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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to compare the cohomology theory of associative $K$-algebras given by Shukla [7] with the cotriple cohomology induced by the free associative $K$-algebra triple on the category of sets. If the respective cohomology groups are denoted by $H^{n}(\Lambda, M)$ and $\tilde{H}^{n}(\Lambda, M)$ for a $K$-algebra $\Lambda$ and a $\Lambda$-bimodule $M$, then we will show

Theorem 1.1. There is a natural family of isomorphisms

$$
\tilde{H}^{n}(\Lambda, M) \stackrel{\approx}{\operatorname{Der}(\Lambda, M),} \begin{array}{ll} 
& n=0 \\
H^{n+1}(\Lambda, M), & n>0
\end{array}
$$

Thus the comparison ends in a manner fully as satisfactory as in [1] and [2]. The proof uses essentially the same method of acyclic models as was used in those papers. A standard (i.e., functorial) complex for each theory is constructed and the hypotheses of the theorem of acyclic models of [2] are shown to be satisfied, from which chain equivalence of these complexes follows. Here the standard complexes are not generally acyclic. Thus, chain equivalence as modules is required, in contrast to the previous situations in which it would have sufficed to show that a certain complex was merely acyclic.

The following notations will be used throughout. $K$ denotes a commutative ring with unit, $\Lambda$ is a fixed unitary $K$-algebra and $M$ is a fixed $\Lambda$-bimodule. $\mathrm{A} \otimes$ without subscript denotes $\otimes_{K}$ and if $A$ is any $K$-module we let $A^{(n)}$ denote an $n$th tensor power of $A$ over $K$. If $B$ is the free $R$-module on the base $X$, for some ring $R$, we will let $\langle x\rangle$ denote the basis element corresponding to an $x \in X$. We let ( $\mathrm{Alg}-K, \Lambda$ ) denote the category whose

[^0]objects are morphisms $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Lambda$ of $K$-algebras (always unit-preserving) and whose morphisms are commutative triangles $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}} \Lambda$ where $\varphi$ is a map of $K$-algebras. The elements of this category will be called algebras and morphisms over $\Lambda$. If $U \Lambda$ denotes the underlying set of $\Lambda$, we let ( $\mathscr{P}, U \Lambda$ ) denote the category of sets and functions over $U \Lambda$ in the same way. Then there is an obvious underlying functor, which we also denote by $U:(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda) \rightarrow(\mathscr{S}, U \Lambda)$, taking $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Lambda$ to the underlying set of $\Gamma$ mapped by the function underlying $\gamma . U$ has a left adjoint,
$$
F:(\mathscr{S}, U \Lambda) \rightarrow(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda)
$$
which can be described by saying that if $X \stackrel{\xi}{\leftrightarrows} U \Lambda$ is an object over $U \Lambda$, $F X$ is the polynomial ring over $K$ in noncommuting variables $[x]$, one for each $x \in X . F \xi: F X \rightarrow \Lambda$ is the unique $K$-algebra morphism for which $F \xi[x]=\xi x$.

## 2. Cohomology and Acyclic Models

If $F$ and $U$ are as above, let $\alpha: 1 \rightarrow U F$ and $\beta: F U \rightarrow 1$ be the adjointness morphisms. Then it is known that $\mathbf{G}=(F U, \beta, F \alpha U)$ is a cotriple on $(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda)$ (see [4] and [5]). If $T:(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ is a functor to an Abelian category and $G=F U$, the left-derived functors $L_{n} T$ with respect to $\mathbf{G}$ are the homology groups of the complex

$$
\cdots \rightarrow T G^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T G^{3} \rightarrow T G^{2} \rightarrow T G \rightarrow 0
$$

whose boundary is $\Sigma(-1)^{i} T G^{i} \beta G^{n-i}: T G^{n+1} \rightarrow T G^{n}$. If $T$ is contravariant, we get right-derived functors $R_{n} T$ in the analogous way. To define cohomology with coefficients in the $\Lambda$-bimodule $M$, we take $T_{M}:(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathscr{A} \mathbb{b}$, the category of Abelian groups, to be the contravariant functor whose value on an object $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\nu} \Lambda$ (which will by abuse of notation simply be denoted by $\Gamma$ ) is

$$
\operatorname{Der}(\Gamma, M)=\left\{f: \Gamma \rightarrow M \mid f\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=\gamma x_{1} \cdot f x_{2}+f x_{1} \cdot \gamma x_{2}\right\}
$$

This is a group using addition in $M$ and is called the group of derivations (or crossed homomorphisms) of $\Gamma$ to $M$. Then $\tilde{H}^{n}(\Gamma, M)=R_{n} T_{M} \Gamma$.
$\operatorname{Der}(\Gamma,-)$ is represented, as a functor on the category of $\Lambda$-bimodules, by the module $J \Gamma=\operatorname{coker} \varphi$ where $\varphi: \Lambda \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Lambda$ is defined by

$$
\varphi\left(l \otimes x \otimes x^{\prime} \otimes l^{\prime}\right)=l \gamma(x) \otimes x^{\prime} \otimes l^{\prime}-l \otimes x x^{\prime} \otimes l^{\prime}+l \otimes x \otimes \gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) l^{\prime}
$$

for $l, l^{\prime} \in \Lambda, x, x^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ (see [3]). Hence as a standard complex for computing the cohomology given above we may use the complex of functors $Q=\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ where $Q_{n} \Gamma=J G^{n+1} \Gamma$ with boundary as above. In Section 3 we will construct
a standard complex $E$ for computing the modified Shukla cohomology (as appears in Theorem 1.1). To show that these are equivalent we use the theorem of acyclic models which appears in dual form in [2]. The form we shall use states

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $H_{0}(E) \approx H_{0}(Q)$ and that there are natural transformations of $E_{n} \rightarrow E_{n} G$ and $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n} G$ for $n \geqslant 0$ such that $E_{n} \rightarrow E_{n} G \xrightarrow{E_{n} \beta} E_{n}$ and $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n} G \xrightarrow{Q_{n} \beta} Q_{n}$ are the respective identities and that both augmented complexes $E G \rightarrow H_{0}(E G) \rightarrow 0$ and $Q G \rightarrow H_{0}(Q G) \rightarrow 0$ are contractible by natural transformations. Then there are natural transformations $f: E \rightarrow Q$ and $g: Q \rightarrow E$, and natural homotopies $f g \sim 1_{Q}$ and $g f \sim 1_{E}$.

For $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n} G$ we may take $J F \alpha U G^{n}$ for $n \geqslant 0$. It is easily seen that $H_{0}(Q) \approx J$ so that we may take $s_{-1}: H_{0}(Q G) \rightarrow Q_{0} G$ to be $J F \alpha U$ and $s_{n}: Q_{n} G \rightarrow Q_{n+1} G$ to be $J F \alpha U G^{n+1}$ for $n \geqslant 0$. Using the naturality of $\alpha$, this is easily checked to be a contraction. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 it is necessary to define $E$ and show it has similar properties (see Section 3).

## 3. The Cohomology Groups of Shukla

These are described in detail in [7], but we give here a brief description which is suitable for our purposes. Given $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ we will describe a standard complex $S \Gamma$ for computing Shukia's cohomology $H(\Gamma, M)$ with coefficients in the $\Lambda$-bimodule $M$. After adjusting this complex at the bottom we will be in a position to apply acyclic models and compare this with $Q \Gamma$ described above.

We begin by letting $V_{-1} \Gamma=N_{-1} \Gamma=\Gamma$. If $V_{i} \Gamma$ is defined to be the free $K$-module generated by the underlying set of $N_{i-1} \Gamma$, we have a natural epimorphism $\epsilon_{i}: V_{i} \Gamma \rightarrow N_{i-1} \Gamma$ whose kernel we define to be $N_{i} \Gamma$. It is shown in [7] how the terms of $V \Gamma$ of non-negative degree form a differential graded algebra in which the differential $d$ is the composite $V_{i} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{i}} N_{i-1} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}^{-}} V_{i-1} \Gamma$ and such that $\epsilon_{0}: V_{0} \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ induces a map $\epsilon: V \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ of such algebras (where $\Gamma$ has trivial differential and grading). For example, the multiplication in $V_{0} \Gamma$ is the uniquc $K$-linear product for which $\langle x\rangle\langle y\rangle=\langle x y\rangle, x, y \in \Gamma$. Also, the composite $\gamma \epsilon$ makes $V \Gamma$ into an algebra over $\Lambda$, from which it follows that $\epsilon$ is a map over $\Lambda$. We now form the $\Lambda$-bimodule $S \Gamma=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} S_{n} \Gamma$ where $S_{n} \Gamma=\Lambda \otimes(V \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes A$. If this is given suitable differential and grading, it is clear from the discussion between Theorems 2 and 3 ([7], p. 178) that $H\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\Lambda}(S \Gamma, M)\right)$ is the Shukla cohomology of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in the $\Lambda$-bimodule $M$. The
differential comes from the usual one for the bar resolution of a differential graded algebra as described in [6], p. 306. That is, $\partial=\partial S=\partial^{\prime}+\partial^{\prime \prime}$ where, if $\exp (m)=(-1)^{m}$ for an integer $m, l, l^{\prime} \in \Lambda, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V \Gamma$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\prime}(l \otimes & \left.v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime}\right) \\
= & l_{\gamma \epsilon}\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \exp \left(i+\operatorname{deg} v_{1}+\cdots+\operatorname{deg} v_{i}\right) l \otimes v_{1} \otimes \cdots \\
& \otimes v_{i} v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime} \\
& +\exp \left(n+\operatorname{deg} v_{1}+\cdots+\operatorname{deg} v_{n}\right) l \otimes v_{1} \otimes \cdots \\
& \otimes v_{n-1} \otimes \gamma \epsilon\left(v_{n}\right) l^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial^{n}\left(l \otimes v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(i-1+\operatorname{deg} v_{1}+\cdots+\operatorname{deg} v_{i-1}\right) l \otimes v_{1} \otimes \cdots \\
& \otimes d v_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we grade it by setting

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(l \otimes v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \otimes l^{\prime}\right)=n+\operatorname{deg} v_{1}+\cdots+\operatorname{deg} v_{n} .
$$

We let $E \Gamma$ denote the complex consisting of those terms of $S \Gamma$ of strictly positive degree, grading reduced by 1 and differential $\partial E=-\partial S$, except, of course, in (new) degree zero where the differential now is zero. It is clear that, for $n>0, H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\Lambda}(E \Gamma, M)\right)$ is just the $(n+1)$ st Shukla cohomology group of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in the $\Lambda$-bimodule $M$. We can now extend $E$ in the obvious way on morphisms over $\Lambda$ so that it becomes a complex of functors $E=\left\{E_{n}\right\}, E_{n}:(\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda$-bimodules. It is this complex that we will show is naturally equivalent to $Q$.

Proposition 3.1. $\quad H_{0}(E \Gamma) \approx J \Gamma$ so that

$$
H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\Lambda}(E \Gamma, M)\right) \approx \operatorname{Der}(\Gamma, M)
$$

Proof. We know that $E_{0} \Gamma=\Lambda \otimes V_{0} \Gamma \otimes \Lambda$. If

$$
\pi: \Lambda \otimes \Gamma \otimes A \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi=J \Gamma
$$

is the projection, then clearly $\pi\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right): E_{0} \Gamma \rightarrow J \Gamma$ is an epimorphism. It is immediate that $\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial^{\prime \prime}=0$, while it is a direct computation that $\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial^{\prime}\left(l \otimes v \otimes v^{\prime} \otimes l^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(l \otimes \epsilon_{0} v \otimes \epsilon_{0} v^{\prime} \otimes l^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\pi\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial^{\prime}=\pi\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial=0$. Thus $\operatorname{Im} \partial \subset \operatorname{ker} \pi\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right)$. The proposition is proved if we show the reverse inclusion. So suppose $w \in \operatorname{ker} \pi\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right)$. Then $\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) w \in \operatorname{ker} \pi=\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ and so

$$
\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) w=\varphi\left(\sum l_{i} \otimes x_{i} \otimes x_{i}^{\prime} \otimes l_{i}^{\prime}\right), \quad l_{i}, l_{i}^{\prime} \in \Lambda, x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime} \in \Gamma
$$

If $u=\sum l_{i} \otimes\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle \otimes l_{i}^{\prime}$ then it can be directly calculated that $\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial u=\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) \partial^{\prime} u=\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right) z v$ so that

$$
\left(1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1\right)(w-\partial u)=0
$$

But the exactness of $V_{1} \Gamma \xrightarrow{a} V_{0} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{0}} \Gamma \rightarrow 0$ implies the same about $\Lambda \otimes V_{1} \Gamma \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d \otimes 1} \Lambda \otimes V_{0} \Gamma \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \epsilon_{0} \otimes 1} \Lambda \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow 0$ so there is a $t \in \Lambda \otimes V_{1} \Gamma \otimes \Lambda$ such that $(1 \otimes d \otimes 1) t=\partial^{\prime \prime} t=\partial t=w-\partial u$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. There are natural transformations $\theta_{n}: E_{n} \rightarrow E_{n} G$ for $n \geqslant 0$ such that $E_{n} \beta \cdot \theta_{n}$ is the identity transformation of $E_{n}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on
Proposition 3.3. Let $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ be in (Alg $-K, \Lambda$ ) and $f: U \Omega \rightarrow U \Omega^{\prime}$. Then we can define functions $N_{i}{ }^{*} f: U N_{i} \Omega \rightarrow U N_{i} \Omega^{\prime}$ for $i \geqslant-1$, where we use $U$ to denote the underlying set functor for $K$-modules as well. This construction is not functorial, but if $V^{*} f=\left\{V_{i}^{*} f\right\}$ where $V_{i}^{*} f: V_{i} \Omega \rightarrow V_{i} \Omega^{\prime}$ denotes the unique $K$-linear map extending $N_{i-1}^{*} f$, then three conditions are satisfied: (i) $N_{-1}^{*} f=f$; (ii) $V^{*} U \varphi=V \varphi$ for $\varphi$ a morphism of (Alg $-K, \Lambda$ ); and (iii) if $f: U \Omega \rightarrow U \Omega^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}: U \Omega^{\prime} \rightarrow U \Omega^{\prime \prime}$ are such that either $f=U_{\varphi}$ or $f^{\prime}=U \varphi^{\prime}$, then $N_{i}^{*} f^{\prime} \cdot N_{i}^{*} f=N_{i}{ }^{*}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot f\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. We let $N_{-1}^{*} f=f$ and having defined $N_{i}^{*} f$ for $i<n$, let $x_{i} \in N_{n-1} \Omega, a_{i} \in K$ be such that $\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle \in N_{n} \Omega$ which is the same as saying that $\sum a_{i} x_{i}=0$. We define

$$
N_{n}^{*} f\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right)=\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle
$$

which is immediately seen to be in $N_{n}{ }^{*} \Omega^{\prime}$. When $N_{n-1}^{*} f$ is linear, the last two terms cancel so that $N_{n}{ }^{*} f$ is also linear. It is clear by induction that if $f=U \varphi$ then the $K$-linear extension of $N_{n-1}^{*} \int$ is $V_{n} \varphi$. Also if we assume that $N_{n-1}^{*} f^{\prime} \cdot N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi=N_{n-1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot U \varphi\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{n}^{*} f^{\prime} & \cdot N_{n} * U \varphi\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =N_{n}^{*} f^{\prime}\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} f^{\prime} \cdot N_{-1}^{*} U \varphi x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*} f^{\prime} \cdot N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle \\
& =\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot U \varphi\right) x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot U \varphi\right) x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle \\
& =N_{n}^{*}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot U \varphi\right)\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand if $f^{\prime}=U \varphi^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
N_{n} & * U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot N_{n}^{*} f\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =N_{n}^{*} U \varphi^{\prime}\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle\right) \\
& =\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi^{\prime}\left(\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi^{\prime} 0\right\rangle \\
& =\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*}\left(U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot f\right) x_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*} U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot N_{n-1}^{*} f x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle \\
& =\sum a_{i}\left\langle N_{n-1}^{*}\left(U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot f\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum a_{i} N_{n-1}^{*}\left(U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot f\right) x_{i}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle \\
& =N_{n}^{*}\left(U \varphi^{\prime} \cdot f\right)\left(\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $f_{\Gamma}=\alpha U \Gamma: U \Gamma \rightarrow U G \Gamma$. This is just the map $x \rightarrow[x]$ mentioned in Section 1. Then $V^{*} f_{\Gamma}: V \Gamma \rightarrow V G \Gamma$ is a map of graded $K$-modules. Moreover,
$V^{*} U \beta \Gamma \cdot V^{*} f_{\Gamma}=V^{*}(U \beta \Gamma \cdot \alpha U \Gamma)=V^{*}\left(1_{U \Gamma}\right)=V^{*} U\left(1_{\Gamma}\right)=V\left(1_{\Gamma}\right)=1_{V \Gamma}$.
Also $V^{*} f_{\Gamma}$ is natural in $\Gamma$, for if $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ is a map in (Alg $-K, \Lambda$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
V G \varphi \cdot V^{*} f_{\Gamma} & =V^{*} U G \varphi \cdot V^{*} f_{\Gamma}=V^{*}(U F U \varphi \cdot \alpha U I) \\
& =V^{*}(\alpha U \Omega \cdot U \varphi)=V^{*} f_{\Omega} \cdot V \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $\left(V^{*} f_{r}\right)^{(n)}:(V \Gamma)^{(n)} \rightarrow(V G \Gamma)^{(n)}$ which is natural in $\Gamma$ and $K$-linear and so we can take $1 \otimes\left(V^{*} f_{\Gamma}\right)^{(n)} \otimes 1: S_{n} \Gamma \rightarrow S_{n} G \Gamma$ which is now $\Lambda$-bilinear. Since these maps preserve degrees they define $\theta_{n} \Gamma: E_{n} \Gamma \rightarrow E_{n} G \Gamma$ which is a value of a well defined $\theta_{n}: E_{n} \rightarrow E_{n} G$ which is readily seen to have the property that $E_{n} \beta \cdot \theta_{n}=1$.

Theorem 3.4. The complex $E G \xrightarrow{\varphi} J G \rightarrow 0$ has a natural contraction. That is, there are maps $s_{-1}: J G \rightarrow E_{0} G$ and $s_{i}: E_{i} G \rightarrow E_{i+1} G$ for $i \geqslant 0$ such that $\varphi s_{-1}=1, s_{-1} \varphi+\partial s_{0}=1$ and $s_{i-1} \partial+\partial s_{i}=1$ for $i>0$.

## Proof of Theorem 3.4

The proof essentially consists of two parts. The first consists in showing that if $D \Gamma$ is the standard Hochschild complex and $\nu: S \rightarrow D$ is the natural transformation induced by $\epsilon: V \rightarrow 1$ then there is a $\rho: D G \rightarrow S G$ such that $\nu G \cdot \rho=1$ and $\rho \cdot \nu G \sim 1$ by a natural homotopy $h$. The second is that if $C$ is the complex of functors related to $D$ in the same way that $E$ is related to $S$, then $C G \rightarrow J G \rightarrow 0$ has a natural contraction $t$. Then it is easily seen that $h+\nu G \cdot t \cdot \rho$ is a contraction of $E G \rightarrow J G \rightarrow 0$.

Define the standard Hochschild complex $D \Gamma=\left\{D_{n} \Gamma\right\}$ by setting $D_{n} \Gamma=\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{(n)} \otimes \Lambda$ for $n \geqslant 0$ with differential

\[

\]

Then $\epsilon \Gamma: V \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ induces $1 \otimes(\epsilon \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1: S_{n} \Gamma \rightarrow D_{n} \Gamma$ which defines $\nu \Gamma: S \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\nu \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}=\partial \cdot \nu \Gamma$ and $\nu \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime \prime}=0$.

Note that $\left(G \Gamma, V_{0} G \Gamma\right) \approx\left(U \Gamma, U V_{0} G \Gamma\right)$, the first being Hom taken in ( $\operatorname{Alg}-K, \Lambda$ ), the second in ( $\mathscr{S}, U \Lambda$ ) so that there is a unique morphism $G \Gamma \rightarrow V_{0} G \Gamma$ in (Alg $-K, \Lambda$ ) such that $[x] \rightarrow\langle[x]\rangle, x \in \Gamma$. This map followed by the inclusion of $V_{0} G \Gamma$ into $V G \Gamma$ is called $\sigma \Gamma$ and clearly $\sigma$ can be extended on morphisms to be a natural transformation of $G$ to $V G$ which is a morphism over $\Lambda$ of differential graded $K$-algebras. In order to construct $h$ we need

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $P^{\prime \prime} X$ is the free $K$-module on a basis $X, P X$ is the free $K$-module on the set underlying $P^{\prime \prime} X$ and $P^{\prime} X$ is the free $K$-module on the $\operatorname{set}\left\{p^{\prime \prime} \in P^{\prime \prime} X \mid p^{\prime \prime} \neq\langle x\rangle\right.$ for any $\left.x \in X\right\}$. If $f X: P X \rightarrow P^{\prime \prime} X$ is the $K$-morphism such that $f X\left\langle p^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=p^{\prime \prime}$ for $p^{\prime \prime} \in P^{\prime \prime} X$ and $e X: P^{\prime} X \rightarrow P X$ is the K-morphism such that

$$
\left.e X\left\langle\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum a_{i}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle\right\rangle-\sum a_{i} \backslash x_{i}\right\rangle,
$$

then

$$
0 \longrightarrow P^{\prime} X \xrightarrow{\bullet X} P X \xrightarrow{f X} P^{\prime \prime} X \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Remark 3.6. The point of this lemma is that there is a functorial choice for ker $f$. That is, if $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function then there are obvious vertical maps making the following diagram commute,


In fact, take $P^{\prime \prime} f\langle x\rangle=\langle f x\rangle, \operatorname{Pf}\left\langle p^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle P^{\prime \prime} f\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\rangle$ and $P^{\prime} f\left\langle p^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle P^{\prime \prime} f\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\rangle$.
Proof of Lemma 3.5. Clearly $e X \cdot f X=0, e X$ is $1-1$ and $f X$ is onto. Suppose, therefore, that $\sum a_{i}\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle+\sum b_{j}\left\langle\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{ker} f X\right.$ where $a_{i}, b_{j} \in K$,
for each $i, p_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in P^{\prime \prime}$ but not of the form $\langle x\rangle$ for $x \in X$, and $x_{j} \in X$. Also suppose that among the $x_{j}$ are all of the variables appearing in any of the $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Then if $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\sum c_{i j}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle, c_{i j} \in K$, it follows that $b_{j}=-\sum a_{i} c_{i j}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e X\left(\sum_{i} a_{i}\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) & =\sum_{i} a_{i}\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle-\sum_{i} a_{i} \sum_{i} c_{i j}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i} a_{i}\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle-\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} c_{i j}\right)\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i} a_{i}\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle-\sum_{j} b_{j}\left\langle x_{j}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.7. There is a natural homotopy $k: 1 \sim \sigma \cdot \epsilon G$ such that $k \cdot \sigma=0$ and $k^{2}=0$.

Proof. This is equivalent to the assertion that there is a natural contracting homotopy $k$ in the complex $V G \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{0} G} G \rightarrow 0$ such that $k^{2}=0$ and $k_{-1}=\sigma$. If $X_{-1} \Gamma$ is the set of monomials $\left[x_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{k}\right]$ in $G \Gamma$, for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \Gamma$ then it is clear that $X_{-1} \Gamma$ is a free $K$-basis for $G \Gamma$. Also, as $K$-modules $P X_{-1} \Gamma \approx V_{0} G \Gamma$ and $P^{\prime} X_{-1} \Gamma \approx N_{0} G \Gamma$. Hence there is a natural basis $X_{0} \Gamma$ for $N_{0} G \Gamma$ as constructed above. We take $k_{0} \Gamma: V_{0} G \Gamma \rightarrow V_{1} G \Gamma$ to be the composite of the map of $V_{0} G \Gamma \rightarrow N_{0} G \Gamma$ such that $V_{0} G \Gamma \rightarrow N_{0} G \Gamma \hookrightarrow V_{0} G \Gamma$ is $1 \cdots \sigma \Gamma \cdot \epsilon_{0} G \Gamma$ and the unique $K$-linear map $N_{0} G \Gamma \rightarrow V_{1} G \Gamma$ which takes $x \rightarrow\langle x\rangle$ when $x$ is an element of the canonical basis of $N_{0} G \Gamma$ constructed in (3.5). Now continue this way inductively to construct $k_{i} \Gamma$. It is clear that $k_{0} k_{-1}=k_{0} \sigma=0$ and it will be similarly true that $k_{i} k_{i-1}=0$ as claimed.

Next observe that the complex $S_{n} G \Gamma$ with differential $\partial^{\prime \prime}$ is also the tensor product $\Lambda \otimes(V G \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes \Lambda$ as complexes (thinking of $\Lambda$ as having trivial differential and grading). Thus a repeated application of $[6$, Theorem V, 9.1 , p. 164] gives a natural homotopy

$$
h_{n} \Gamma: 1 \sim\left(1 \otimes(\sigma \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1\right) \cdot\left(1 \otimes\left(\epsilon_{0} G \Gamma\right)^{(n)} \otimes 1\right) .
$$

Now filter $S G \Gamma$ and $D G \Gamma$ by letting $F^{n} S G \Gamma$ denote $\sum_{m \leqslant n} S_{m} G \Gamma$ and $F^{n} D G \Gamma$ denote $\sum_{m \leqslant n} D_{m} G \Gamma$. Suppose we let $\rho \Gamma: D G \Gamma \rightarrow S G \Gamma$ be the map such that $\left.\rho \Gamma\right|_{D_{n} G \Gamma}$ is $1 \otimes(\sigma \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1$ followed by $S_{n} G \Gamma \xrightarrow{C} S G \Gamma$ and $\nu G \Gamma: S G \Gamma \rightarrow D G \Gamma$ the map such that $\left.\nu G \Gamma\right|_{s_{n} G \Gamma}$ is $1 \otimes(\epsilon G \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1$ followed by $D_{n} G \Gamma \xrightarrow{c} D G \Gamma$. It is clear that $\nu G \Gamma \cdot \rho \Gamma=1$. Let

$$
F^{0} h \Gamma=1: 1 \sim F^{0} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{0}{ }_{\nu} G \Gamma
$$

and suppose that natural maps $F^{0} h \Gamma, \ldots, F^{n-1} h \Gamma$ have been constructed so that $F^{i} h \Gamma: 1 \sim F^{i} \Gamma \Gamma \cdot F^{i} \nu G \Gamma$ and that $\left.F^{i} h \Gamma\right|_{F^{i-1,1, G \Gamma}}=F^{i-1} h \Gamma$. Also suppose that $F^{i} h \Gamma^{\prime} \cdot F^{\imath} \rho \Gamma=0$ for $i<n$. Since $\epsilon G \cdot k=0$ it can easily be seen that
$F^{n} \nu G \Gamma \cdot h_{n} \Gamma=0$. Similarly since $k \cdot \sigma=0$ it follows that $h_{n} \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma=0$. Now take $x \in F^{n} S G \Gamma$ and define $F^{n} h \Gamma(x)$ by writing $x=y+z$ where $y \in F^{n-1} S G \Gamma$ and $z \in S_{n} G \Gamma$. Then let

$$
F^{n} h \Gamma(x)=F^{n-1} h \Gamma(y)+h_{n} \Gamma(z)-F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \cdot F^{n} h \Gamma(x)+F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot \partial(x) \\
&= \partial \cdot F^{n-1} h \Gamma(y)+\partial \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z)-\partial \cdot F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&+F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot \partial(y)+F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}(z)+F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime \prime}(z) \\
&= \partial \cdot F^{n-1} h \Gamma(y)+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial(y)+\partial \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&-\left(1-F^{n-1} h \cdot \partial-F^{n-1} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{n-1} \nu G \Gamma\right) \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}(z)+h_{n} \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime \prime}(z)-F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma \cdot \partial^{n}(z) \\
&=\left(\partial \cdot F^{n-1} h \Gamma+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial\right)(y)+\left(\partial \cdot h_{n} \Gamma+h_{n} \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}\right)(z) \\
&-F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot \partial^{\prime \prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&-F^{n-1} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{n-1} \nu G \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z)+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}(z) \\
&-F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot\left(1-\partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma-\left(1 \otimes(\sigma \Gamma)^{(n)} \cdot(\epsilon G \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1\right)(z)\right. \\
&=\left(1-F^{n} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \nu G \Gamma\right)(y)+\left(1-1 \otimes(\sigma \Gamma)^{(n)} \cdot(\epsilon G \Gamma)^{(n)} \otimes 1\right)(z) \\
&-F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot \partial^{\prime \prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z)-F^{n-1} \rho \Gamma \cdot \partial \cdot F^{n} \nu G \Gamma \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}(z)-F^{n-1} \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime}(z)+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot \partial^{n} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma(z) \\
&+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \nu G \Gamma(z) \\
&=\left(1-F^{n} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{\left.n_{\nu} G \Gamma\right)(y+z)+F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot F^{n-1} \rho \Gamma \cdot \partial \cdot F^{n} \nu G \Gamma(z)}=\right. \\
&=\left(1-F^{n} \rho \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \nu G \Gamma\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x \in F^{n} D G \Gamma, x=y+z$ where $y \in F^{n-1} D G \Gamma$ and $z \in D_{n} G \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma(x)= & F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma(y)+F^{n} h \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma(y) \\
= & F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot F^{n-1} \rho \Gamma(y)+h_{n} \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma(z) \\
& -F^{n-1} h \Gamma \cdot \partial^{\prime} \cdot h_{n} \Gamma \cdot F^{n} \rho \Gamma(z)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently $\left.F^{n} h\right|_{F^{n-1} S G \Gamma}=F^{n-1} h$. From the latter fact it follows that $\left\{F^{n} h\right\}$ converges to a homotopy $h: 1 \sim \rho \Gamma \cdot \nu G \Gamma$ which is natural in $\Gamma$. This completes the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.8. This proof is essentially just a modification of the proof of Theorem 1 [7] with everything made natural.

Now let $C_{n}$ be the complex such that $C_{n} \Gamma=D_{n+1} \Gamma$ for $n \geqslant 0$ and $\partial C=-\partial D$. It is clear that $\nu G, \rho$ and $h$ have restrictions, which for convenience we will still denote by $\nu G, \rho$ and $h$ such that $\nu G: E G \rightarrow C G$,
$\rho: C G \rightarrow E G, \nu G \cdot \rho=1$ and $h: 1 \sim \rho \cdot \nu G$. Now, as noted above, a contraction of $C G \rightarrow J G \rightarrow 0$ will imply a contraction of $E G \rightarrow J G \rightarrow 0$. This is done in [I] abstractly but we repeat the proof here for completeness. First note that $J G \Gamma$ must be naturally isomorphic to the free $\Lambda$-bimodule on the underlying set of $\Gamma$ since both represent $\operatorname{Der}(G \Gamma,-)$ on the category of $\Lambda$-bimodules (see [3]). Hence we take $t_{-1}: J G \Gamma \rightarrow C_{0} G \Gamma$ to be the composite of that isomorphism with the $\Lambda$-bilinear map such that $\langle x\rangle \rightarrow 1 \otimes\langle x\rangle \otimes 1$. For $n \geqslant 0$ define $t_{n}\left(1 \otimes u_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1\right)$ for monomials $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n} \in G \Gamma$ by induction on the length of the monomial $u_{0}$ by

$$
t_{n}\left(1 \otimes 1 \otimes u_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1\right)-1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes u_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1
$$

and for $u_{0}=[x] u_{0}{ }^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{n}\left(1 \otimes u_{0} \otimes u_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1\right) & =\gamma(x) t_{n}\left(1 \otimes u_{0}^{\prime} \otimes u_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1\right) \\
& -1 \otimes[x] \otimes u_{0}^{\prime} \otimes u_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{n} \otimes 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and extending this to be $\Lambda$-bilinear. Then it is left as an exercise to show that this is a contracting homotopy which completes the proof of Theorem 3.4 and of Theorem 1.1 as well.
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