All involutive solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation

Gandalf Lechner

joint work with Ulrich Pennig and Simon Wood

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

V: finite-dimensional vector space, $R : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ linear.

The YBE is the algebraic equation

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

in $\mathrm{End}(V \otimes V \otimes V)$.

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

V: finite-dimensional vector space, $R : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ linear.

The YBE is the algebraic equation

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

in $\mathrm{End}(V \otimes V \otimes V)$.

Graphical representation:



Everybody likes the YBE

The YBE appears in a remarkable number of fields:

- Statistical mechanics
- Quantum mechanics
- Integrable QFT
- Braid groups
- Knot theory
- Quantum groups
- Subfactors
- Quantum information theory
- Electric networks
- ...

Everybody likes the YBE

The YBE appears in a remarkable number of fields:

- Statistical mechanics
- Quantum mechanics
- Integrable QFT
- Braid groups
- Knot theory
- Quantum groups
- Subfactors
- Quantum information theory
- Electric networks
- ...

Independent of the field of application, one is often interested in the **solutions** to the YBE.

Solving the YBE

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

After fixing a basis of V, the YBE amounts to $(\dim V)^6$ cubic equations for $(\dim V)^4$ unknowns.

Solving the YBE

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

After fixing a basis of V, the YBE amounts to $(\dim V)^6$ cubic equations for $(\dim V)^4$ unknowns.

- completely solved in $\dim V = 2$ with computer algebra [Hietarinta 1992]
- but this did not work already for $\dim V = 3$ [Hietarinta 1993]

Solving the YBE

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

After fixing a basis of V, the YBE amounts to $(\dim V)^6$ cubic equations for $(\dim V)^4$ unknowns.

- completely solved in $\dim V = 2$ with computer algebra [Hietarinta 1992]
- but this did not work already for $\dim V = 3$ [Hietarinta 1993]
- Quantum groups [Drinfeld 86, Jimbo 86, ...] give many solutions of the YBE, but not a complete solution theory.

Solving the YBE

$$(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes R)$$

After fixing a basis of V, the YBE amounts to $(\dim V)^6$ cubic equations for $(\dim V)^4$ unknowns.

- completely solved in $\dim V = 2$ with computer algebra [Hietarinta 1992]
- but this did not work already for $\dim V = 3$ [Hietarinta 1993]
- Quantum groups [Drinfeld 86, Jimbo 86, ...] give many solutions of the YBE, but not a complete solution theory.
- **Here:** Consider solutions of YBE up to equivalence relation suggested by group theory and integrable AQFT [Alazzawi, GL 2017] .

The Yang-Baxter equation and the symmetric groups

Definition (for purpose of this talk)

V : finite-dim. Hilbert space. An **R-matrix** is a unitary $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ that solves the YBE and satisfies $R^2 = 1$.

The Yang-Baxter equation and the symmetric groups

Definition (for purpose of this talk)

V : finite-dim. Hilbert space. An **R-matrix** is a unitary $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ that solves the YBE and satisfies $R^2 = 1$.

Such involutive R's appear in

- elastic two-body S-matrices in integrable QFT
- symmetries of categories of vector spaces [Lyubashenko 1987]
- representations of Thompson's group $\mathcal{V}$ [Jones 2016]
- constructions of certain non-commutative spaces [Dubois-Violette, Landi 2017]
- ...

The Yang-Baxter equation and the symmetric groups

Definition (for purpose of this talk)

V : finite-dim. Hilbert space. An **R-matrix** is a unitary $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ that solves the YBE and satisfies $R^2 = 1$.

The Yang-Baxter equation and the symmetric groups

Definition (for purpose of this talk)

V : finite-dim. Hilbert space. An **R-matrix** is a unitary $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ that solves the YBE and satisfies $R^2 = 1$.

- $\mathcal{R}_0 :=$ set of all R-matrices (with any V)

The Yang-Baxter equation and the symmetric groups

Definition (for purpose of this talk)

V : finite-dim. Hilbert space. An **R-matrix** is a unitary $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ that solves the YBE and satisfies $R^2 = 1$.

- $\mathcal{R}_0$:= set of all R-matrices (with any V)
- S_n := symmetric group of n letters. Generators σ_i, $i = 1, .., n-1$ satisfy

$$\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i$$
$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i, \quad |i-j| > 1$$
$$\sigma_i^2 = e.$$

- **Any $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$ gives unitary rep. $\rho_R^{(n)}$ of S_n on $V^{\otimes n}$** via

$$\rho_R^{(n)}(\sigma_i) := \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes R \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes(n-i-1)}$$



R
1 2 ... i i+1 ... n
=: $R_i : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n}$


R
1 2 ... i i+1 ... n
=: $R_i : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n}$


R
R
=
R
R
i i+1 j j+1
i i+1 j j+1
$R_i R_j = R_j R_i \quad |i-j|>1$


R
1 2 ... i i+1 ... n
=: $R_i : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n}$


=
YBE
$R_i R_{i+1} R_i = R_{i+1} R_i R_{i+1}$


R
1 2 ... i i+1 ... n
=: $R_i : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n}$


=
$R^2 = 1$

Equivalent R-matrices

Definition

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$ are called equivalent, written

$$R \sim S,$$

if

$$\rho_R^{(n)} \cong \rho_S^{(n)} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

(unitary equivalence of S_n-representations)

Equivalent R-matrices

Definition

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$ are called equivalent, written

$$R \sim S,$$

if

$$\rho_R^{(n)} \cong \rho_S^{(n)} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(unitary equivalence of S_n-representations)

- **Example:** $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(V)$, $U : V \to V$ unitary. Then

$$R \sim (U \otimes U)R(U^{-1} \otimes U^{-1})$$

But this does not exhaust the equivalence class of $[R]$.

Equivalent R-matrices

Definition

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$ are called equivalent, written

$$R \sim S,$$

if

$$\rho_R^{(n)} \cong \rho_S^{(n)} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(unitary equivalence of S_n-representations)

- **Example:** $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(V)$, $U : V \to V$ unitary. Then

  $$R \sim (U \otimes U)R(U^{-1} \otimes U^{-1})$$

  But this does not exhaust the equivalence class of $[R]$.
- **Aim:** Determine all R-matrices up to equivalence $\sim$.

R-matrices and Young diagrams

- Recall Young diagrams = integer partitions

 $= 3 + 2 + 1$,  $= 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$,  $= 5 + 2 + 2$ $\quad \dots$

- $\mathbb{Y}$: Set of all Young diagrams.

R-matrices and Young diagrams

- Recall Young diagrams = integer partitions

 $= 3 + 2 + 1$,  $= 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$,  $= 5 + 2 + 2 \quad \ldots$

- $\mathbb{Y}$: Set of all Young diagrams.

Theorem I: Structure of $\mathcal{R}_0/\sim$

- Equivalence classes of R-matrices are in $1:1$ correspondence with pairs of Young diagrams:

$$\mathcal{R}_0/\sim \;\cong\; (\mathbb{Y} \times \mathbb{Y}) \backslash \{(\varnothing, \varnothing)\}$$

- Dimension = total number of boxes in the two diagrams.
- To each pair (Y, Y') of diagrams, an explicit normal form R-matrix $R_{Y,Y'} \in \mathcal{R}_0$ can be constructed.

Partial traces of R-matrices

- Recall the partial trace $\mathrm{ptr}: \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{ptr}(A \otimes B) := \mathrm{Tr}(A) \cdot B\,.$$

Partial traces of R-matrices

- Recall the partial trace $\mathrm{ptr} : \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{ptr}(A \otimes B) := \mathrm{Tr}(A) \cdot B\,.$$

Theorem II: Characterization of $\sim$

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

- $R \sim S \iff \mathrm{ptr}\, R \cong \mathrm{ptr}\, S$ (unitary equivalence of partial traces).
- The eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}\, R$ are non-zero integers determining the Young diagrams of R.

Partial traces of R-matrices

- Recall the partial trace $\mathrm{ptr} : \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{ptr}(A \otimes B) := \mathrm{Tr}(A) \cdot B\,.$$

Theorem II: Characterization of $\sim$

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

- $R \sim S \iff \mathrm{ptr}\, R \cong \mathrm{ptr}\, S$ (unitary equivalence of partial traces).
- The eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}\, R$ are non-zero integers determining the Young diagrams of R.

Example: $R =$ 

Partial traces of R-matrices

- Recall the partial trace $\mathrm{ptr} : \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{ptr}(A \otimes B) := \mathrm{Tr}(A) \cdot B\,.$$

Theorem II: Characterization of $\sim$

$R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

- $R \sim S \iff \mathrm{ptr}\,R \cong \mathrm{ptr}\,S$ (unitary equivalence of partial traces).
- The eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}\,R$ are non-zero integers determining the Young diagrams of R.

Example: $R =$ 

eigenvalue	multiplicity
$+4$	$4{\times}1$
$+2$	$2{\times}2$
-3	$3{\times}2$

Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

- S_∞ := inductive lim. of $S_n \subset S_{n+1} \subset \ldots$ = **infinite** symmetric grp

Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

- S_∞ := inductive lim. of $S_n \subset S_{n+1} \subset \ldots$ = **infinite** symmetric grp
- S_∞ is a "wild" group. Representation theory [Borodin, Kerov, Okounkov, Olshanski, Thoma, Vershik, ...]

Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

- $S_\infty :=$ inductive lim. of $S_n \subset S_{n+1} \subset \dots =$ **infinite** symmetric grp
- S_∞ is a "wild" group. Representation theory [Borodin, Kerov, Okounkov, Olshanski, Thoma, Vershik, ...]
- The $\rho_R^{(n)}$ define a hom. into infinite tensor product

$$\rho_R : S_\infty \to \bigotimes_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{End} V$$

Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

- $S_\infty :=$ inductive lim. of $S_n \subset S_{n+1} \subset \ldots$ = **infinite** symmetric grp
- S_∞ is a “wild” group. Representation theory [Borodin, Kerov, Okounkov, Olshanski, Thoma, Vershik, ...]
- The $\rho_R^{(n)}$ define a hom. into infinite tensor product

$$\rho_R : S_\infty \to \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} \operatorname{End} V$$

- Normalized trace on tensor products ($d = \dim V$):

$$\tau = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_V}{d} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_V}{d} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_V}{d} \otimes \ldots$$

- Given $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$,

$$\boldsymbol{\chi_R := \tau \circ \rho_R} : S_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a (normalized) character of S_∞ (“Yang-Baxter character”).

Special property of Yang-Baxter characters: χ_R "factorizes": For $\sigma, \sigma' \in S_\infty$ with disjoint supports,

$$\chi_R(\sigma\sigma') = \chi_R(\sigma) \cdot \chi_R(\sigma')\,.$$

Special property of Yang-Baxter characters: χ_R "factorizes": For $\sigma, \sigma' \in S_\infty$ with disjoint supports,

$$\chi_R(\sigma\sigma') = \chi_R(\sigma) \cdot \chi_R(\sigma') .$$

Theorem [Thoma 1964]

- A character χ of S_∞ is extremal if and only if it factorizes.
- $\mathbb{T}$:= all real sequences $\{\alpha_i\}_i$, $\{\beta_i\}_i$ such that
  - $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} \geq 0$, $\beta_i \geq \beta_{i+1} \geq 0$
  - $\sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \leq 1$

  Extremal characters are in $1:1$ correspondence with $\mathbb{T}$ via

$$\chi(n\text{-cycle}) = \sum_i \alpha_i^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_i \beta_i^n , \qquad n \geq 2.$$

Special property of Yang-Baxter characters: χ_R "factorizes": For $\sigma, \sigma' \in S_\infty$ with disjoint supports,

$$\chi_R(\sigma\sigma') = \chi_R(\sigma) \cdot \chi_R(\sigma') .$$

Theorem [Thoma 1964]

- A character χ of S_∞ is extremal if and only if it factorizes.
- $\mathbb{T}$:= all real sequences $\{\alpha_i\}_i$, $\{\beta_i\}_i$ such that
  - $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} \geq 0$, $\beta_i \geq \beta_{i+1} \geq 0$
  - $\sum_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i) \leq 1$

  Extremal characters are in 1 : 1 correspondence with $\mathbb{T}$ via

$$\chi(n\text{-cycle}) = \sum_i \alpha_i^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_i \beta_i^n, \qquad n \geq 2.$$

- Each R defines a point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T}$. The α_i, β_i are the good parameters to characterize R.

Which α, β are realized by Yang-Baxter characters?

Which α, β are realized by Yang-Baxter characters?

Theorem III: Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

Thoma parameters $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T}$ are given by a Yang-Baxter character χ_R, $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$, if and only if

(1) only finitely many α_i, β_i are non-zero,

(2) $\sum_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, and

(3) all α_i, β_i are rational.

Which α, β are realized by Yang-Baxter characters?

Theorem III: Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

Thoma parameters $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T}$ are given by a Yang-Baxter character χ_R, $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$, if and only if

(1) only finitely many α_i, β_i are non-zero,

(2) $\sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, and

(3) all α_i, β_i are rational.

- the proof of (1) and (2) relies on a result of [Wassermann 1981]
- the proof of (3) is more difficult (subfactors)

Which α, β are realized by Yang-Baxter characters?

Theorem III: Yang-Baxter characters of S_∞

Thoma parameters $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T}$ are given by a Yang-Baxter character χ_R, $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$, if and only if

(1) only finitely many α_i, β_i are non-zero,

(2) $\sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, and

(3) all α_i, β_i are rational.

- the proof of (1) and (2) relies on a result of [Wassermann 1981]
- the proof of (3) is more difficult (subfactors)

Example: $B, F \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_B = \beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_F = \frac{1}{B+F}$$

This YB-character appears in superselection theory [Doplicher, Haag, Roberts 1971]

Yang-Baxter subfactors

$R \in \mathcal{R}_0$

- Normalized trace τ = state on the *-algebra generated by all R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- In GNS representation:

$$\mathcal{M}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}''$$

- As χ_R is extremal, $\mathcal{M}_R$ is a factor (II_1 unless $R = \pm 1$).

Yang-Baxter subfactors

$R \in \mathcal{R}_0$

- Normalized trace τ = state on the *-algebra generated by all R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- In GNS representation:

$$\mathcal{M}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) \,:\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}''$$

- As χ_R is extremal, $\mathcal{M}_R$ is a factor (II_1 unless $R = \pm 1$).
- **Subgroup**

$$S_\infty^{>} := \{\sigma \in S_\infty \,:\, \sigma(1) = 1\} \subset S_\infty$$

Yang-Baxter subfactors

$R \in \mathcal{R}_0$

- Normalized trace τ = state on the *-algebra generated by all R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- In GNS representation:

$$\mathcal{M}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) \,:\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}''$$

- As χ_R is extremal, $\mathcal{M}_R$ is a factor (II_1 unless $R = \pm 1$).
- **Subgroup**

$$S_\infty^> := \{\sigma \in S_\infty \,:\, \sigma(1) = 1\} \subset S_\infty$$

  generates **subfactor**

$$\mathcal{N}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty^>))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) \,:\, i \geq 2\}'' \subset \mathcal{M}_R\,.$$

Yang-Baxter subfactors

$R \in \mathcal{R}_0$

- Normalized trace τ = state on the *-algebra generated by all R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- In GNS representation:

$$\mathcal{M}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) \,:\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}''$$

- As χ_R is extremal, $\mathcal{M}_R$ is a factor (II_1 unless $R = \pm 1$).
- **Subgroup**

$$S_\infty^> := \{\sigma \in S_\infty \,:\, \sigma(1) = 1\} \subset S_\infty$$

  generates **subfactor**

$$\mathcal{N}_R := \pi_\tau(\rho_R(S_\infty^>))'' = \{\pi_\tau(R_i) \,:\, i \geq 2\}'' \subset \mathcal{M}_R\,.$$

- $\mathcal{N}_R' \cap \mathcal{M}_R = \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $R \in \{\pm 1, \pm F\}$
  [Gohm-Köstler 2010, Yamashita 2012]

The τ-preserving conditional expectation E of $\mathcal{N}_R' \cap \mathcal{M}_R \subset \mathcal{M}_R$ can be computed.

Essential results:

The τ-preserving conditional expectation E of $\mathcal{N}_R' \cap \mathcal{M}_R \subset \mathcal{M}_R$ can be computed.

Essential results:

(1) $E(\pi_\tau(R_1)) = \mathrm{ptr}(R) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots$

The τ-preserving conditional expectation E of $\mathcal{N}_R' \cap \mathcal{M}_R \subset \mathcal{M}_R$ can be computed.

Essential results:

(1) $E(\pi_\tau(R_1)) = \mathrm{ptr}(R) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots$

(2) Using results from [Gohm-Köstler 2010],

$$\chi_R(n\text{-cycle}) = \tau(\mathrm{ptr}(R)^{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2.$$



The τ-preserving conditional expectation E of $\mathcal{N}_R' \cap \mathcal{M}_R \subset \mathcal{M}_R$ can be computed.

Essential results:

(1) $E(\pi_\tau(R_1)) = \operatorname{ptr}(R) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots$

(2) Using results from [Gohm-Köstler 2010],

$$\chi_R(n\text{-cycle}) = \tau(\operatorname{ptr}(R)^{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2.$$



- With these results, one can prove Thm. II and Thm. III

Normal form R-matrices

- **So far:** Given *R*, can compute α_i, β_i as eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}(R)/d$.

Normal form R-matrices

- **So far:** Given R, can compute α_i, β_i as eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}(R)/d$.
- **Now:** Given finitely many rational $0 \leq \alpha_i, \beta_i \leq 1$ such that $\sum_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, construct R with these parameters.

Normal form R-matrices

- **So far:** Given R, can compute α_i, β_i as eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ptr}(R)/d$.
- **Now:** Given finitely many rational $0 \le \alpha_i, \beta_i \le 1$ such that $\sum_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, construct R with these parameters.
- **Plan:** Build R-matrix from simple blocks by "direct sum"

Setting: V, W Hilbert spaces, $X \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$, $Y \in \mathrm{End}(W \otimes W)$. Define

$$X \boxplus Y \in \mathrm{End}((V \oplus W) \otimes (V \oplus W))$$

Setting: V, W Hilbert spaces, $X \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$, $Y \in \mathrm{End}(W \otimes W)$. Define

$$X \boxplus Y \in \mathrm{End}((V \oplus W) \otimes (V \oplus W))$$

as

$$X \boxplus Y = X \oplus Y \oplus F \quad \text{on}$$

$$(V \oplus W) \otimes (V \oplus W) = (V \otimes V) \oplus (W \otimes W) \oplus ((V \otimes W) \oplus (W \otimes V)).$$

[Lyubashenko 87, Gurevich 91, Hietarinta 93]

Setting: V, W Hilbert spaces, $X \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$, $Y \in \mathrm{End}(W \otimes W)$. Define

$$X \boxplus Y \in \mathrm{End}((V \oplus W) \otimes (V \oplus W))$$

as

$$X \boxplus Y = X \oplus Y \oplus F \quad \text{on}$$

$$(V \oplus W) \otimes (V \oplus W) = (V \otimes V) \oplus (W \otimes W) \oplus ((V \otimes W) \oplus (W \otimes V)).$$

[Lyubashenko 87, Gurevich 91, Hietarinta 93]

Proposition

- $\boxplus$ is commutative and associative.
- $\boxplus$ preserves the YBE: $R, S \in \mathcal{R}_0 \Rightarrow R \boxplus S \in \mathcal{R}_0$.
- $\mathrm{ptr}(R \boxplus S) = \mathrm{ptr}\, R \oplus \mathrm{ptr}\, S$.

Let $d_1^+, \ldots, d_n^+, d_1^-, \ldots, d_m^- \in \mathbb{N}$. **Normal form R-matrix**

$$N := 1_{d_1^+} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus 1_{d_n^+} \boxplus (-1_{d_1^-}) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus (-1_{d_m^-}).$$

Let $d_1^+, \ldots, d_n^+, d_1^-, \ldots, d_m^- \in \mathbb{N}$. **Normal form R-matrix**

$$N := 1_{d_1^+} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus 1_{d_n^+} \boxplus (-1_{d_1^-}) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus (-1_{d_m^-}).$$

- Let $d := d_1^+ + \ldots + d_n^+ + d_1^- + \ldots + d_m^-$. Then χ_N has Thoma parameters

$$\alpha_i = \frac{d_i^+}{d}, \qquad \beta_j = \frac{d_j^-}{d}.$$

Let $d_1^+, \ldots, d_n^+, d_1^-, \ldots, d_m^- \in \mathbb{N}$. **Normal form R-matrix**

$$N := 1_{d_1^+} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus 1_{d_n^+} \boxplus (-1_{d_1^-}) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus (-1_{d_m^-}).$$

- Let $d := d_1^+ + \ldots + d_n^+ + d_1^- + \ldots + d_m^-$. Then χ_N has Thoma parameters

$$\alpha_i = \frac{d_i^+}{d}, \qquad \beta_j = \frac{d_j^-}{d}.$$

- This leads to the proof of Thm. I.

Let $d_1^+, \ldots, d_n^+, d_1^-, \ldots, d_m^- \in \mathbb{N}$. **Normal form R-matrix**

$$N := 1_{d_1^+} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus 1_{d_n^+} \boxplus (-1_{d_1^-}) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus (-1_{d_m^-}).$$

- Let $d := d_1^+ + \ldots + d_n^+ + d_1^- + \ldots + d_m^-$. Then χ_N has Thoma parameters

$$\alpha_i = \frac{d_i^+}{d}, \qquad \beta_j = \frac{d_j^-}{d}.$$

- This leads to the proof of Thm. I.

Example: $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathbb{C}^2)/\sim$ has 5 elements:

$\begin{pmatrix} \pm & & & \\ & \pm & & \\ & & \pm & \\ & & & \pm \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \pm & & & \\ & & \pm & \\ & \pm & & \\ & & & \pm \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} + & & & \\ & & + & \\ & + & & \\ & & & - \end{pmatrix}$
$(\square\square, \varnothing), (\varnothing, \square\square)$	$(\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}, \varnothing), (\varnothing, \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix})$	$(\square, \square)$
$\alpha_1 = 1, \beta_1 = 1$	$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$	$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$

Repitition

Involutive R-matrices are governed by the following rules:

(~) There is a natural equivalence in terms of S_∞-representations.

(I) $\mathcal{R}_0/\sim \cong (\mathbb{Y} \times \mathbb{Y}) \setminus \{(\varnothing, \varnothing)\}$.

(II) $R \sim S \iff \operatorname{ptr} R \cong \operatorname{ptr} S$.

(III) Thoma parameters (α, β) of Yang-Baxter characters are characterized by:

(1) only finitely many α_i, β_i are non-zero,
(2) $\sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$, and
(3) all α_i, β_i are rational.

(N) In each equivalence class, one can construct an explicit representative by using $\boxplus$.

Outlook

The following generalizations are on our agenda:

- Introduce a **spectral parameter** $\longrightarrow$ QFT!
- **Drop the assumption** $R^2 = 1 \longrightarrow$ braid groups!