## Challenge: What's Wrong with this Proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Peter Freyd University of Pennsylvania pjf@upenn.edu

January 3, 2020

The fundamental theorem of algebra is a  $Q$  is a polynomial such that  $Q(0) = 1$ . pretty quick consequence of the following:

**Lemma:** If  $P$  is a non-constant onevariable polynomial with complex coefficients and if  $P(0) \neq 0$  then there's a complex number w such that

| P(w)| < | P(0)|.

One uses the immediate corollary that for any u such that  $P(u) \neq 0$  we can find w such that  $|P(w)| \leq |P(u)|$  (just use the lemma for the polynomial that sends z to  $P(u+z)$ and that's enough to imply that if P has a value closest to 0 then that value is 0. But first-semester (real) analysis allows us to find that value closest to 0 once we've proven that when z is far from 0 then so is  $P(z)$ . [1]

So what's wrong with this proof of the lemma: start by rewriting  $P(z)$  as  $P(0) + z<sup>m</sup> c Q(z)$  where m is a positive integer, c a complex number (necessarily not 0) and Chose an s so that

$$
s^m = -P(0)/c. \quad \text{[2]}
$$

Then for any non-negative real number  $r$ :

$$
P(rs) = P(0) + rmsmcQ(rs) =
$$

$$
P(0) (1 - rmQ(rs)).
$$

What we need, therefore, is to show that for sufficiently small but positive  $r$ :

$$
\left|1 - r^m \, Q(rs)\right| \, < \, 1.
$$

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Put another way, we need  $r^m Q(rs)$  to be in the open disk of radius 1 centered at 1. But for  $0 < r < 1$  if  $Q(rs)$  is in that open convex set with 0 on its boundary—then so is  $r^m Q(rs)$ and the continuity of Q says that there's a real  $\delta > 0$  such that  $Q(rs)$  is in that disk if  $|rs| < \delta$ . Hence  $|P(rs)| < |P(0)|$  whenever r is positive but less than both 1 and  $\delta/|s|$ .

How could such an easy proof for such a big theorem be so unknown? What's wrong?

example →

## Available at http://www.math.upenn.edu/~pjf/FTA.pdf And check out http://www.math.upenn.edu/~pjf/Hamilton.pdf

 $\int$ 

 $\int$ 

<sup>[2]</sup> Any complex number is of the form  $r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$ where  $r$  and  $\theta$  are real numbers and  $r$  is non-negative. High-school trigonometry suffices to show that the product of  $\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha$  and  $\cos \beta + i \sin \beta$  is  $\cos(\alpha + \beta) + i \sin(\alpha + \beta)$ . An  $m<sup>th</sup>$  root of  $r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$  is thus constructable as An *m* root of  $r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$ .<br>  $\sqrt[m]{r} (\cos(\theta/m) + i \sin(\theta/m))$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[1]</sup> If the degree of P is n and its leading coefficient is C then  $|z^{-n}P(z)|$  is close to  $|C|$  for large z and that says there's a real K such that  $|z| > K$  implies  $|P(z)| > \frac{1}{2}|z^n C|$ . (It's worth noting that this is the only place where we will use that  $P$  is a polynomial; the proof of the lemma—about to comeappears to work for any convergent complex power series.)

