K_{p+q}$ In particular it follows that

$$
x \in K_{q}(X) \Rightarrow x^{k} \in K_{k q}(X)
$$

We propose to generalize this result to the tensor power $\otimes k$.
We start by recalling (5) that, for any finite group, there is a natural homomorphism

$$
\alpha: R(G) \rightarrow K\left(B_{G}\right)
$$

where $B_{G}$ is the classifying space of $G$. This homomorphism arises as follows. Let $A$ be the universal covering of $B_{G}$ and $V$ be any $G$-module. Then $A \times_{G} V$ is a vector bundle over $B_{a}$. The construction $V \mapsto A \times{ }_{G} V$ induces the homomorphism

$$
\alpha: R(G) \rightarrow K\left(B_{G}\right)
$$

This construction can be generalized as follows. Let $X$ be a $G$-space and denote by $X_{G}$ the space $A \times_{G} X$. If $V$ is a $G$-vector bundle over $X$, then

$$
V_{i}=A \times_{a} V
$$

is a vector bundle over $X_{G}$. The construction $V \mapsto V_{G}$ then induces a homomorphism

$$
\alpha_{X}: K_{\theta}(X) \rightarrow K\left(X_{\theta}\right)
$$

A couple of remarks are needed here. In the first place there is a clash of notation concerning $B_{G}$. To fit in with our general notation we should agree that ' $B$ ' is a point space. Secondly $X_{G}$, like $B_{G}$, is not a finite complex. Now $B_{G}$ can be taken as an infinite complex in which the $q$-skeleton $B_{G, q}$ is finite for each $q$, and $K\left(B_{G}\right)$ can be defined by

$$
K\left(B_{q}\right)=\underset{\overleftarrow{~}}{\lim } K\left(B_{(, q)}\right)
$$

If we suppose that $G$ acts cellularly on $X$, then we can put $X_{G, q}=A_{q} \times{ }_{G} X$, where $A_{g}$ is the universal covering of $B_{G, q}$ and $X_{G, q}$ will be a finite complex. We then define

$$
K\left(X_{G}\right)=\lim _{\leftarrow} K\left(X_{G, q}\right) .
$$

In fact, as will become apparent, there is no need for us to proceed to the limit. All our results will essentially be concerned with finite skeletons. We have introduced the infinite spaces $B_{G}, X_{G}$ because it is a little tidier than always dealing with finite approximations.

Applying the above to the group $S_{k}$ and the spaces $X$ (trivial action) and $X^{k}$ (permutation action) we obtain a commatative diagram

where $d^{*}$ is induced by the diagonal map $d: X \rightarrow X^{k}$.
Proposition 4.2. Let $x \in K_{q}(X)$, then

$$
\alpha_{X^{2}}\left(x^{\boldsymbol{\otimes}_{k}}\right) \in K_{k q}\left(X_{S_{k}}^{k}\right) .
$$

Proof. By hypothesis $x$ is in the kernel of

$$
K(X) \rightarrow K\left(X_{q-1}\right)
$$

Hence applying (3.3) with $Y=X_{q-1}$ we deduce that $x^{\boldsymbol{\nabla} k_{k}}$ is in the kernel of $\rho$ in the following diagram


The required result now follows from this diagram, provided that we verify that

$$
\left(X_{S_{k}}^{k}\right)_{k q-1} \subset\left(X^{k-1} X_{q-1}\right)_{S_{k^{*}}}
$$

But any cell $\sigma$ of the ( $k q-1$ )-skeleton of $X_{S_{k}}^{k}=X^{k} \times_{S_{k}} A$ arises from a product of $k$ cells of $X$ and a cell of $A$. Hence at least one of the cells of $X$ occurring must have dimension less than $q$, and so $\sigma$ is contained in

$$
\left(X^{k-1} X_{q-1}\right)_{S_{k}}=X^{k-1} X_{q-1} \times_{S_{k}} A,
$$

as required.
Since the filtration in $K$ is natural, Proposition 4.2 together with the diagram (4.1) and Corollary 3.3 gives our main result:

Theorem 4.3. Let $\otimes k: K(X) \rightarrow K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right)$ be the tensor power operation, and let

$$
\alpha: K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow K\left(X \times B_{S_{k}}\right)
$$

be the natural homomorphism. Then

$$
x \in K_{q}(X) \Rightarrow \alpha\left(x^{\otimes k}\right) \in K_{k q}\left(X \times B_{S_{k}}\right) .
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant n$ and let $x \in K_{q}(X)$. Then the image of $x^{\otimes k}$ in $K(X) \otimes K\left(B_{S_{k}, k q-n-1}\right)$ is zero.

Proof. By Theorem $4.3 x^{\otimes k}$ has zero image in $K\left(X \times B_{S_{k}, k_{k}-n-1}\right)$. But for any two spaces $A, B$ the map

$$
K(A) \otimes K(B) \rightarrow K(A \times B)
$$

isinjective (6). Hence $x^{\otimes k}$ gives zero in $K(X) \otimes K\left(B_{S_{k}, k q-n-1}\right)$ as required.
Remark. Theorem 4.3 suggests that for any finite group $G$ and $G$ space $X$ we should define a filtration on $K_{G}(X)$ by putting

$$
K_{\boldsymbol{q}}(X)_{q}=\alpha_{\bar{X}}^{-1} K_{q}\left(X \times B_{G}\right)
$$

With this notation Theorem 4.3 would read simply

$$
x \in K_{q}(X) \Rightarrow x^{\otimes k} \in K_{S_{\mathbf{s}}}(X)_{k \boldsymbol{q}}
$$

To exploit Theorem 4.3 we really need to know the filtration on $K\left(B_{S_{3}}\right)$ as is shown by the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. Assume that $K(X)$ is torsion-free and let $\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant n$. Let $x \in K_{\mathrm{q}}(X)$ and assume that all products $\lambda^{i}(x) \lambda^{j}(x)$ with $i, j>0, i+j \leqslant k$ vanish. Then $\lambda^{k}(x)$ is divisible by the least integer $m$ for which

$$
m \alpha \lambda_{-1}(M) \in K_{k q-n}\left(B_{S_{k}}\right)
$$

$M$ being as in Proposition 2.4. In particular this holds in the stable range $n<2 q$.

Proof. The hypotheses and Proposition 2.4 imply that

$$
x^{\otimes k}=(-1)^{k-1} \lambda^{k}(x) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(M) \in K(X) \otimes R\left(S_{k}\right)
$$

Let $A=K\left(B_{S_{k}}\right) / K_{k q-n}\left(B_{S_{k}}\right)$, so that $A$ is a subgroup of $K\left(B_{S_{k}, k q-n-1}\right)$. From Corollary 4.4 and the fact that $K(X)$ is free it follows that the image of $x^{8 k}$ in $K(X) \otimes A$ must be zero. Hence $\lambda^{k}(x)$ must be divisible by the order of the image of $\lambda_{-1}(M)$ in $A$, i.e. by the least integer $m$ for which

$$
m \alpha \lambda_{-1}(M) \in K_{k q-n}\left(B_{S_{\mathbf{k}}}\right) .
$$

Remark. In the proof of Proposition 1.9 we saw that the character of $\lambda_{-1}(M)$ vanishes on all composite cyeles of $S_{k}$. Thus, if $k$ is not a prime-power, the character of $\lambda_{-1}(M)$ vanishes on all elements of $S_{k}$ of prime-power order and so by (5) [(6.10)] $\lambda_{-1}(M)$ is in the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
R\left(S_{k}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{R\left(S_{k}\right)}
$$

Hence $\alpha \lambda_{-1}(M)=0$ and so Theorem 4.5 becomes vacuous. Thus Theorem 4.5 is of interest only when $k$ is a prime-power.

In order to obtain explicit results it is necessary to restrict from $S_{k}$ to the cyclic group $Z_{k}$. In this case the calculations are simple. First we need the lemma:

Lemara 4.6. Let $Y=B_{Z_{k}}$, then

$$
K\left(Y_{2 q-1}\right) \cong R\left(Z_{k}\right) / I\left(Z_{k}\right)^{q}
$$

Proof. Since $Y$ has no odd integer cohomology, it follows that $K^{1}\left(Y, Y_{2 f-1}\right)=0$, and so from the exact sequence of this pair we deduce

$$
K\left(Y_{2 q-1}\right) \cong K(Y) / K_{2 q}(Y)
$$

But we know [(5) (8.1)] that

$$
K(Y) \cong \widehat{R\left(Z_{k}\right)}
$$

and $K_{2 q}(Y)$ is the ideal generated by $I\left(Z_{k}\right)^{q}$. Hence

$$
K(Y) / K_{2 q}(Y) \cong R\left(Z_{k}\right) / I\left(Z_{k}\right)^{q}
$$

and the lemma is established.

Remark. The results quoted from (5) are quite simple, and we could easily have applied the calculations used there directly to $Y_{2 q-1}$.

Combining Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.6 we deduce the proposition:
Proposirion 4.7. Let $\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant 2 m$ and let $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$. Then the kith cyclic power $P^{k}(x) \in K(X) \otimes R\left(Z_{k}\right)$ is in the image of $K(X) \otimes I\left(Z_{k}\right)^{k-m}$.
The case when $k=p$, a prime, is of particular interest because $Z_{p}$ is then the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $S_{p}$. This means that, as far as $p$-primary results go, nothing is lost on passing from $S_{p}$ to $Z_{p}$. In the next section therefore we shall study this case in detail.

## 5. The prime cyclic case

Lemma 5.1. Let $\rho \in R\left(Z_{p}\right)$ denote the canonical one-dimensional representation of $Z_{p}$,

$$
N=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho^{i}
$$

the regular representation and $\eta=\rho-1$.
Then in $\widehat{R\left(Z_{p}\right)}$ we have

$$
p^{k}(N-p)=(-1)^{k} \eta^{(k+1)(p-1)}+\text { higher terms }
$$

Proof. Since $\rho^{p}=1$, we have $(1+\eta)^{p}=1$. Thus $\eta^{p}=-p \eta \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \equiv 1 \bmod \eta$ and so is a unit in $R$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-p) \eta \sim \eta^{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we write $a \sim b$ if $a=\epsilon b$ with $\epsilon \equiv 1 \bmod \eta$. Now the identity

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{1 p-1}(1+t)^{i}=\frac{(1+t)^{p}-1}{t} \equiv p+t^{p-1} \quad \bmod p t
$$

with $t$ replaced by $\eta$ shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N-p \equiv \eta^{p-1} \quad \bmod p \eta \\
& \equiv \eta^{p-1} \quad \bmod \eta^{p} \quad \text { by }(1) . \\
&(N-p) \sim \eta^{p-1}  \tag{2}\\
&\left.(-p)^{k} \eta \sim \eta^{k(p-1}\right) \eta \\
&(-p)^{k} \eta^{p-1} \sim \eta^{(k+1)(p-1)} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have
From (1) we have
and so
The lemma now follows from (2) and (3).
Coromiary 5.2. The order of the image of $(N-p)$ in $R\left(Z_{p}\right) / I\left(Z_{p}\right)^{n}$ is $p^{k}$ where $k$ is the least integer such that $k+1 \geqslant \frac{n}{p-1}$.

Proof. $I\left(Z_{p}\right)$ is the ideal $(\eta)$.

We can now state the explicit result for the prime case:
Theorem 5.3. Suppase that $\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant 2(q+t)$ with $t<q(p-1)$ and let $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$. Then $\theta^{p}(x)$ is divisible by $p^{q-r-1}$, where

$$
r=\left[\frac{t}{p-1}\right]
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{dim} X<2 q p$, we have $x^{p}=0$. Hence by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$
P^{p}(x)=\theta^{p}(x) \otimes(N-p) \in K(X) \otimes R\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.7 it follows that $\theta^{p}(x)$ is divisible by the order of the image of $(N-p)$ in $R\left(Z_{p}\right) / I\left(Z_{p}\right)^{n}$, where

$$
n=p q-q-t
$$

From Theorem 5.3 it follows that $\theta^{p}(x)$ is divisible by $p^{k}$, where $k$ is the least integer for which
namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (k+1) \geqslant q-\frac{t}{p-1} \\
& k=q-\left[\frac{t}{p-1}\right]-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.4. Let the hypotheses be the same as in Theorem 5.3. Then $\psi^{p}(x)$ is divisible by $p^{q-r}$, where $r=\left[\frac{t}{p-1}\right]$.

Proof. $\psi^{p}$ and $\theta^{p}$ are related by the formula

$$
\psi^{p}(x)=x^{p}-p \theta^{p}(x)
$$

Since $x^{p}=0$ in our case, we have

$$
\psi^{p}(x)=-p \theta^{p}(x)
$$

and so the result follows at once from Corollary 5.2.
Remark. Taking $t=0$ we find that $\psi^{p}(x)$ is divisible by $p^{2}$ on the sphere $S^{9 q}$. Note that this result was not fed in explicitly anywhere. It is of course a consequence of the periodicity theorem, and the computation we have used for $K\left(B_{z_{p}}\right)$ naturally depended on the periodicity theorem.

The preceding results take a rather interesting form if $X$ has no torsion. First we need a lemma:

Lemma 5.5. Suppose that $X$ has no torsion (i.e. $H^{*}(X, Z)$ has no torsion) and let $x \in K(X)$. Suppose that the image of $x$ in $K\left(X_{q}\right)$ is divisible by $d$. Then $x$ is divisible by d modulo $K_{q+1}(X)$, i.e.

$$
x=d y+z, \quad y \in K(X), \quad z \in K_{\alpha+1}(X)
$$

Proof. Let $A, B$ denote the image and cokernel of

$$
j^{*}: K(X) \rightarrow K\left(X_{q}\right)
$$

From the exact sequence of the pair ( $X, X_{q}$ ) we see that $B$ is isomorphio to a subgroup of $K^{1}\left(X, X_{q}\right)$. But, since $X$ is torsion-free, so is $X / X_{q}$. Hence $K^{1}\left(X, X_{q}\right)$ is free and therefore also $B$. Hence, if $a \in A$ is divisible by $d$ in $K\left(X_{q}\right)$, it is also divisible by $d$ in $A$. Taking $a=j^{*}(x)$ therefore we have

$$
j^{*}(x)=d j^{*}(y) \quad \text { for some } y \in K(X)
$$

and so $x=d y+z$, for some $z \in \operatorname{Ker} j^{*}=K_{q+1}(X)$.
Using this lemma we now show how Corollary 5.4 leads to the following proposition:

Proposixion 5.6. Suppose that $X$ has no torsion and let $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$. Then there exist elements
such that

$$
x_{i} \in K_{2 q+2 i(p-1)}(X) \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, q)
$$

$$
\psi^{p}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} x_{i}
$$

Moreover we can choose $x_{q}=x^{p}$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.3 the restriction of $\psi^{p}(x)$ to the $2(q+t)$-skeleton, with $t=i(p-1)-1$, is divisible by $p^{q-i+1}$. By Corollary 5.4 it follows that $\psi^{p}(x)$ is divisible by $p^{q-i+1}$ modulo $K_{2 q+2 i(p-1)}(X)$. The required result now follows by induction on $i$. Since $\psi^{p}(x) \equiv x^{p} \bmod p$ and $x^{p} \in K_{2 p q}(X)$, it follows that $x^{p}$ is a choice for $x_{q}$.

The elements $x_{i}$ occurring in Lemma 5.6 are not uniquely defined by $x$. If, however, we pass to the associated graded group $G K^{*}(X)$ and then reduce $\bmod p$, we see that the element

$$
\bar{x}_{i} \in G^{2 q+2 \lambda t p-1)} K(X) \otimes Z_{p}
$$

defined by $x_{i}$ is uniquely determined from the relation

$$
\psi^{p} x=\sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} x_{i}
$$

If we multiply $x$ by $p$ or add to it anything in $K_{2(a+1)}(X)$, we see from Lemma 5.5 that $\bar{x}_{i}$ is unchanged. Hence $\bar{x}_{i}$ depends only on

$$
\bar{x} \in G^{2 q} K(X) \otimes Z_{p}
$$

Now we recall [(8)§2] that, since $X$ has no torsion, we have an isomorphism of graded rings

$$
H^{*}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \cong G K^{*}(X)
$$

and hence

$$
H^{\varepsilon q}\left(X, Z_{p}\right) \cong G^{2 q} K(X) \otimes Z_{p}
$$

By this isomorphism the operation $\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{i}$ must correspond to some cohomology operation. In the next section we shall show that this is precisely the Steenrod power $P_{p}^{i}$.

## 6. Relation with cohomology operations

In the proof of Propasition 4.2 we verified that there was an inclusion

$$
j:\left(X^{k}, X_{2 k q-1}^{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, X_{2 q-1}\right)^{k} .
$$

Hence we can consider the map

$$
K\left(X, X_{2 q-1}\right) \rightarrow K\left(X_{S_{k}}^{k},\left(X_{S_{k}}^{k}\right)_{2 k q-1}\right)
$$

given by $x \mapsto \alpha j^{*} x^{\boxtimes_{k}}$. If we follow this by a cellular approximation to the diagonal map $X_{S_{k}} \rightarrow X_{S_{k}}^{k}$, we obtain a map

$$
\mu: K\left(X, X_{2 q-1}\right) \rightarrow K\left(X_{S_{k}},\left(X_{S_{k}}\right)_{2 k q-1}\right)
$$

From its definition this is compatible with the operation

$$
x \mapsto d^{*}{ }_{\alpha x} \boxtimes_{k}=\alpha x^{\otimes k}
$$

for the absolute groups, i.e. we have a commutative diagram


On the other hand, by restricting $X$ to $X_{v_{q}}$ and $X_{S_{k}}$ to $\left(X_{S_{k}}\right)_{2 k q}$ we obtain another commutative diagram

where $\boldsymbol{v}$ is the map of cochains given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(c)=d^{*}\left[(c \otimes c \otimes \ldots \otimes c) \otimes_{\Gamma} 1\right] \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have made the identification

$$
C^{*}\left(X_{S_{k}}^{k}\right)=\left(C^{*}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathrm{z}} C^{*}(X)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{r}} C^{*}(A)
$$

where $A \rightarrow B_{S_{k}}$ is the universal $S_{k}$-bundle and $\Gamma$ is the integral group ring of $S_{k}$, and similarly we identify

$$
C^{*}\left(X_{S_{k}}\right)=C^{*}(X) \otimes_{\mathrm{r}} C^{*}(A)
$$

The commutativity of Diagram 6.2 depends of course on the fact that the isomorphism

$$
K\left(X_{2 q}, X_{2 q-1}\right) \cong C^{2 q}(X)
$$

is compatible with (external) products.
The map $\nu$ defined by (6.3) induces a map of cohomology (denoted also by $\nu$ )

$$
\nu: H^{2 a}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2 k q}\left(X_{S_{k}}, \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

The diagrams (6.1) and (6.2) then establish the following
Proposition 6.4. Let $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$ be represented by $a \in H^{2 q}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ in the spectral sequence $H^{*}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \Rightarrow K^{*}(X)$. Then $\alpha\left(x^{\otimes k}\right) \in K_{2 k k}\left(X_{S_{k}}\right)$ is represented by $\nu(a) \in H^{2 k a}\left(X_{S_{k}}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ in the spectral sequence

$$
H^{*}\left(X_{S_{k}}, \mathrm{Z}\right) \Rightarrow K^{*}\left(X_{S_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)
$$

where $\nu$ is induced by the formula (6.3).
Remarks. (1) It seems plausible that one could in fact define a tensorpower operation mapping the spectral sequence of $X$ into the spectral sequence of $X_{S_{k}}$. Proposition 6.4 concerns itself only with the extreme members $E_{2}$ and $E_{\infty}$ (and only for even dimensions).
(2) The map $v$ is essentially the parent of all the Steenrod operations, while $x \mapsto x^{\otimes k}$ is the parent of all the operations in $K$-theory introduced in § 2. Proposition 6.4 contains therefore, in prinoiple, all the relations between operations in the two theories. We proceed to make this explicit in the simplest case:

Therbem 6.5. Suppose that $X$ has no torsion so that we may identify $H^{*}\left(X, Z_{p}\right)$ with $G K^{*}(X) \otimes Z_{p}$. If $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$ we denote the corresponding element of $H^{2 a}\left(X, Z_{p}\right)$ by $\bar{x}$. Let

$$
\psi^{p} x=\sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} x_{i}
$$

be the decomposition of $\psi^{p} x$ given by (5.6). Then we have
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{i}=P_{p}^{i}(\bar{x}) \\
P_{p}^{i}: H^{2 a}\left(X, Z_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{2 q+2 i(p-1)}\left(X, Z_{p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is the Steenrod power (for $p=2$ we put $P^{i}=S q^{2 i}$ ).
Proof. By Proposition 6.4 the map

$$
P: K(X) \rightarrow K(X) \otimes R\left(Z_{p}\right)
$$

induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{P}{P}: H^{*}\left(X, Z_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X, Z_{p}\right) \otimes H^{*}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\bar{P}$ is $v$ reduced mod $p$. Now by (2.6) and Lemma 5.5 (choosing $x_{q}=x^{p}$ ) we have the following expression for $P(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=x_{q} \otimes 1-\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} x_{i} \otimes p^{q-i-1}(N-p)^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of the Steenrod powers [(10) 112] we have

$$
\bar{P}(\bar{x})=\sum_{i=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-i} P^{i}(\bar{x}) \otimes \eta^{(q-i)(p-1)} ;
$$

where $\eta$ is the canonical generator of $H^{2}\left(Z_{p} ; Z_{p}\right)$.
Comparing (1) and (2) and using Lemma 5.1 we have the result.
Remark. Proposition 6.5, together with the kind of calculations made in (3), leads to a very simple proof of the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant $1 \bmod p$ (including the case $p=2$ ).

## 7. Relation with Chern characters

If the space $X$ has no torsion, it is possible to replace the operations $\psi^{*}$ by the Chern character

$$
\operatorname{ch}: K^{*}(X) \rightarrow H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Q})
$$

In fact ch is a monomorphism and $\psi^{k}$ can be computed from the formulae

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ch} x=\sum_{q} \operatorname{ch}_{q}(x), \quad x \in K(X), \operatorname{ch}_{q}(x) \in H^{2 q}(X ; \mathbf{Q}) \\
\operatorname{ch} \psi^{k} x=\sum_{q} k^{q} \operatorname{ch}_{q}(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Conversely one oan define $H^{*}(X ; Q)$ and ch purely in terms of the $\psi^{k}(3)$. It is reasonable therefore to try to express Theorems 5.6 and 6.5 in terms of Chern characters. We shall see that we recover the results of Adams (1), at least for spaces without torsion.

If $X$ is without torsion, we identify $H^{*}(X ; Z)$ with its image in $H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Q})$. If $a \in H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Q})$, we can write $a=b / d$ for $b \in H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Z})$ and some integer $d$. If $d$ oan be chosen prime to $p$, we shall say that $a$ is $p-i n t e g r a l$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $X$ be a space without torsion, $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$ and pa prime. Then

$$
p^{t} \mathrm{ch}_{q+n}(x)
$$

is $p$-integral, where $t=\left[\frac{n}{p-1}\right]$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n=0$ (and all $q$ ) the result is a consequence of the periodicity theorem (8). We suppose therefore
that $n>0$ and the result established for all $r \leqslant n-1$. By Proposition 5.6 we have
and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{p} x= & \sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} x_{i}, \quad x_{i} \in K_{2 q+2 i(p-1)}(X) \\
& \operatorname{ch} \psi^{p} x=\sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} \operatorname{ch} x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking components in dimension $2(q+n)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{q+n} \mathrm{ch}_{q+n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{t} p^{q-i} \operatorname{ch}_{q+n}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad t=\left[\frac{n}{p-1}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $n=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{q}(x)=\operatorname{ch}_{q}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ has no torsion, this implies that

$$
y=x_{0}-x \in K_{2 q+2}(X)
$$

Replacing $x_{0}$ by $x+y$ in (1) and multiplying by $p^{t-q}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{t}\left(p^{n}-1\right) \mathrm{ch}_{q+n}(x)=p^{t} \mathrm{ch}_{q+n} y+\sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{t-i} \mathrm{ch}_{q+n}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by the inductive hypothesis (with $q$ replaced by $q+1$ and $q+i(p-1)$ ( $i \geqslant 1$ )) we see that all terms on the right-hand side of (3) are $p$-integral. Hence $p^{f} \mathrm{ch}_{q+n}(x)$ is $p$-integral and so the induction is established.

For any $x \in K_{2 q}(X)$ we denote by $\bar{x} \in H^{2 a}\left(X, Z_{p}\right)$ the corresponding element obtained from the isomorphism

$$
G^{2 q} K(X) \otimes Z_{p} \cong H^{2 q}\left(X ; Z_{p}\right) .
$$

Now, by Theorem 7.1, $p^{d} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{q}+k p-1)} x$ is $p$-integral. We may therefore reduce it $\bmod p$ and obtain an element of $H^{2 \alpha+2(p-1)}\left(X ; Z_{p}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 7.1 that this depends only on $\bar{x}$. We denote it therefore by $T^{t}(\bar{x})$, so that $T^{t}$ is an operation

$$
H^{2 q}\left(X ; Z_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{2 q+2(p-1)}\left(X ; Z_{p}\right)
$$

We now identify this operation.
Theorem 7.2. The operation $\sum_{i \geqslant 0} T^{i}$ is the inverse of the 'total' Steenrod power $\sum_{i \rightarrow 0} P^{i}$,

$$
\text { i.e. } \quad\left(\Sigma T^{i}\right) \circ\left(\Sigma P^{i}\right)=\text { identity }
$$

Proof. As in Theorem 7.1 we have

$$
\psi^{p_{x}}=\sum_{i=0}^{q} p^{q-i} x_{i}
$$

Now in equation (I) above take $n=t(p-1)$ and multiply by $p^{t-q}$. Then reducing $\bmod p$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{i=0}^{l} T^{t-i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right) \quad(t>0), \\
& \bar{x}=T^{0}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But by Theorem 6.5 we have $\bar{x}_{i}=P^{i} \bar{x}$, and so we deduce

$$
0=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} T^{t-i} P^{i}\right) \bar{x}, \quad \bar{x}=T^{0} P^{0} \bar{x}
$$

In other words, the composition

$$
\left(\Sigma T^{i}\right) \circ\left(\Sigma P^{i}\right)
$$

is the identity operator as required.
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## Introduction

The $K$-theory of complex vector bundles $(\mathbf{2}, 5)$ has many variants and refinements. Thus there are:
(1) $K$-theory of real vector bundles, denoted by $K O$,
(2) $K$-theory of self-conjugate bundles, denoted by $K C(\mathbf{1})$ or $K S C(7)$,
(3) $K$-theory of $G$-vector bundles over $G$-spaces (6), denoted by $K_{G}$.

In this paper we introduce a new $K$-theory denoted by $K R$ which is, in a sense, a mixture of these three. Our definition is motivated partly by analogy with real algebraic geometry and partly by the theory of real elliptic operators. In fact, for a thorough treatment of the index problem for real elliptic operators, our $K R$-theory is essential. On the other hand, from the purely topological point of view, $K R$-theory has a number of advantages and there is a strong case for regarding it as the primary theory and obtaining all the others from it. One of the main purposes of this paper is in fact to show how $K R$-theory leads to an elegant proof of the periodicity theorem for $K O$-theory, starting essentially from the periodicity theorem for $K$-theory as proved in (3). On the way we also encounter, in a natural manner, the self-conjugate theory and various exact sequences between the different theories. There is here a considerable overlap with the thesis of Anderson (1) but, from our new vantage point, the relationship between the various theories is much easier to see.

Recently Karoubi (8) has developed an abstract $K$-theory for suitable categories with involution. Our theory is included in this abstraction but its particular properties are not developed in (8), nor is it exploited to simplify the $K O$-periodicity.
The definition and elementary properties of $K R$ are given in § 1. The periodicity theorem and general cohomology properties for $K R$ are discussed in § 2. Then in § 3 we introduce various derived theories$K R$ with coefficients in certain spaces onding up with the periodicity theorem for $K O$. In § 4 we discuss briefly the relation of $K R$ with Clifford algebras on the lines of (4), and in particular we establish a lemma which is used in § 3 . The significance of $K R$-theory for the topological study of real elliptic operators is then briefly discussed in $\S 5$.

This paper is essentially a by-product of the author's joint work with I. M. Singer on the index theorem. Since the results are of independent topological interest it seemed better to publish them on their own.

## 1. The real category

By a space with involution we mean a topological space $X$ together with a homeomorphism $\tau: X \rightarrow X$ of period 2 (i.e. $\tau^{2}=$ Identity). The involution $\tau$ is regarded as part of the structure of $X$ and is frequently omitted if there is no possibility of confusion. A space with involution is just a $Z_{2}$-space in the sense of (6), where $Z_{2}$ is the group of order 2. An alternative terminology which is more suggestive is to call a space with involution a real space. This is in analogy with algebraic geometry. In fact if $X$ is the set of complex points of a real algebraic variety it has a natural structure of real space in our sense, the involution being given by complex conjugation. Note that the fixed points are just the real points of the variety $X$. In conformity with this example we shall frequently write the involution $\tau$ as complex conjugation:

$$
\tau(x)=\vec{x} .
$$

By a real vector bundle over the real space $X$ we mean a complex vector bundle $E$ over $X$ which is also a real space and such that
(i) the projection $E \rightarrow X$ is real (i.e. commutes with the involutions on $E, X$ );
(ii) the map $E_{x} \rightarrow E_{\vec{x}}$ is anti-linear, i.e. the diagram

commutes, where the vertical arrows denote the involution and C is given its standard real structure $(\tau(z)=\tilde{z})$.
It is important to notice the difference between a vector bundle in the category of real spaces (as defined above) and a complex vector bundle in the category of $Z_{2}$-spaces. In the definition of the latter the map

$$
E_{x} \rightarrow E_{\tau(x)}
$$

is assumed to be complex-linear. On the other hand note that if $E$ is a real vector bundle in the category of $Z_{2}$-spaces its complexification can be given two different struetures, depending on whether

$$
E_{x} \rightarrow E_{\tau(x)}
$$

is extended linearly or anti-linearly. In the first it would be a bundle in
the real category, while in the second it would be a complex bundle in the $Z_{2}$-category.

At a fixed point of the involution on $X$ (also called a real point of $X$ ) the involution on $E$ gives an anti-linear map

$$
\tau_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow E_{x}
$$

with $\tau_{x}^{2}=1$. This means that $E_{x}$ is in a natural way the complexification of a real vector space, namely the +1 -eigenspace of $\tau_{x}$ (the real points of $E_{x}$ ). In particular if the involution on $X$ is trivial, so that all points of $X$ are real, there is a natural equivalence between the category $\mathscr{E}(X)$ of real vector bundles over $X$ (as space) and the category $\mathscr{F}(X)$ of real vector bundles over $X$ (as real space): $\dagger$ define $\mathscr{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(X)$ by $E \mapsto E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ ( $\mathbf{C}$ being given its standard real structure) and $\mathscr{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}(X)$ by $F \mapsto F_{R}$ ( $F_{R}$ being the set of real points of $F$ ). This justifies our use of 'real vector bundle' in the category of real spaces: it may be regarded as a natural extension of the notion of real vector bundle in the category of spaces.

If $E$ is a real vector bundle over the real space $X$ then the space $\Gamma(E)$ of cross-sections is a complex vector space with an anti-linear involution: if $s \in \Gamma(E), \bar{s}$ is defined by

$$
\bar{s}(x)=\overline{s(\bar{x})} .
$$

Thus $\Gamma(E)$ has a real structure, i.e. $\Gamma(E)$ is the complexification of the real vector space $\Gamma(E)_{R}$.

If $E, F$ are real vector bundles over the real space $X$ a morphism $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ will be a homomorphism of complex vector bundles commuting with the involutions, i.e.

$$
\phi(\bar{e})=\overline{\phi(e)} \quad(e \in E) .
$$

$E \otimes_{\mathrm{C}} F$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}}(E, F)$ have natural structures of real vector bundles. For example if $\phi_{x} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(E_{x}, F_{x}\right)$ we define $\bar{\phi}_{x} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(E_{x}, F_{\hat{x}}\right)$ by

$$
\overline{\phi_{x}}(u)=\overline{\left(\phi_{x} \bar{u}\right)} \quad\left(u \in E_{x}\right)
$$

It is then clear that a morphism $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ is just a real section of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}}(E, F)$, i.e. an element of $\left(\Gamma \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}}(E, F)\right)_{R}$.

If now $X$ is compact then exactly as in (3) [§ 1] we deduce the homotopy property of real vector bundles. The only point to note is that a real section $s$ over a real subspace $Y$ of $X$ can always be extended to a real section over $X$; in fact if $t$ is any section extending $s$ then $\frac{1}{2}(t+\bar{t})$ is a real extension.

Suppose now that $X$ is a real algebraic space (i.e. the complex points of a real algebraic variety) then, as we have already remarked, it defines in a natural way a real topological space $X_{\text {alg }} \mapsto X_{\text {top }}$. A real algebraic vector bundle can, for our purposes, be taken as a complex algebraic vector bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ where $X, E, \pi$, and the scalar multiplication $\mathbf{C} \times E \rightarrow E$ are all defined over $\mathbf{R}$ (i.e. they are given by equations with real coefficients). Passing to the underlying topological structure it is then clear that $E_{\text {top }}$ is a real vector bundle over the real space $X_{\text {top }}$.

Consider as a particular example $X=P\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right),(n-1)$-dimensional complex projective space. The standard line-bundle $H$ over $P\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ is a real algebraic bundle. In fact $H$ is defined by the exact sequence of vector bundles

$$
0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $E \subset X \times \mathrm{C}^{n}$ consists of all pairs $((z), u) \in X \times \mathrm{C}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\sum u_{i} z_{i}=0
$$

Since this equation has real coefficients $E$ is a real bundle and this then implies that $H$ is also real. Hence $H$ defines a real bundle over the real space $P\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$.

As another example consider the affine quadric

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2}+1=0 .
$$

Since this is affine a real vector bundle may be defined by projective modules over the affine ring $A_{+}=\mathbf{R}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right] /\left(\sum z_{i}^{2}+1\right)$. Now the intersection of the quadric with the imaginary plane is the sphere

$$
\sum_{i}^{n} y_{i}^{2}=1
$$

the involution being just the anti-podal map $y \mapsto-y$. Thus projective modules over the ring $A_{+}$define real vector bundles over $S^{n-1}$ with the anti-podal involution. If instead we had considered the quadric

$$
\sum z_{i}^{2}-1=0
$$

then its intersection with the real plane would have been the sphere with trivial involution, so that projective modules over

$$
A_{-}=\frac{\mathbf{R}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]}{\left(\sum z_{i}^{2}-1\right)}
$$

define real vector bundles over $S^{n-1}$ with the trivial involution (and so these are real vector bundles in the asual sense). The significance of $S^{n-1}$ in this example is that it is a deformation retract of the quadric in our category (i.e. the retraction preserving the involution).

The Grothendieck group of the category of real vector bundles over a real space $X$ is denoted by $K R(X)$. Restricting to the real points of $X$ we obtain a homomorphism

$$
K R(X) \rightarrow K R\left(X_{R}\right) \cong K O\left(X_{R}\right)
$$

In particular if $X=X_{n}$ we have

$$
K R(X) \cong K O(X)
$$

For example taking $X=P\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ we have $X_{R}=P\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and hence a restriction homomorphism

$$
K R\left(P\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow K R\left(P\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)=K O\left(P\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Note that the image of $[H]$ in this homomorphism is just the standard real Hopf bundle over $P\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$.

The tensor product turns $K R(X)$ into a ring in the usual way.
If we ignore the involution on $X$ we obtain a natural homomorphism

$$
c: K R(X) \rightarrow K(X)
$$

If $X=X_{R}$ then this is just complexification. On the other hand if $E$ is a complex vector bundle over $X, E \oplus \tau^{*} \bar{E}$ has a natural real structure and so we obtain a homomorphism

$$
r: K(X) \rightarrow K R(X)
$$

If $X=X_{R}$ then this is just 'realization', i.e. taking the underlying real space.

## 2. The periodicity theorem

We come now to the periodicity theorem. Here we shall follow carefully the proof in (3) [§ 2] and point out the modifications needed for our present theory.

If $E$ is a real vector bundle over the real space $X$ then $P(E)$, the projective bundle of $E$, is also a real space. Moreover the standard line-bundle $H$ over $P(E)$ is a real line-bundle. Then the periodicity theorem for $K R$ asserts:

Theorem 2.1. Let L be a real line-bundle over the real compact space $X$, $H$ the standard real line-bundle over the real space $P(L \oplus 1)$. Then, as a $K R(X)$-algebra, $K R(P(L \oplus 1))$ is generated by $H$, subject to the single relation

$$
([H]-[\mathbf{1}])([L][H]-[\mathbf{1}])=\mathbf{0}
$$

First of all we choose a metric in $L$ invariant under the involution. The unit circle bundle $S$ is then a real space. The section $z$ of $\pi^{*}(L)$ defined by the inclusion $S \rightarrow L$ is a real section. Hence so are its powers $z^{k}$. The isomorphism

$$
H^{k} \cong\left(1, z^{-k}, L^{-k}\right) \quad[(3) 2.5]
$$

is an isomorphism of real bundles. Finally we assert that, if $f$ is a real section of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi^{*} E^{0}, \pi^{*} E^{\infty}\right)$ then its Fourier coefficients $a_{k}$ are real sections of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{k} \otimes E^{0}, E^{\infty}\right)$. In fact we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{k}(x)=\overline{a_{k}(\bar{x})} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{\bar{x}}} f_{x} z_{\bar{x}}^{-k-1} d z_{\bar{x}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\dot{S}_{z}} \overrightarrow{f_{\bar{x}}}\left(\overline{z_{x}}\right)^{-k-1} d \overline{z_{x}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (since the involution reverses the } \\
\text { orientation of } S \text { ) }
\end{array} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} f_{x} z_{x}^{-k-1} d z_{x} \quad \text { (since } f \text { and } z \text { are real) } \\
& =a_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be helpful to consider what happens at a real point of $X$. The condition that $f_{x}$ is real then becomes

$$
f_{x}\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)=\overline{f_{x}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}
$$

which implies at once that the Fourier coefficients are real.
Since the linearization procedure of (3) [§3] involves only the $\alpha_{k}$ and and the $z^{k}$ it follows that the isomorphisms obtained there are all real isomorphisms.

The projection operators $Q^{0}$ and $Q^{\infty}$ of (3) [§ 4] are also real, provided $p$ is real. In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_{x}^{0}=\overline{Q_{x}^{0}} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \overline{\int_{S_{\bar{x}}}} p_{\bar{x}}^{-1} d p_{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} \overline{\left(p_{\bar{x}}\right)^{-1}} d \overrightarrow{p_{\bar{x}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S_{x}} p_{x}^{-1} d p_{x}, \quad \text { since } p \text { is real. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $Q^{\infty}$. The bundle $V_{n}\left(E^{0}, p, E^{\infty}\right)$ is therefore real and (4.6) is an equation in $K R(P)$. The proof in $\S 5$ now applies quite formally.

We are now in a position to develop the usual cohomology-type theory, using relative groups and suspensions. There is, however, one new feature here which is important. Besides the usual suspension, based on $\mathbf{R}$ with
trivial involution, we can also consider $\mathbf{R}$ with the involution $x \mapsto-x$. It is often convenient to regard the first case as the real axis $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{C}$ and the second as the imaginary axis $i \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{C}$, the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$ always having the standard real structure given by complex conjugation. We use the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{p, q}=\mathbf{R}^{q} \oplus i \mathbf{R}^{p} \\
& B^{p, q}=\text { unit ball in } R^{p, q} \\
& S^{p, q}=\text { unit sphere in } R^{p, q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $R^{p, p} \cong \mathbf{C}^{p}$. Note also that, with this notation, $S^{p, a}$ has dimension $p+q-1$.

The relative group $K R(X, Y)$ is defined in the usual way as $\widetilde{K R(X / Y)}$ where $\widetilde{K R}$ is the kernel of the restriction to base point. We then define the ( $p, q$ ) suspension groups

$$
K R^{p, q}(X, Y)=K R\left(X \times B^{p, q}, X \times S^{p, q} \cup Y \times B^{p, q}\right)
$$

Thus the usual suspension groups $K R^{-q}$ are given by

$$
K R^{-q}=K R^{0, q} .
$$

As in (2) one then obtains the exact sequence for a real pair ( $X, Y$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \rightarrow K R^{-1}(X) \rightarrow K R^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow K R(X, Y) \rightarrow K R(X) \rightarrow K R(Y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly one has the exact sequence of a real triple ( $X, Y, Z$ ). Taking the triple ( $X \times B^{p, 0}, X \times S^{p, 0} \cup Y \times B^{p, 0}, X \times S^{p, 0}$ ) one then obtains an exact sequence
$\ldots \rightarrow K R^{p, 1}(X) \rightarrow K R^{p, 1}(Y) \rightarrow K R^{p, 0}(X, Y) \rightarrow K R^{p, 0}(X) \rightarrow K R^{p, 0}(Y)$ for each integer $p \geqslant 0$.

The ring structure of $K R(X)$ extends in a natural way to give external products

$$
K R^{p, q}(X, Y) \otimes K R^{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow K R^{p+p^{\prime}, q+q^{\prime}}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $X^{\prime \prime}=X \times X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}=X \times Y^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime} \times Y$. By restriction to the diagonal these define internal products.

We can reformulate Theorem 2.1 in the usual way. Thus let

$$
b=[H]-\mathrm{I} \in K R^{1,1}(\text { point })=K R\left(B^{1,1}, S^{1,1}\right)=K R\left(P\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

and denote by $\beta$ the homomorphism

$$
K R^{p, q}(X, Y) \rightarrow K R^{p+1, q+1}(X, Y)
$$

given by $x \mapsto b . x$. Then we have
Theorem 2.3. $\beta: K R^{p, q}(X, Y) \rightarrow K R^{p+1, q+1}(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism.
Note also that the exact sequence of a real pair is compatible with the periodicity isomorphism. Hence if we define

$$
K R^{\mu}(X, Y)=K R^{p, q}(X, Y) \quad \text { for } p \geqslant 0
$$

it follows that the exact sequence (2.2) for $(X, Y)$ can be extended to infinity in both directions. Moreover we have natural isomorphisms $K R^{p, q} \cong K R^{p-q}$.

We consider now the general Thom isomorphism theorem as proved for $K$-theory in (2) [§ 2.7]. We recall that the main steps in the proof proceed as follows:
(i) for a line-bundle we use (2.1),
(ii) for a decomposable vector bundle we proceed by induction using (2.1),
(iii) for a general vector bundle we use the splitting principle.

An examination of the proof in (2) [§ 2.7] shows that the only point requiring essential modification is the assertion that a vector bundle is locally trivial and hence locally decomposable. Now a real vector bundle has been defined as a vector bundle with a real structure. Thus it has been assumed locally trivial as a vector bundle in the category of spaces. What we have to show is that it is also locally trivial in the category of real spaces. To do this we have to consider two cases.
(i) $x \in X$ a real point. Then $E_{x} \cong \mathbf{C}^{n}$ in our category. Hence by the extension lemma there exists a real neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that $E \mid U \cong U \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$ in the category.
(ii) $x \neq \vec{x}$. Take a comp'ex isomorphism $E_{x} \cong \mathbf{C}^{n}$. This induces an isomorphism $E_{\lambda} \cong \mathbf{C}^{n}$. Hence we have a real isomorphism

$$
E \mid Y \cong Y \times \mathbf{C}^{n}
$$

where $Y=\{x, \bar{x}\}$. By the extension lemma there exists a real neighbourhood $U$ of $Y$ so that $E \mid U \cong U \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$.
Thus we have
Throrem 2.4 (Thom Isomorphism Theorem). Let $E$ be a real vector bundle over the real compaci space $X$. Then

$$
\phi: K R(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K R}\left(X^{E}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism where $\phi(x)=\lambda_{E} \cdot x$ and $\lambda_{E}$ is the element of $\widetilde{K R}\left(X^{E}\right)$ defined by the exterior algebra of $E$.

Among other results of (2) [§ 2.7] we note the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K R\left(X \times P\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)\right) & \cong K R(X)[t] / t^{n}-1 \\
& \cong K R(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K\left(P\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We leave the computation of $K R$ for Grassmannians and Flag manifolds as exercises for the reader. The determination of $K R$ for quadrics
is a more interesting problem, since the answer will depend on the signature of the quadratic form.

We conclude with the following observation. Consider the inclusion

$$
R^{0,1}=\mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{C}=R^{1,1}
$$

This induces a homomorphism
$K^{1,1}($ point $) \xrightarrow{i *} K^{0,1}($ point $)$
$\widetilde{K R}\left(P\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K R}\left(P\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right)$.

Since $i^{*}[H]$ is the real Hopf bundle over $P\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ it follows that $\eta=i^{*}(b)=i^{*}([H]-1)$ is the reduced Hopf bundle over $P\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$.

## 3. Coefficient theories

If $Y$ is a fixed real space then the functor $X \mapsto K R(X \times Y)$ gives a new cohomology theory on the category of real spaces which may be called $K R$-theory with coefficients in $Y$. We shall take for $Y$ the spheres $S^{p, 0}$ (where the involution is the anti-podal map). A theory $F$ will be said to have period $q$ if we have a natural isomorphism $F \cong F^{-q}$. Then we have

Proposition 3.1. KR-theory with coefficients in $S^{p, 0}$ has period

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { if } p=1, \\
& 4 \text { if } p=2, \\
& 8 \text { if } p=4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider $R^{p}$ as one of the three fields $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$, or $\mathbf{H}(p=1,2$, or 4). Then for any real space $X$ the map

$$
\mu_{p}: X \times S^{p, 0} \times R^{0, p} \rightarrow X \times S^{p, 0} \times R^{p, 0}
$$

given by $\mu_{p}(x, s, u)=(x, s, s u)$, where $s u$ is the product in the field, is a real isomorphism. Hence it induces an isomorphism

$$
\mu_{p}^{*}: K R^{p, 0}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{0, p}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right)
$$

Replacing $X$ by a suspension gives an isomorphism

$$
\mu_{p}^{*}: K R^{p, q}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{0, p+Q}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right)
$$

Taking $q=p$ and using the isomorphism

$$
\beta^{p}: K R \rightarrow K R^{p, p}
$$

given by Theorem 2.1, we obtain finally an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{p}^{*} \beta^{p}: K R\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \rightarrow & K R^{0,2 p}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \\
& K \|^{-2 p}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. $\mu^{*}$ is clearly a $K R(X)$-module homomorphism. Since the same is true of $\beta$ this implies that the periodicity isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{p}=\mu_{p}^{*} \beta^{p}: K R\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{-2 p}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right)
$$

is multiplication by the image $c_{p}$ of 1 in the isomorphism

$$
K R\left(S^{p, 0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{-2 p}\left(S^{p, 0}\right)
$$

This element $c_{p}$ is given by

$$
c_{p}=\gamma_{p}(1)=\mu^{*}\left(b^{p}, 1\right), \quad 1 \in K R\left(S^{p, 0}\right)
$$

For any $Y$ the projection $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ will give rise to an exact coefficient sequence involving $K R$ and $K R$ with coefficients in $Y$. When $Y$ is a sphere we get a type of Gysin sequence:

Proposition 3.2. The projection $\pi: S^{p, 0} \rightarrow$ point induces the following exact sequence

$$
\ldots \rightarrow K R^{p-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\chi} K R^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{n^{*}} K R^{-q}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} \ldots
$$

where $\chi$ is the product with $(-\eta)^{p}$, and $\eta \in K R^{-1}($ point $) \cong \widetilde{K R}\left(P\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ is the reduced real Hopf bundle.

Proof. We replace $\pi$ by the equivalent inclusion $S^{p, 0} \rightarrow B^{p, 0}$. The relative group is then $K R^{p, q}(X)$. To compute $\chi$ we use the commutative diagram


Let $\theta$ be the automorphism of $K^{2 p, p+q}(X)$ obtained by interchanging the two factors $R^{p, 0}$ which occur. Then the composition $\chi \theta \beta^{p}$ is just multiplication by the image of $b^{x}$ in

$$
K R^{p, p} \text { (point) } \rightarrow K R^{0, p} \text { (point) }
$$

But this is just $\eta^{p}$. It remains then to calculate $\theta$. But the usual proof given in (2) [§ 2.4] shows that $\theta=(-1)^{p^{2}}=(-1)^{p}$.

We proceed to consider in more detail each of the theories in (3.1). For $p=1, S^{p, 0}$ is just a pair of conjugate points $\{+1,-1\}$. A real vector bundle $E$ over $X \times\{+1,-1\}$ is entirely determined by the complex vector bundle $E_{+}$which is its restriction to $X \times\{+1\}$. Thus we have

Proposition 3.3. There is a natural isomorphism

$$
K R\left(X \times S^{1,0}\right) \cong K(X)
$$

Note in particular that this does not depend on the real structure of $X$ but just on the underlying space. The period 2 given by (3.1) confirms what we know about $K(X)$. The exact sequence of (3.2) becomes now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \rightarrow K R^{1-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\chi} K R^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\pi^{*}} K^{-q}(X) \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} K R^{2-q}(X) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is multiplication by $-\eta$ and $\pi^{*}=c$ is complexification. We leave the identification of $\delta$ as an exercise for the reader. This exact sequence is well-known (when the involution on $X$ is trivial) but it is always deduced from the periodicity theorem for the orthogonal group. Our procedure has been different and we could in fact use (3.4) to prove the orthogonal periodicity. Instead we shall deduce this more easily later from the case $p=4$ of (3.1).

Next we consider $p=2 \mathrm{in}$ (3.1). Then $K R^{-q}\left(X \times S^{2,0}\right)$ has period 4. We propose to identify this with a self-conjugate theory. If $X$ is a real space with involution $\tau$ a self-conjugate bundle over $X$ will mean a complex vector bundle $E$ together with an isomorphism $\alpha: E \rightarrow \overline{\tau^{*} \bar{E}}$. Consider now the space $X \times S^{2,0}$ and decompose $S^{2,0}$ into two halves $S_{+}^{2,0}$ and $S^{2,0}$ with intersection $\{ \pm 1\}$.


It is clear that to give a real vector bundle $F$ over $X \times S^{2,0}$ is equivalent to giving a complex vector bundle $F_{+}$over $X \times S_{+}^{2,0}$ (the restriction of $F$ ) together with an isomorphism

$$
\phi: F \mid X \times\{+1\} \rightarrow \tau^{*}(\bar{F} \mid X \times\{-1\}) .
$$

But $X \times\{+1\}$ is a deformation retract of $X \times S_{+}^{2,0}$ and so [cf. (3) 2.3] we have an isomorphism

$$
\theta: F_{+}\left|X \times\{-1\} \rightarrow F_{+}\right| X \times\{+1\}
$$

unique up to homotopy. Thus to give $\phi$ is equivalent, up to homotopy, to giving an isomorphism

$$
\alpha: E \rightarrow \overline{\tau^{*} E}
$$

where $E$ is the bundle over $X$ induced from $F_{+}$by $x \mapsto(x, 1)$ and

$$
\alpha_{x}=\theta_{(\vec{x},-1)} \phi_{(x, 1)} .
$$

In other words isomorphism classes of real bundles over $X \times S^{2,0}$ correspond bijectively to homotopy classes of self-conjugate bundles over $X$, Moreover this correspondence is clearly compatible with tensor producte.

Now let $K S C(X)$ denote the Grothendieck group of homotopy classes of self-conjugate bundles over $X$. If $\tau$ is trivial this agrees with the definitions of (1) and (7). Then we have established

Proposition 3.5. There is a natural isomorphism of rings

$$
K S C(X) \rightarrow K R\left(X \times S^{2.0}\right)
$$

The exact sequence of (3.2), with $p=2$, then gives an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \rightarrow K R^{2-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\chi} K R^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} K S C^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} K R^{3-q}(X) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is multiplication by $\eta^{2}$ and $\pi^{*}$ is the map which assigns to any real bundle the associated self-conjugate bundle (take $\alpha=\tau$ ). The periodicity in $K S C$ is given by multiplication by a generator of $K S C^{-4}$ (point).

Finally we come to the case $p=4$. For this we need
Lemma 3.7. Let $\eta \in K R^{-1}$ (point) be the element defined in § 2. Then $\eta^{3}=0$.

Proof. This can be proved by linear algebra. In fact we recall [(4) $\S 11]$ the existence of a homomorphism $\alpha: A_{k} \rightarrow K R^{-k}$ (point) where the $A_{k}$ are the groups defined by use of Clifford algebras. Then $\eta$ is the image of the generator of $A_{1} \cong Z_{2}$ and $A_{3}=0$. Since the homomorphisms $\alpha_{k}$ are multiplicative [(4) § 11.4] this implies that $\eta^{3}=0$.

Corollary 3.8. For any $p \geqslant 3$ we have short exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow K R^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} K R^{-q}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} K R^{p+1-q}(X) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. This follows from (3.7) and (3.2).
According to the remark following (3.1) the periodicity for $K R\left(X \times S^{4,0}\right)$ is given by multiplication with the element

$$
c_{4}=\mu_{4}^{*}\left(b^{4} \cdot 1\right) \in K R^{-8}\left(S^{4,0}\right)
$$

Now recall [(4) Table 2] that $A_{8} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, generated by an element $\lambda$ (representing one of the irreducible graded modules for the Clifford algebra $C_{8}$ ). Applying the homomorphism

$$
\alpha: A_{8} \rightarrow K R^{-8} \text { (point) }
$$

we obtain an element $\alpha(\lambda) \in K R^{-8}$ (point). The connexion between $c_{4}$ and $\alpha(\lambda)$ is then given by the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9. Let 1 denote the identity of $K R\left(S^{4,0}\right)$. Then

$$
c_{4}=\alpha(\lambda) .1 \in K R^{-8}\left(S^{4,0}\right)
$$

The proof of (3.9) in volves a careful consideration of Clifford algebras and
is therefore postponed until $\S 4$ where we shall be discussing Clifford algebras in more detail.

Using (3.9) we are now ready to establish
Theorem 3.10. Let $\lambda \in A_{8}, \alpha(\lambda) \in K R^{-8}($ point) be as above. Then multiplication by $\alpha(\lambda)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
K R(X) \rightarrow K R^{-8}(X)
$$

Proof. Multiplying the exact sequence of (3.8) by $\alpha(\lambda)$ we get a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow K R^{-q}(X) \rightarrow K R^{-q}\left(X \times S^{4,0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{5-q}(X) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow \phi_{q}+\psi_{q} \\
& 0 \rightarrow K R^{-q-8}(X) \rightarrow K R^{-q-8}\left(X \times S^{4,0}\right) \rightarrow K R^{-8-q}(X) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.9) we know that $\psi_{q}$ coincides with the periodicity isomorphism $\gamma_{4}$. Hence $\phi_{q}$ is a monomorphism for all $q$. Hence $\phi_{5-q}$ in the above diagram is a monomorphism, and this, together with the fact that $\psi_{q}$ is an isomorphism, implies that $\phi_{q}$ is an epimorphism. Thus $\phi_{q}$ is an isomorphism as required.

Remark. If the involution on $X$ is trivial, so that $K R(X)=K O(X)$, this is the usual 'real periodicity theorem'.

By considering the various inclusions $S^{4,0} \rightarrow S^{p, 0}$ we obtain interesting exact sequences. For the identification of the relative group we need

Lemma 3.11. The real space (with base point) $\mathbb{S}^{p, 0} / \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{g} 0}$ is isomorphic to

$$
S^{p-q, 0} \times B^{q, 0} / S^{p-q, 0} \times S^{q, 0}
$$

Proof. $S^{p, 0}-S^{q, 0}$ is isomorphic to $S^{p-q, 0} \times R^{q, 0}$. Now compactify.
Corollary 3.12. We have natural isomorphisms:

$$
K R\left(X \times S^{p, 0}, X \times S^{q, 0}\right) \cong K R^{0, q}\left(X \times S^{p-q, 0}\right)
$$

In view of (3.8) the only interesting cases are for low values of $p, q$. Of particular interest is the case $p=2, q=1$. This gives the exact sequence [cf. (1)]

$$
\ldots \rightarrow K^{-1}(X) \rightarrow K S C(X) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

The exact sequence of (3.8) does in fact split canonically, so that (for $p \geqslant 3$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
K R^{-q}\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \cong K R^{-q}(X) \oplus K R^{p+1-q}(X) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this it is sufficient to consider the case $p=3$, because the general case then follows from the commutative diagram ( $p \geqslant 4$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow K R(X) \rightarrow K R\left(X \times S^{p, 0}\right) \\
& 0 \rightarrow K R(X) \rightarrow K R\left(\underset{X}{\downarrow} \times S^{3,0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

obtained by restriction. Now $S^{3,0}$ is the 2 -sphere with the anti-podal involution and this may be regarded as the conic $\sum_{0}^{2} z_{i}^{2}=0$ in $P\left(\mathrm{C}^{s}\right)$. In§ 5 we shall give, without proof, a general proposition which will imply that, when $Y$ is a quadric,

$$
K R(X) \rightarrow K R(X \times Y)
$$

has a canonical left inverse. This will establish (3.13).

## 4. Relation with Clifford algebras

Let $\operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{p, q}\right)$ denote the Clifford algebra (over $\mathbf{R}$ ) of the quadratic form

$$
-\left(\sum_{1}^{p} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{1}^{q} x_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

on $R^{p, q}$. The involution $(y, x) \mapsto(-y, x)$ of $R^{p, q}$ induces an involutory automorphism of Cliff( $R^{p, q}$ ) denoted by $\dagger a \mapsto \bar{a}$.

Let $M=M^{0} \oplus M^{1}$ be a complex $Z_{2}$-graded Cliff $\left(R^{p, q}\right)$-module. We shall say that $M$ is a real $Z_{2}$ "graded Cliff $\left(R^{p, q}\right)$-module if $M$ has a real structure (i.e. an anti-linear involution $m \mapsto \bar{m}$ ) such that
(i) the $Z_{2}$-grading is compatible with the real structure, i.e.

$$
\bar{M}^{i}=M^{i} \quad(i=0,1)
$$

(ii) $\overline{a m}=\bar{a} \bar{m}$ for $a \in \operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{p, q}\right)$ and $m \in M$.

Note that if $p=0$, so that the involution on Cliff $\left(R^{p, q}\right)$ is trivial, then

$$
M_{R}=M_{R}^{0} \oplus M_{R}^{1}=\{m \in M \mid \bar{m}=m\}
$$

is a real $Z_{2}$-graded module for the Clifford algebra in the usual sense [a $C_{q}$-module in the notation of (4)].

The basic construction of (4) carries over to this new situation. Thus a real graded Cliff $\left(R^{p, q}\right)$-module $M=M^{0} \oplus M^{1}$ defines a triple ( $M^{0}, M^{1}, \sigma$ ) where $\sigma: S^{p, q} \times M^{0} \rightarrow S^{p, q} \times M^{1}$ is a real isomorphism given by

$$
\sigma(s, m)=(s, s m)
$$

In this way we obtain a homomorphism

$$
h: M(p, q) \rightarrow K R^{p, q}(\text { point })
$$

where $M(p, q)$ is the Grothendieck group of real graded Cliff( $\left.R^{p, q}\right)$ modules. If $M$ is the restriction of a Cliff $\left(R^{p, q+1}\right)$-module then $\sigma$ extends over $S^{p, q+1}$. Since the projection

$$
S_{+}^{p, q+1} \rightarrow B^{p, q}
$$

[^2]is an isomorphism of real spaces ( $S_{+}$denotes the upper hemisphere with respect to the last coordinate) it follows that $M$ defines the zero element of $K R^{p, q}$ (point). Hence, defining $A(p, q)$ as the cokernel of the restriction
$$
M(p, q+1) \rightarrow M(p, q)
$$
we see that $h$ induces a homomorphism
$$
\alpha: A(p, q) \rightarrow K R^{p, q}(\text { point }) .
$$

Moreover, as in (4), $\alpha$ is multiplicative. Note that for $p=0$ this $\alpha$ coincides essentially with that defined in (4), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(0, q) & \cong A_{q} \\
K R^{0, q}(\text { point }) & \cong K O^{-q}(\text { point }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*}\left(\mathbf{C}^{1}\right)$ defines in a natural way a Cliff( $\left.R^{1,1}\right)$ module by

$$
z(1)=z e, \quad z(e)=-\bar{z} 1
$$

where $l \in \Lambda^{0}\left(\mathbf{C}^{1}\right)$ and $e \in \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbf{C}^{1}\right)$ are the standard generators. Let $\lambda_{1} \in A(1,1)$ denote the element defined by this module. In view of the definition of $b \in K R^{1,1}$ (point) we see that

$$
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=-b
$$

and hence, since $\alpha$ is multiplicative,

$$
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}^{4}\right)=b^{4} .
$$

Let $M$ be a graded Cliff( $R^{4,4}$ )-module representing $\lambda_{1}^{4}$ (in fact as shown in (4) [§ 11], we can construct $M$ out of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^{*}\left(C^{4}\right)$ ), and let $w=e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4} \in \operatorname{Chff}\left(R^{4,4}\right)$ where $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ are the standard basis of $R^{4,0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{2}=1, \quad \bar{w}=w \\
w z=\bar{z} w \text { for } z \in \mathrm{C}^{4}=R^{4,4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we may define a new anti-linear involution $m \mapsto \tilde{m}$ on $M$ by

$$
\tilde{m}=-w \bar{m}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{z m}=-w \overline{z m} & =-w \bar{z} \bar{m}=-z w \bar{m} \\
& =z \bar{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M$ with this new involution (or real structure) is a real graded Chiff $\left(R^{0,8}\right)$-module, a $C_{8}$-module in the notation of (4): as such we denote it by $N$. From dimensional considerations [cf. (4) Table 2], we see that it must be one of the two irreducible $C_{8}$-modules. But on complexification (i.e. ignoring involutions) it gives the same as $M$ and hence $N$ represents the element of $A_{8}$ denoted in (4) by $\lambda$.

After these preliminaries we can now proceed to the proof of Lemma 3.9. What we have to show is that under the map

$$
\mu_{4}: S^{4,0} \times \mathbf{R}^{8} \rightarrow S^{4,0} \times \mathbf{C}^{4}
$$

the element of $K R^{4,4}\left(S^{4,0}\right)$ defined by $M$ lifts to the element of $K R^{-8}\left(S^{4,0}\right)$ defined by $N$. To do this it is clearly sufficient to exhibit a commutative diagram of real isomorphisms

$$
\begin{gather*}
S^{4,0} \times \mathbf{R}^{8} \times N \xrightarrow{\downarrow} S^{4,0} \times \mathbf{C}^{4} \times M  \tag{4.1}\\
S^{4,0} \times \mathbf{R}^{8} \times N \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} S^{4,0} \times \stackrel{\mathbf{C}^{4}}{ } \times M
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nu$ is compatible with $\mu_{4}$ (i.e. $\nu(s, x, y, n)=(s, x+i s y, m)$ for some $m$ ) and the vertical arrows are given by the module structures (i.e. $(s, x, y, n) \mapsto(s, x, y,(x, y) n)$.

Consider now the algebra $\operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{4,0}\right)=C_{4}$. The even part $C_{4}^{0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}[(4)$ Table 1]. Moreover its centre is generated by 1 and $w=e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4}$, the two projections being $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm w)$. To be quite specific let us define the embedding

$$
\xi: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cliff}^{0}\left(R^{4,0}\right)
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi(1)=\frac{1+w}{2} \\
& \xi(i)=\frac{1+w}{2} e_{1} e_{2} \\
& \xi(j)=\frac{1+w}{2} e_{1} e_{3} \\
& \xi(k)=\frac{1+w}{2} e_{1} e_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can define an embedding

$$
\eta: S(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(4) \subset \Gamma_{4}
$$

by $\eta(s)=\xi(s)+\frac{1}{2}(1-w)$, where $\Gamma_{4}$ is the Clifford group [(4) 3.1] and $S(\mathbf{H})$ denotes the quaternions of norm l. It can now be verified that the composite homomorphism

$$
S(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(4) \rightarrow S O(4)
$$

defines the natural action of $S(\mathbf{H})$ on $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathbf{H}$ given by left multiplication. $\dagger$ In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(s) y \eta(s)^{-1}=s y \quad\left(s \in S(\mathbf{H}), y \in \mathbf{R}^{4}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we give $S(\mathbf{H})$ the anti-podal involution then $\eta$ is not compatible with involutions, since the involution on the even part $C_{4}^{0}$ is trivial.
$\dagger$ We identify $\mathbf{l}, i, j, k$ with the standard base $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ in that order.

Regarding $\operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{4,0}\right)$ as embedded in $\operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{4,4}\right)$ in the natural way we now define the required map $\nu$ by

$$
\nu(s, x, y, n)=(s, x+i s y, \eta(s) n)
$$

From the definition of $w$ it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta(s) w=-\eta(-s) \\
\eta(-s) \tilde{n}=\eta(-s)\{-w \bar{n}\}=\eta(s) \bar{n}=\overline{\eta(s) n}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so
showing that $v$ is a real map. Equation (4.2) implies that

$$
\eta(s)(x, y) n=(x+i s y) \eta(s) n
$$

showing that $v$ is compatible with the module structures. Thus we have established the existence of the diagram (4.1) and this completes the proof of Lemma 3.9.

The definitions of $M(p, q)$ and $A(p, q)$ given were the natural ones from our present point of view. However, it may be worth pointing out what they correspond to in more concrete or classical terms. To see this we observe that if $M$ is a real $C\left(R^{p, q}\right)$-module we can define a new action [] of $R^{p+q}$ on $M$ by

$$
[x, y] m=x m+i y m
$$

Then

$$
[x, y]^{2} m=\left\{-\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right\} m .
$$

Moreover for the involutions we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{[x, y]} \bar{m} & =\overline{x m}+\stackrel{\overline{i y m}}{ } \\
& =x \bar{m}+\dot{i y \bar{m}} \quad(\text { since } \bar{y}=-y) \\
& =[x, y] \bar{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M_{R}$ is now a real module in the usual sense for the Clifford algebra $C_{p, q}$ of the quadratic form

$$
Q(p, q) \equiv \sum_{1}^{p} y_{i}^{2}-\sum_{1}^{q} x_{j}^{2} .
$$

It is easy to see that we can reverse the process. Thus $M(p, q)$ can equally well be defined as the Grothendieck group of real graded $C_{p, q}$-modules. From this it is not difficult to compute the groups $A_{(p, q)}$ on the lines of (4) [§ 4,5] and to see that they depend only on $p-q(\bmod 8)[c f$. also (8)]. Using the result of (4) [11.4] one can then deduce that

$$
\alpha: A(p, q) \rightarrow K R^{p, q}(\text { point })
$$

is always an isomorphism. The details are left to the reader. We should perhaps point out at this stage that our double index notation was suggested by the work of Karoubi (8).

The map $\alpha$ can be defined more generally for principal spin bundles as in (4) and we obtain a Thom isomorphism theorem for spin bundles on the lines of (4) [12.3]. We leave the formulation to the reader.

## 5. Relation with the index

If $\hat{\phi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of a function $\phi$ then we have

$$
\hat{\phi}(x)=\overline{\hat{\phi}(-x)} .
$$

Since the symbol $\sigma(P)$ of an elliptic differential operator $P$ is defined by Fourier transforms (9) it follows that

$$
\sigma(\widetilde{P})(x, \xi)=\overline{\sigma(P)(x,-\xi)}
$$

where $\vec{P}$ is the operator defined by

$$
\bar{P} \phi=\overline{P \bar{\phi}}
$$

Here we have assumed that $P$ acts on functions so that $\overline{P \bar{\phi}}$ is defined. More generally if $X$ is a real differentiable manifold, i.e. a differentiable manifold with a differentiable involution $x \mapsto \bar{x}$, and if $E, F$ are real differentiable vector bundles over $X$, then the spaces $\Gamma(E), \Gamma(F)$ of smooth sections have a real structure and for any linear operator

$$
P: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)
$$

we can define $\bar{P}: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ by

$$
\bar{P}(\phi)=\overline{P \bar{\phi}}
$$

If $P$ is an elliptic differential operator then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma(\bar{P})(x, \xi)=\overline{\sigma(P)\left(\bar{x},-\tau^{*}(\xi)\right.}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is natural to define $P$ to be a real operator if $P=\widetilde{P}$. If the involution on $X$ is trivial this means that $P$ is a differential operator with real coefficients with respect to real local bases of $E, F$. In any case it follows from (5.1) that the symbol $\sigma(P)$ of a real elliptic operator gives an isomorphism of real vector bundles

$$
\pi^{*} E \rightarrow \pi^{*} F
$$

where $\pi: S(X) \rightarrow X$ is the projection of the cotangent sphere bundle and we define the involution on $S(X)$ by

$$
(x, \xi) \rightarrow\left(\bar{x},-\tau^{*}(\xi)\right)
$$

Note that if $\tau$ is the identity involution on $X$ the involution on $S(X)$ is not the identity but is the anti-podal map on each fibre. This is the basic reason why our $K R$-theory is needed here. In fact the triple

$$
\left(\pi^{*} E, \pi^{*} F, \sigma(P)\right)
$$

defines in the usual way an element

$$
[\sigma(P)] \in K R(B(X), S(X))
$$

where $B(X)$, the unit ball bundle of $S(X)$, has the associated real structure. $\dagger$

The kernel and cokernel of a real elliptic operator have natural real structures. Thus the index is naturally an element of $K R($ point $)$. Of course since

$$
K R(\text { point }) \rightarrow K(\text { point })
$$

is an isomorphism there is no immediate advantage in defining this apparently refined real index. However, the situation alters if we consider instead a family of real elliptic operators with parameter or base space $Y$. In this case a real index can be defined as an element of $K R(Y)$ and

$$
K R(Y) \rightarrow K(Y)
$$

is not in general injective.
All these matters admit a natural extension to real elliptic complexes (9). Of particular interest is the Dolbeault complex on a real algebraic manifold. This is a real elliptic complex because the holomorphic map $\tau: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ maps the Dolbeault complex of $\bar{X}$ into the Dolbeault complex of $X$. If $X$ is such that the sheaf cohomology groups $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O})=0$ for $q \geqslant 1, H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathrm{C}$, the index, or Euler characteristic, of the Dolbeault. complex is 1. Based on this fact one can prove the following result:

Propostion. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibering by real algebraic manifolds, where the fibre $F$ is such that

$$
H^{q}(F, \mathcal{O})=0 \quad\left(q \geqslant 1, H^{0}(F, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbf{C}\right)
$$

then there is a homomorphism

$$
f_{*}: K R(\bar{X}) \rightarrow K R(Y)
$$

which is a left inverse of

$$
f^{*}: K R(Y) \rightarrow K R(X)
$$

The proof cannot be given here but we observe that a special case is given by taking $X=Y \times F$ where $F$ is a (compact) homogeneous space of a real algebraic linear group. For example we can take $F$ to be a complex quadric, as required to prove (3.13). We can also take $F=S O(2 n) / U(n)$, or $S O(2 n) / T^{n}$, the flag manifold of $S O(2 n)$. These spaces can be used to establish the splitting principle for orthogonal bundles. It is then significant to observe that the real space

$$
\{S O(2 n) / U(n)\} \times R^{0,2 n}
$$

$\dagger$ All this extonds of course to integral (or pseudo-differential) operators.
has the structure of a real vector bundle. A point of $S O(2 n) / U(n)$ defines a complex structure of $R^{2 n}$ and conjugate points give conjugate structures. For $n=2$ this is essentially $\dagger$ what we used in $\S 3$ to deduce the orthogonal periodicity from Theorem 2.1.
$\dagger$ In (3.1) we used the 3 -sphere $S^{4,0}$. We could just as well have used the 2 . sphere $S^{3,0}$. This coincides with $S O(4) / U(2)$.
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[^0]:    * As usual a formal power series means a sum $f=\Sigma f_{n}$ where $f_{n}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$ (and so involves only a finite number of the variables).

[^1]:    $\dagger$ Now that we have identified the $\lambda^{i}$ of $\S 1$ with the exterior powers we revert to the usual notation and write $\lambda^{( }(M)$ instead of $\Lambda^{1}(M)$, and correspondingly $\lambda_{-1}(M)$ instead of $\Lambda_{-1}(M)$.

[^2]:    $\dagger$ This notation diverges from that of (4) [§1] where (for $q=0$ ) this involution is called $\alpha$ and 'bar' is reserved for an anti-automorphism.

