

Strange Fact

Peter Freyd

pjf@upenn.edu

Given a set let M be a permutation with just two orbits one of which is a fixed point. Let A be a transitive permutation (i.e. a permutation with just a single orbit) that commutes with $M^i A M^{-i}$ each i .

Then the set is finite and the number of its elements is a prime.

If we drop the condition that A be transitive but retain just that it doesn't fix the fixed point of M , then the order of the set is still finite but it needn't be prime. A , is, however, fixed-point-free and of prime order and the order of the set has to be a prime power.

Of course one way to obtain such a weird-looking result is to impose a condition that can't be satisfied on any set. So note that a converse holds: if a finite set is of prime-power order we may obtain examples of M and A by imposing a field structure on the set, taking $M(x) = rx$ where r is a primitive element and $A(x) = 1+x$.

Given a set with permutations M and A with the properties described above we will obtain the result by doing no less than constructing a field structure on the set. We'll do so by "reversing" the example. Take 0 to be the fixed point of M and take 1 to be $A(0)$. We'll use the letter r to denote $M(1)$ and impose a multiplicative structure so that $0x = 0$ and $r^i x = M^i(x)$ (making it, therefore, a cyclic group to which an annihilator element has been adjoined).

Define addition by $0 + y = y$ and for $x \neq 0$ define $x + y = x(A(x^{-1}y))$. To see that this is a field, note first that for $x \neq 0$ we have $x + 0 = x(A(x^{-1}0)) = x(A(0)) = x1 = x$. Distributivity requires no conditions on A : using that multiplication is commu-

tative we need show only that $x(y + z) = (xy) + (xz)$; if either x or y is zero then it's immediate; otherwise $x(y+z) = x(y(A(y^{-1}z)))$ and $(xy) + (xz) = (xy)(A((xy)^{-1}(xz)))$ easily checked to be equal. For subtraction define -1 as $A^{-1}(0)$ and verify that $1 + (-1) = 1(A(1^{-1}(-1))) = A(A^{-1}(0)) = 0$. Distributivity then yields

$$x + x(-1) = x(1 + (-1)) = x0 = 0.$$

We dispatch both the commutativity of addition and its associativity by proving $x + (y + z) = y + (x + z)$. (Commutativity can then be obtained by taking $z = 0$ and associativity easily follows: $x + (y + z) = x + (z + y) = z + (x + y) = (x + y) + z$.)

The equation $x + (y + z) = y + (x + z)$ is immediate if either x or y is zero. Otherwise we let $u = x^{-1}y$ and $v = x^{-1}z$; it clearly suffices to prove $1 + (u + v) = u + (1 + v)$ (multiply by x and use distributivity). This last equation translates to $A(uA(u^{-1}v)) = u(A(u^{-1}(A(v))))$. Since $u \neq 0$ and M is transitive on the complement of $\{0\}$, there is a natural number i such that $uw = M^i(w)$ for all w . Hence the last equation rewrites to $A(M^i(A(M^{-i}(v)))) = M^i(A(M^{-i}(A(v))))$, that is, it is the condition that A commutes with $M^i A M^{-i}$.

The multiplicative group of a field can not be infinite cyclic (it would have only one $\sqrt{1}$, hence its characteristic would be two and with no finite subfields other than $\{0, 1\}$; but $1+r$ would have to be a power of r , quite enough therefore, for the subfield it generates to be finite and with more than two elements). The additive group of a finite field is, of course, of prime-power order and when adding 1 yields a transitive permutation it has to be cyclic.

f