David R. Morrison

University of California, Santa Barbara

String Phenomenology 2008 University of Pennsylvania 31 May 2008 Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

'-branes in ^E-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

ifting to M-theory

Geometric Engineering

► Geometric engineering has been a very successful approach to studying string vacua, and has been essential in modern model building.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in ⁼-theory

Open strings and O-branes

onifold ransitions

ifting to M-theor

▶ In the geometric engineering approach, properties of the vacua which arise from local features of the geometry are studied purely locally.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ In the geometric engineering approach, properties of the vacua which arise from local features of the geometry are studied purely locally.
- ▶ Typically, one studies spacetimes of the form $X \times M$, where X is a noncompact geometric space containing the local feature in question, and M is Minkowski space (or sometimes de Sitter or anti de Sitter space).

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Limitations of

ADE singularities

F-theory
Open strings and

Conifold

transitions

Lifting to M-theory

- Geometric engineering has been a very successful approach to studying string vacua, and has been essential in modern model building.
- ▶ In the geometric engineering approach, properties of the vacua which arise from local features of the geometry are studied purely locally.
- ▶ Typically, one studies spacetimes of the form $X \times M$, where X is a noncompact geometric space containing the local feature in question, and M is Minkowski space (or sometimes de Sitter or anti de Sitter space).
- My theme today: globalizing the local constructions can be delicate and dangerous.

ADE singularities

As a first example of the difficulties in globalizing, consider the ADE singularities. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and

Conifold ransitions

ifting to M-theor

ADE singularities

- As a first example of the difficulties in globalizing, consider the ADE singularities.
- ▶ These can be studied locally as $X_0 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, with their resolutions given by ALE spaces X. Much can be learned about the rôle which such singularities play in string vacua by studying $X_0 \times M$ or $X \times M$. The presence of the singularity leads to a nonabelian gauge group in IIA string theory, M-theory and F-theory.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ These can be studied locally as $X_0 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, with their resolutions given by ALE spaces X. Much can be learned about the rôle which such singularities play in string vacua by studying $X_0 \times M$ or $X \times M$. The presence of the singularity leads to a nonabelian gauge group in IIA string theory, M-theory and F-theory.
- ▶ However, globalizing these singularities is a different matter. If one asks for a Calabi–Yau 2-fold (i.e., a K3 surface) with such a singularity, then the rank of the gauge group is bounded by 19.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

consider the ADE singularities.

- ▶ These can be studied locally as $X_0 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, with their resolutions given by ALE spaces X. Much can be learned about the rôle which such singularities play in string vacua by studying $X_0 \times M$ or $X \times M$. The presence of the singularity leads to a nonabelian gauge group in IIA string theory, M-theory and F-theory.
- ▶ However, globalizing these singularities is a different matter. If one asks for a Calabi–Yau 2-fold (i.e., a K3 surface) with such a singularity, then the rank of the gauge group is bounded by 19.
- ► In this case, it is possible to explicitly describe which gauge groups can occur (cf. DRM, Invent. Math. 75 (1984) 105–121).

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

▶ Suppose one wanted to do some kind of statistical analysis of gauge groups in this context. Based on the local analysis, one would likely conclude that groups of type SU(n) or SO(2n) occur with probability 1, and the groups of type E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 occur with probability 0.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

▶ The global story is much different: because the ranks are bounded, the *E_n* groups play a significant rôle in the overall statistics, and occur with probability greater than 0.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

7-branes in F-theory

As another example, F-theory vacua are often interpreted as IIB string theory with 7-branes, and such 7-branes can be studied locally. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

> Open strings and O-branes

Conifold

ifting to M-theory

► The essential data for an F-theory vacuum is the (complexified) IIB coupling, which is determined from the *j*-invariant of the family of elliptic curves

$$y^2 = x^3 + px + q,$$

where p and q are functions on the space X being used to (partially) compactify the IIB string.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and O-branes

Conifold ransitions

Lifting to M-theory

► The essential data for an F-theory vacuum is the (complexified) IIB coupling, which is determined from the *j*-invariant of the family of elliptic curves

$$y^2 = x^3 + px + q,$$

where p and q are functions on the space X being used to (partially) compactify the IIB string.

▶ The 7-brane wraps the discriminant locus $\{\Delta=0\}$ of the above equation, where

$$\Delta = 4p^3 + 27q^2.$$

When X is local, we can assume that p and q have no common zeros.

4D > 4 P > 4 E > 4 E > 900

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

 $\mathsf{ADE}\ \mathsf{singularities}$

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold ransitions

Lifting to M-theory

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities
7-branes in

Limitations of

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Conclusions

$\Delta = 4p^3 + 27q^2.$

▶ But in the global case, if X has complex dimension at least 2, p and q are forced to have common zeros (except in very special cases, like product manifolds).

ADE singularities
7-branes in

F-theory

Conifold

Lifting to M-theo

Conclusions

 $\Delta = 4p^3 + 27q^2.$

- ▶ But in the global case, if *X* has complex dimension at least 2, *p* and *q* are forced to have common zeros (except in very special cases, like product manifolds).
- At a common zero of p and q, $\{\Delta = 0\}$ is itself singular (it has a "cusp" singularity) and the standard analysis of a 7-brane as a submanifold is not correct.

ADE singularities
7-branes in

F-theory
Open strings and

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

 $\Delta = 4p^3 + 27q^2.$

- ▶ But in the global case, if *X* has complex dimension at least 2, *p* and *q* are forced to have common zeros (except in very special cases, like product manifolds).
- At a common zero of p and q, $\{\Delta = 0\}$ is itself singular (it has a "cusp" singularity) and the standard analysis of a 7-brane as a submanifold is not correct.
- ▶ In fact, there are a number of global issues with 7-branes in F-theory; in six dimensions, many of them can be related to anomaly cancellation in the effective six-dimensional theory (cf. Grassi–DRM, math.AG/0005196).

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

Conclusions

D-brane constructions in this context.

▶ Note that F-theory itself provides an alternative to pure

Note that F-theory itself provides an alternative to pure D-brane constructions in this context. The global data needed for F-theory vacua is specified by the family of elliptic curves (together with a bit more data pertaining to bundles on the branes), Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Open strings and D-branes

String vacua with D-branes (and orientifold planes) are ubiquitous in modern string phenomenology. But the global issues in the presence of D-branes are particularly subtle. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

onifold ransitions

fting to M-theory

- ▶ String vacua with D-branes (and orientifold planes) are ubiquitous in modern string phenomenology. But the global issues in the presence of D-branes are particularly subtle.
- ▶ If the D-brane charges do not cancel, leaving a net Ramond–Ramond charge in the vacuum, there is a tadpole anomaly.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

subtle.

- ▶ If the D-brane charges do not cancel, leaving a net Ramond–Ramond charge in the vacuum, there is a tadpole anomaly.
- ► This tadpole is suppressed in local models, since any excess Ramond–Ramond charge can escape to infinity. But it cannot be ignored in global models.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Limitations of

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

- String vacua with D-branes (and orientifold planes) are ubiquitous in modern string phenomenology. But the global issues in the presence of D-branes are particularly subtle.
- ▶ If the D-brane charges do not cancel, leaving a net Ramond-Ramond charge in the vacuum, there is a tadpole anomaly.
- ➤ This tadpole is suppressed in local models, since any excess Ramond–Ramond charge can escape to infinity. But it cannot be ignored in global models.
- ▶ Still, it has seemed reasonable to study different sources of D-brane charge in a local way, and assemble them later into a global model. This approach has recently been called into question.

Typically, much of our information about local models comes not directly from analyzing string theory itself, but by twisting to get a topological theory and then studying that topological theory locally. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in ⁼-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

ifting to M-theory

- Typically, much of our information about local models comes not directly from analyzing string theory itself, but by twisting to get a topological theory and then studying that topological theory locally.
- Recently, in work of Walcher, arXiv:0712.2775 [hep-th], and Cook-Ooguri-Yang, arXiv:0804.1120 [hep-th], it was realized that beyond tree-level, the open topological string theory itself is sensitive to the presence of a net Ramond-Ramond charge, when studied in the context of global models.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ Recently, in work of Walcher, arXiv:0712.2775 [hep-th], and Cook—Ooguri—Yang, arXiv:0804.1120 [hep-th], it was realized that beyond tree-level, the open topological string theory itself is sensitive to the presence of a net Ramond—Ramond charge, when studied in the context of global models.
- ► That is, if one attempts to calculate open topological string amplitudes in a background with net Ramond—Ramond charge (using techniques pioneered by Walcher a few years ago), the answers reveal a new kind of anomaly in the topological string.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

► This suggests that the purely local contributions to topological string amplitudes which have been computed in many situations will require unexpected corrections from other sectors of the background. It is not clear at present which conclusions about local physics escaped unchanged. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes ir F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

▶ In particular, model building which has relied on a decoupling of the D-brane and orientifold plane sectors of the theory may need to be reexamined.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Conifold transitions

▶ One situation in which the relationship of local and global approaches can be made clear is conifold transitions in open string theory, and the relationship to Gopakumar–Vafa large N duality.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

ifting to M-theory

- ▶ One situation in which the relationship of local and global approaches can be made clear is conifold transitions in open string theory, and the relationship to Gopakumar—Vafa large N duality.
- ► The local analysis starts with a 3-cycle in a local Calabi-Yau threefold X which is the vanishing cycle for an ordinary double point singularity (when the complex structure parameters are specialized), and the IIA string on X × M with a D6-brane wrapping the 3-cycle N times. Passing to the double point, and then blowing it up to obtain a 2-sphere, one finds a flux vacuum with N units of Ramond-Ramond flux on the 2-sphere.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ One situation in which the relationship of local and global approaches can be made clear is conifold transitions in open string theory, and the relationship to Gopakumar—Vafa large N duality.
- The local analysis starts with a 3-cycle in a local Calabi–Yau threefold X which is the vanishing cycle for an ordinary double point singularity (when the complex structure parameters are specialized), and the IIA string on $X \times M$ with a D6-brane wrapping the 3-cycle N times. Passing to the double point, and then blowing it up to obtain a 2-sphere, one finds a flux vacuum with N units of Ramond–Ramond flux on the 2-sphere.
- This transition has a lift to M-theory which was studied by Atiyah–Maldacena–Vafa, hep-th/0011256, Atiyah–Witten, hep-th/0107177, and others. The local M-theory space Y is a neighborhood of $S^3 \times S^3$, and the open string conifold transition is seen as a smooth deformation of M-theory vacua.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

In order to realize a conifold transition on a compact Calabi−Yau manifold, the collection of 3-cycles on which the transition is being made must have a homology relation (cf. Greene–DRM–Strominger, hep-th/9504145). Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ In the context of the open string model, if we use the coefficients of the homology relation as the *N_i* on the various 3-cycles, then the net D6-brane charge is zero! Thus, the global requirement from geometry (in order to get a Kähler manifold after the transition) takes care of the tadpole anomaly in string theory as well as the open string anomaly discussed above.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ In the context of the open string model, if we use the coefficients of the homology relation as the *N_i* on the various 3-cycles, then the net D6-brane charge is zero! Thus, the global requirement from geometry (in order to get a Kähler manifold after the transition) takes care of the tadpole anomaly in string theory as well as the open string anomaly discussed above.
- ► How does this lift to M-theory? (cf. DRM, to appear).

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

 $\mathsf{ADE}\ \mathsf{singularities}$

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ Given a compact Calabi–Yau manifold X and a collection of 3-cycles Z, the lift to M-theory should be a G2-manifold Y with a circle action that has fixed points along the 3-cycles $Z \subset Y$.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

-branes in -theory

Open strings and O-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ At present, unlike the local case, we do not have existence theorems for such G2-manifolds. However, the topological structure (and hence the classical moduli space) of our postulated G2-manifold can be analyzed. In general, one should consider both fixed points of a circle action, and allow the situation in which the Chern class of the circle bundle over *X* − *Z* is non-trivial. (These are the flux vacua.)

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ At present, unlike the local case, we do not have existence theorems for such G2-manifolds. However, the topological structure (and hence the classical moduli space) of our postulated G2-manifold can be analyzed. In general, one should consider both fixed points of a circle action, and allow the situation in which the Chern class of the circle bundle over *X* − *Z* is non-trivial. (These are the flux vacua.)
- ▶ The result is that the G2-moduli space has an extra parameter for each 3-cycle in Z and an extra parameter for each 2-cycle in the support of the Chern class of the circle bundle.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

D-branes Conifold

transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ The result is that the G2-moduli space has an extra parameter for each 3-cycle in Z and an extra parameter for each 2-cycle in the support of the Chern class of the circle bundle.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and

Conifold transitions

ifting to M-theory

▶ (These are "extra" when compared with the usual calculation of moduli for the case $X \times S^1$.) These extra parameters allow for the Atiyah et al. explanation of the local conifold transition to be present in the global moduli space.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ (These are "extra" when compared with the usual calculation of moduli for the case $X \times S^1$.) These extra parameters allow for the Atiyah et al. explanation of the local conifold transition to be present in the global moduli space. (Of course, we don't know for sure that this happens since we cannot construct the G2-manifolds in question by this method.)

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transitions

Lifting to M-theory

▶ Emboldened by our success for conifold transitions, let us suggest an approach to address global issues for arbitrary IIA vacua with both D-branes and orientifold planes: we propose that liftability to M-theory should be the criterion.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and O-branes

onifold

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ Emboldened by our success for conifold transitions, let us suggest an approach to address global issues for arbitrary IIA vacua with both D-branes and orientifold planes: we propose that liftability to M-theory should be the criterion.
- ▶ We have in mind the class of models which involve both D6-branes and O6-planes.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in ⁼-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ Emboldened by our success for conifold transitions, let us suggest an approach to address global issues for arbitrary IIA vacua with both D-branes and orientifold planes: we propose that liftability to M-theory should be the criterion.
- ▶ We have in mind the class of models which involve both D6-branes and O6-planes. (Global consistency for other types of D-brane charge will have to be addressed in a different way.)

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold ransition:

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ Emboldened by our success for conifold transitions, let us suggest an approach to address global issues for arbitrary IIA vacua with both D-branes and orientifold planes: we propose that liftability to M-theory should be the criterion.
- ▶ We have in mind the class of models which involve both D6-branes and O6-planes. (Global consistency for other types of D-brane charge will have to be addressed in a different way.) The lift of the D6-brane has already been discussed: it is a codimension 4 fixed point locus of a circle action, which has a metric that is asymptotically the Taub–NUT metric.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

D-branes

transitions

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ Emboldened by our success for conifold transitions, let us suggest an approach to address global issues for arbitrary IIA vacua with both D-branes and orientifold planes: we propose that liftability to M-theory should be the criterion.
- We have in mind the class of models which involve both D6-branes and O6-planes. (Global consistency for other types of D-brane charge will have to be addressed in a different way.) The lift of the D6-brane has already been discussed: it is a codimension 4 fixed point locus of a circle action, which has a metric that is asymptotically the Taub-NUT metric. The lift of the O6-plane is also known: the metric in this case is asymptotically the Atiyah–Hitchin metric which describes the two-monopole solution in Yang-Mills theory (cf. Seiberg-Witten, hep-th/9607163).

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

► The drawback of this approach, of course, is that we have no methods for constructing compact G2-manifolds, outside of the limited examples of Joyce manifolds. Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and O-branes

onifold

Lifting to M-theory

- The drawback of this approach, of course, is that we have no methods for constructing compact G2-manifolds, outside of the limited examples of Joyce manifolds.
- ▶ The expectation from the past half-decade of string phenomenological studies is that there will be a large number of such vacua, and hence a large number of G2-manifolds which lift them to M-theory.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and

Conifold

Lifting to M-theory

- ▶ The expectation from the past half-decade of string phenomenological studies is that there will be a large number of such vacua, and hence a large number of G2-manifolds which lift them to M-theory.
- ▶ Do these all exist? At the moment, the best we can do is to analyze topological restrictions on such manifolds. As indicated above, I've already done this for cases without orientifold planes; work on the more general case is in progress.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in ⁼-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Conclusions

► Many features of string compactifications can be engineered in local models, but ...

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in ⁼-theory

Open strings and

onifold ansitions

ifting to M-theor

Conclusions

- ► Many features of string compactifications can be engineered in local models, but ...
- plugging those features into global models can be tricky.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and O-branes

onifold ransitions

ifting to M-theor

Conclusions

- Many features of string compactifications can be engineered in local models, but ...
- plugging those features into global models can be tricky.
- Statistical conclusions drawn from sampling local models can be misleading.

Limitations of Geometric Engineering: Implications for Model Building

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and

Conifold

ifting to M-theory

- plugging those features into global models can be tricky.
- Statistical conclusions drawn from sampling local models can be misleading.
- ► Even the local analysis for an open string model, done using topological string theory, can be misleading.

David R. Morrison

Geometric Engineering

ADE singularities

7-branes in F-theory

Open strings and D-branes

Conifold transition

Lifting to M-theory

Limitations of

ADE singularities

- Many features of string compactifications can be engineered in local models, but ...
- plugging those features into global models can be tricky.
- Statistical conclusions drawn from sampling local models can be misleading.
- Even the local analysis for an open string model, done using topological string theory, can be misleading.
- Global approaches, such as F-theory instead of orientifolds of IIB, or lifing to M-theory instead of orientifolds of IIA, hold much promise for resolving global issues.