

Principal Bundles over Valued Fields

Laurent Moret-Bailly

IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1

The Arithmetic of Fields,
Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach
June 2013

Joint work with

Ofer Gabber
(CNRS, IHES)

and

Philippe Gille
(CNRS)

Summary

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Principal bundles and torsors
- 3 The main result
- 4 Admissible valued fields
- 5 The smooth case and the general strategy

Introduction

We start with:

- a topological field k ,
- an algebraic k -group G ,
- a k -variety Y , and
- a G -torsor (principal G -bundle) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ over Y .

Introduction

Taking rational points, we get

- a topological group $G(k)$,
- a continuous free action of $G(k)$ on the space $X(k)$,
- a continuous map $X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$, invariant for this action.

This map is not surjective in general.

We will consider the following questions, in the case of a **henselian valued field**:

- What does the image I of this map look like, as a subspace of $Y(k)$?
- Is the induced map $X(k) \rightarrow I$ a principal $G(k)$ -bundle?

Remark: the answers are easy and well known in characteristic zero (and more generally if G is smooth).

Principal bundles in topology

Let G be a topological group. A (left) **G -bundle** consists of the following data:

- a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$,
- a (left) action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ commuting with f (i.e. $f(g.x) = f(x)$).

A G -bundle is **trivial** if it is isomorphic (in the obvious sense) to $G \times Y \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} Y$ with the action of G on itself by left translation.

It is **principal** if it is locally trivial (on Y), in the obvious sense.

Principal bundles in algebraic geometry: torsors

Let k be a field, G an algebraic group over k , and Y a k -variety.

A (left) G -bundle over Y consists of:

- a k -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$,
- a (left) action of G on X , compatible with f ,

We call it a (left) G -torsor if it is **locally trivial for the fppf (or flat) topology**, i.e. there is a k -morphism $h : Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that:

- h is **flat and surjective**,
- h **trivializes f** , i.e. the pullback G -bundle $X \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is trivial.

A simple example

Let n be a positive integer. Consider the n -th power map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \\ x & \longmapsto & x^n. \end{array}$$

This is a μ_n -torsor (with the obvious action of $\mu_n = \ker(f)$ on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$).

If n is invertible in k , then f is even locally trivial for the **étale topology**, i.e. trivialized by an étale surjective map (e.g. f itself).

More generally, if G is a **smooth** k -group, any G -torsor $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism, hence locally trivial for the étale topology. This holds in particular if $\text{char}(k) = 0$.

But in our example, if $n = \text{char}(k) > 0$, then f is just the Frobenius map on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$.

Characterization of torsors

A G -bundle $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in topology (resp. in algebraic geometry) is a G -torsor if and only if:

- it is “**formally principal**” (or a “pseudo-torsor”), i.e. the natural morphism

$$\begin{aligned} G \times X &\longrightarrow X \times_Y X \\ (g, x) &\longmapsto (g \cdot x, x) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism,

- f **has local sections** on Y , in the obvious sense (resp. in the flat topology sense).

Characterization of torsors

The “pseudo-torsor” property

$$G \times X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_Y X$$

is completely “categorical”, and **is preserved by any functor on k -varieties that commutes with fiber products**, such as the functor of **rational points** $R : Z \mapsto Z(k)$.

It follows that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -torsor over k , then the induced map of **sets** (or discrete spaces)

$$R(f) : X(k) \longrightarrow Y(k)$$

(which may not be surjective) **induces a principal $G(k)$ -bundle over its image**.

Torsors over topological fields

From now assume that k is a **topological field**, e.g. a valued field.

For every k -variety Z , the set $Z(k)$ has a **natural topology**. The resulting topological space will be denoted by Z_{top} (or $Z(k)_{\text{top}}$).

In particular, for a G -torsor $f : X \rightarrow Y$:

- G_{top} is a topological group, and
- $f_{\text{top}} : X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{top}}$ is a G_{top} -bundle, in fact automatically a pseudo-torsor.

Torsors over topological fields

Example of the squaring map:

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \\ x &\longmapsto x^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = \mathbb{R}$, the image of f_{top} is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (open and closed in \mathbb{R}^\times), and f_{top} induces a trivial $\{\pm 1\}$ -bundle over this image.

If $k = \mathbb{C}$, then f_{top} is surjective and induces a nontrivial principal $\{\pm 1\}$ -bundle over \mathbb{C}^\times .

If $k = \mathbb{F}_2((t))$, then f_{top} is a homeomorphism onto its image, which is closed in k^\times .

Torsors over topological fields

Back to a general G -torsor $f : X \rightarrow Y$ over a topological field k :

We can factor $f_{\text{top}} : X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{top}}$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{top}}/G_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}}) & \longrightarrow & Y_{\text{top}} \\ & & \text{quotient map} & & \text{continuous} & & \text{topological} \\ & & \text{(open)} & & \text{bijection} & & \text{embedding} \end{array}$$

which gives rise to natural questions:

Torsors over topological fields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{top}}/G_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}}) & \longrightarrow & Y_{\text{top}} \\ & & \text{quotient} & & \text{bijection} & & \text{embedding} \end{array}$$

- 1 Is the **image of f_{top}** closed (open, locally closed) in Y_{top} ?
- 2 Is the **middle bijection** a homeomorphism? (In other words, is f_{top} a strict map?)
- 3 Is $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow X_{\text{top}}/G_{\text{top}}$ a principal G_{top} -bundle?
Equivalently, does this map have continuous local sections everywhere?

Note that a positive answer to **both Questions 2 and 3** is equivalent to a positive answer to

- 4 Is $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ a principal G_{top} -bundle?

The main result

Definition

A valued field (K, v) is **admissible** if

- (K, v) is henselian;
- the completion \widehat{K} of K is a separable extension of K .

Main Theorem

Let (K, v) be an admissible valued field, G an algebraic K -group, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a G -torsor. Then:

- 1 $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is locally closed in Y_{top} .
- 2 The induced map $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is a principal G_{top} -bundle.

Remark. In some cases, we can say more about $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$:

- it is open and closed in Y_{top} if G is smooth, or if K is perfect;
- it is closed in Y_{top} if G_{red}° is smooth, or if G is commutative.

The case of homogeneous spaces

As an example, we can take for X an **algebraic group** and for G a subgroup of X , and consider $f : X \rightarrow Y := X/G$.

Then the image of f_{top} is the orbit $X_{\text{top}} \cdot y$ (y =origin of Y). The theorem says that

- this orbit is locally closed in Y_{top} , and
- the induced map $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow X_{\text{top}} \cdot y$ is a principal G_{top} -bundle (in particular, $X_{\text{top}}/G_{\text{top}} \rightarrow X_{\text{top}} \cdot y$ is a homeomorphism).

When K is a **local field**, this is due to Bernstein and Zelevinsky (1976).

An example of a non-closed orbit

Assume $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. Let $S = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ be the affine group in dimension 1, acting on $X = \mathbb{A}_K^1$ transitively “via Frobenius on S ”:

$$\begin{aligned} S \times \mathbb{A}^1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \\ ((x, y), u) &\longmapsto (x, y).u := x^p + y^p u \end{aligned}$$

For $u \in K$, consider the orbit morphism

$$f_u : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \quad s \mapsto s.u.$$

This is a torsor under the stabilizer S_u of u .

The image of $f_{u, \text{top}}$ is the orbit $S(K).u = K^p + (K^\times)^p u \subset K$. In particular:

- if $u \in K^p$, the orbit is K^p , which is closed in K if K is admissible;
- for any choice of u , the orbit has 0 in its closure (consider the action of \mathbb{G}_m).

Hence, if $u \notin K^p$, then $\text{Im}(f_{u, \text{top}})$ is not closed in K .

Notation and conventions

- R : a valuation ring,
- $K = \text{Frac}(R)$,
- v : the valuation,
- \widehat{K} : completion of K ,
- K -variety = K -scheme of finite type,
- algebraic K -group = K -group scheme of finite type,
- R (or (K, v)) is **admissible** if R is henselian and the extension \widehat{K}/K is separable.

Properties of admissible valued fields

Assume (K, v) is admissible. Then:

- K is algebraically closed in \widehat{K} .
- If L is a finite extension of K , then:
 - ▶ L is admissible (for the unique extension of v),
 - ▶ as a topological K -vector space, L is free (isomorphic to $K^{[L:K]}$),
 - ▶ $\widehat{K} \otimes_K L \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{L}$.
- If $\text{char}(K) > 0$, the Frobenius map $K \rightarrow K$ is a closed topological embedding.
- R has the strong approximation property (à la Greenberg).

Admissible valuations: topological properties of morphisms

Proposition 1

Assume (K, v) is admissible, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of K -varieties. Consider the induced continuous map $f_{\text{top}} : X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{top}}$.

- 1 “Implicit function theorem”: If f is *étale*, then f_{top} is a *local homeomorphism*.
- 2 If f is *smooth*, then f_{top} *has local sections at each point of X_{top}* . (In particular, it is an open map).
- 3 “Continuity of roots”: If f is *finite*, then f_{top} is a *closed map* (hence *proper*, since it has finite fibers).

Warning! If f is proper, f_{top} is **not a closed map** in general. But its image is closed in Y_{top} .

Now let us return to the main result:

Main Theorem

Let (K, v) be an admissible valued field, G an algebraic K -group, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a G -torsor. Then:

- ① $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is locally closed in Y_{top} .
- ② The induced map $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is a principal G_{top} -bundle.

The smooth case

Let us explain the smooth case. If G is smooth, then:

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism,
- hence f_{top} has local sections at each point of X_{top} .
- This proves that
 - ▶ $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is open, and
 - ▶ $X_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is a principal G_{top} -bundle.

Next, a standard “twisting argument” shows that $Y_{\text{top}} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is a union of subsets similar to $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$. Hence $\text{Im}(f_{\text{top}})$ is also closed.

Strategy for general G

Let K_s be a separable closure of K . G has a **largest smooth subgroup** G^\dagger , which can be defined as the Zariski closure of $G(K_s)$ in G .

This construction is functorial in G and commutes with separable ground field extensions.

Strategy for general G

It is easy to check that $(G/G^\dagger)(K_s) = \{e\}$ (in particular $(G/G^\dagger)(K) = \{e\}$).

More generally, **if T is a G -torsor over K , then T/G^\dagger has at most one rational point.**

Now let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor. We factor it as

$$X \xrightarrow{\pi} Z := X/G^\dagger \xrightarrow{h} Y.$$

The corresponding factorization of f_{top} looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\pi_{\text{top}}) & \subset & Z_{\text{top}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\text{top}}} & Y_{\text{top}} \\ & & G_{\text{top}}^\dagger\text{-bundle} & & \text{open, closed} & \text{injective} & \end{array}$$

Strategy for general G

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{\text{top}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\pi_{\text{top}}) & \subset & Z_{\text{top}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\text{top}}} & Y_{\text{top}} \\ & & G_{\text{top}}^{\dagger}\text{-bundle} & & \text{open, closed} & & \text{injective} \end{array}$$

The hard part of the proof is to show that h_{top} is in fact a **topological embedding, with locally closed image**.

This uses:

- strong approximation,
- the construction (due to Gabber) of a remarkable **G -equivariant compactification** of G/G^{\dagger} .