

Linear HoTT and Quipper

Mitchell Riley

CQTS

20th April 2024

- ▶ Add linear type formers $\otimes, I, -\circ$ to DTT.
- ▶ Leave the rest of DTT exactly the same.

Proposition

$$\text{sym} : A \otimes B \simeq B \otimes A$$

Proof.

To define $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, suppose we have $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction allows us to assume $p \equiv x \otimes y$, and we have $y \otimes x$.

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes x$$

Then to prove $\prod_{(p:A \otimes B)} \text{sym}(\text{sym}(p)) = p$, use \otimes -induction again: the goal reduces to $x \otimes y = x \otimes y$ for which we have reflexivity.

$$\text{inv} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } \text{refl}_{x \otimes y}$$



Proposition

$$\text{sym} : A \otimes B \simeq B \otimes A$$

Proof.

To define $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, suppose we have $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction allows us to assume $p \equiv x \otimes y$, and we have $y \otimes x$.

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes x$$

Then to prove $\prod_{(p:A \otimes B)} \text{sym}(\text{sym}(p)) = p$, use \otimes -induction again: the goal reduces to $x \otimes y = x \otimes y$ for which we have reflexivity.

$$\text{inv} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in refl}_{x \otimes y}$$



Proposition

$$\text{sym} : A \otimes B \simeq B \otimes A$$

Proof.

To define $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, suppose we have $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction allows us to assume $p \equiv x \otimes y$, and we have $y \otimes x$.

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes x$$

Then to prove $\prod_{(p:A \otimes B)} \text{sym}(\text{sym}(p)) = p$, use \otimes -induction again: the goal reduces to $x \otimes y = x \otimes y$ for which we have reflexivity.

$$\text{inv} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } \text{refl}_{x \otimes y}$$



We need to prevent terms like $\lambda x.x \otimes x : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, so variable use needs to be restricted somehow.

- ▶ Every term a has a *colour* \mathfrak{C} .
- ▶ Every variable binding $x :^{\mathfrak{C}} A$ also has a colour \mathfrak{C} .
- ▶ A variable is only usable when its colour matches the colour of the term (roughly).

We need to prevent terms like $\lambda x.x \otimes x : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, so variable use needs to be restricted somehow.

- ▶ Every term a has a *colour* \mathfrak{C} .
- ▶ Every variable binding $x :^{\mathfrak{C}} A$ also has a colour \mathfrak{C} .
- ▶ A variable is only usable when its colour matches the colour of the term (roughly).

Building a term, we need to keep track of the current colour. A context has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} a : A$$

where $\Gamma \vdash \mathfrak{C}$ colour is an iterated tensor of ‘primitive colours’ bound in the context.

Each variable is a term of its own colour:

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{r}} x : A$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{b}} y : B$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{p}} z : C$$

Each colour has a copy of all ordinary type formers.

$$\sum_{(x:A)} B(x) \quad \prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \quad (\lambda x.b)$$

$$\text{ind}_+(z.C, x.c_1, y.c_2, p) \quad \text{ind}_-(x.x'.p.C, x.c, p)$$

Building a term, we need to keep track of the current colour. A context has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} a : A$$

where $\Gamma \vdash \mathfrak{C}$ colour is an iterated tensor of ‘primitive colours’ bound in the context.

Each variable is a term of its own colour:

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{r}} x : A$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{b}} y : B$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{p}} z : C$$

Each colour has a copy of all ordinary type formers.

$$\sum_{(x:A)} B(x) \quad \prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \quad (\lambda x.b)$$

$$\text{ind}_+(z.C, x.c_1, y.c_2, p) \quad \text{ind}_-(x.x'.p.C, x.c, p)$$

Building a term, we need to keep track of the current colour. A context has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} a : A$$

where $\Gamma \vdash \mathfrak{C}$ colour is an iterated tensor of ‘primitive colours’ bound in the context.

Each variable is a term of its own colour:

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{r}} x : A$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{b}} y : B$$

$$\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B, z :^{\mathfrak{p}} C \vdash_{\mathfrak{p}} z : C$$

Each colour has a copy of all ordinary type formers.

$$\sum_{(x:A)} B(x) \qquad \prod_{(x:A)} B(x) \qquad (\lambda x.b)$$

$$\text{ind}_+(z.C, x.c_1, y.c_2, p) \qquad \text{ind}_=(x.x'.p.C, x.c, p)$$

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{R} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , there is a term

$$a \otimes b : A \otimes B$$

with colour $\mathfrak{R} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{P} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes y$ for some variables $x^{\mathfrak{r}} : A, y^{\mathfrak{b}} : B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{A} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , there is a term

$$a \otimes b : A \otimes B$$

with colour $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{B} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes y$ for some variables $x^{\mathfrak{r}} : A, y^{\mathfrak{b}} : B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{A} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , there is a term

$$a \otimes b : A \otimes B$$

with colour $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{P} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes y$ for some variables $x^{\mathfrak{r}} : A, y^{\mathfrak{b}} : B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

Proposition

There is a function $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$

Proof.

Suppose $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction on p gives $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A$ and $y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$.

We can form $y \otimes x : B \otimes A$ of colour $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$...



But now we are stuck, the term $y \otimes x$ has colour $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$ rather than \mathfrak{p} so we can't write

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes x$$

Proposition

There is a function $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$

Proof.

Suppose $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction on p gives $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A$ and $y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$.

We can form $y \otimes x : B \otimes A$ of colour $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$...



But now we are stuck, the term $y \otimes x$ has colour $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$ rather than \mathfrak{p} so we can't write

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes x$$

We need a judgement describing how colours relate to each other. There will be an admissible principle

$$\text{REWRITE } \frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} a : A}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} s^*(a) : s^*(A)}$$

With axioms:

$$\text{sym} : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \quad \text{assoc} : (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes (\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

$$s; t : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

$$s \otimes t : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{F} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{zero} : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

etc.

We need a judgement describing how colours relate to each other. There will be an admissible principle

$$\text{REWRITE } \frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} a : A}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} s^*(a) : s^*(A)}$$

With axioms:

$$\text{sym} : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \quad \text{assoc} : (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes (\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

$$s; t : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

$$s \otimes t : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{F} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{zero} : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

etc.

We need a judgement describing how colours relate to each other. There will be an admissible principle

$$\text{REWRITE } \frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} a : A}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} s^*(a) : s^*(A)}$$

With axioms:

$$\text{sym} : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \quad \text{assoc} : (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes (\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

$$s; t : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

$$s \otimes t : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{F} \text{ for } s : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}, t : \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{zero} : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

etc.

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{R} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , and a 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, there is a term

$$a \otimes_s b : A \otimes B$$

with colour \mathfrak{P} .

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{P} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes_s y$ for some variables $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours and there is a fresh 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{b}$, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{R} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , and a 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, there is a term

$$a \otimes_s b : A \otimes B$$

with colour \mathfrak{P} .

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{P} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes_s y$ for some variables $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A, y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours and there is a fresh 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{b}$, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

- ▶ **Formation:** For closed* $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$, there is a type $A \otimes B : \mathcal{U}$.
- ▶ **Introduction:** Given $a : A$ with colour \mathfrak{R} and $b : B$ with colour \mathfrak{B} , and a 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, there is a term

$$a \otimes_s b : A \otimes B$$

with colour \mathfrak{P} .

- ▶ **Elimination:** Any term $p : A \otimes B$ of colour \mathfrak{P} may be assumed to be of the form $x \otimes_s y$ for some variables $x : {}^{\mathfrak{r}}A, y : {}^{\mathfrak{b}}B$ where \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{b} are fresh colours and there is a fresh 2-cell $s : \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{b}$, when constructing some other term $c : C$.

$$(\text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in } c) : C[p/z]$$

Proposition

There is a function $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$

Proof.

Suppose $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction on p gives $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A$ and $y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$, so that $s : \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{b}$. There is a 2-cell $(s; \text{sym}) : \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$, so $y \otimes_{s; \text{sym}} x : B \otimes A$.

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes_{s; \text{sym}} x$$

To prove $\prod_{(p:A \otimes B)} \text{sym}(\text{sym}(p)) = p$, use \otimes -induction again: the goal reduces to $x \otimes_s y = x \otimes_s y$ for which we have reflexivity.

$$\text{inv} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in refl}_{x \otimes_s y}$$



Proposition

There is a function $\text{sym} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$

Proof.

Suppose $p : A \otimes B$. Then \otimes -induction on p gives $x :^{\mathfrak{r}} A$ and $y :^{\mathfrak{b}} B$, so that $s : \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{b}$. There is a 2-cell $(s; \text{sym}) : \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{r}$, so $y \otimes_{s; \text{sym}} x : B \otimes A$.

$$\text{sym} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in } y \otimes_{s; \text{sym}} x$$

To prove $\prod_{(p:A \otimes B)} \text{sym}(\text{sym}(p)) = p$, use \otimes -induction again: the goal reduces to $x \otimes_s y = x \otimes_s y$ for which we have reflexivity.

$$\text{inv} := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes_s y \text{ be } p \text{ in refl}_{x \otimes_s y}$$



- ▶ Linear HoTT is a *bunched* type theory.
- ▶ There is no notion of ‘linear variable’ which may only be used once. Instead, colours denote permission to use a resource.
- ▶ When we have access to a variable, we can use it any ordinary way we like.

$$f : A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes (B \times B \times B)$$

$$f := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } x \otimes (y, y, y)$$

$$g : A \otimes B \rightarrow (A \otimes B) \times (A \otimes B)$$

$$g := \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } (x \otimes y, x \otimes y)$$

$$\otimes\text{-FORM} \frac{\Gamma, l \vdash_l A \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, r \vdash_r B \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} A \otimes B \text{ type}}$$

$$\otimes\text{-INTRO} \frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \mathfrak{C} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{L} \otimes \mathfrak{R} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{L}} a : A[\mathfrak{L}/l] \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{R}} b : B[\mathfrak{R}/r]}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} a \otimes_s b : A \otimes B}$$

$$\otimes\text{-ELIM} \frac{\Gamma, z : \mathfrak{D} \quad A \otimes \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} C \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, l, r, s : \mathfrak{D} \Rightarrow l \otimes r, x : A, y : B \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} c : C[x \otimes_s y/z] \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{D}} p : A \otimes B}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} \text{let } x^l \otimes_s y^r \text{ be } p \text{ in } c : C[p/z]}$$

Pros:

- ▶ No more baroque rules for “splits”.
- ▶ Simpler crisp induction principles without complicated pattern matching.
- ▶ No context clearing/manipulation in modal rules.

Cons:

- ▶ Explicit 2-cell manipulation.
 - ▶ Mostly inferable?
- ▶ Conversion checking seems harder.
 - ▶ E.g., $(\text{sym} \otimes \text{id})^*((a \otimes b) \otimes c) \equiv \text{sym}^*(a \otimes b) \otimes c$
- ▶ Bad judgement states.
 - ▶ E.g. $x :^r A \vdash_{r \otimes r} x \otimes x : A \otimes A$
- ▶ Still pretty ad-hoc.

Pros:

- ▶ No more baroque rules for “splits”.
- ▶ Simpler crisp induction principles without complicated pattern matching.
- ▶ No context clearing/manipulation in modal rules.

Cons:

- ▶ Explicit 2-cell manipulation.
 - ▶ Mostly inferable?
- ▶ Conversion checking seems harder.
 - ▶ E.g., $(\text{sym} \otimes \text{id})^*((a \otimes b) \otimes c) \equiv \text{sym}^*(a \otimes b) \otimes c$
- ▶ Bad judgement states.
 - ▶ E.g. $x :^v A \vdash_{\tau \otimes \tau} x \otimes x : A \otimes A$
- ▶ Still pretty ad-hoc.

$$\text{b-INTRO} \frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \mathfrak{C} \Rightarrow f(\mathfrak{D}) \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{D}} a : A}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} \text{b}(a) : \text{b}A}$$

$$\text{b-ELIM} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, z^{\mathfrak{D}} : \text{b}A \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} C \text{ type} \\ \Gamma, \mathfrak{l}, s : \mathfrak{D} \Rightarrow f(\mathfrak{l}), x : {}^{\mathfrak{l}}A \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} c : C[\text{b}(x)/z] \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{D}} p : \text{b}A \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{C}} \text{let } \text{b}(x^{\mathfrak{l}}) \text{ be } p \text{ in } c : C[p/z]}$$

This “b” is *not* left-exact! Variables created by b-ELIM cannot interact.

$$\text{func} : \text{b}(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{b}A \rightarrow \text{b}B$$

$$\text{func}(h, u) := \text{let } \text{b}(f^{\mathfrak{l}}) \text{ be } h \text{ in let } \text{b}(a^{\mathfrak{r}}) \text{ be } u \text{ in } \text{b}(f(a))$$

VAR

$$\frac{}{\Phi, x : A \vdash x : A}$$

\otimes -INTRO

$$\frac{\Phi, \Gamma_1 \Vdash M : A \quad \Phi, \Gamma_2 \Vdash N : B}{\Phi, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Vdash M \otimes N : A \otimes B}$$

\otimes -ELIM

$$\frac{\Phi, \Gamma_1 \Vdash M : A \otimes B \quad \Phi, \Gamma_2, x : A, y : B \Vdash N : C}{\Phi, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Vdash \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } M \text{ in } N : C}$$

!-INTRO

$$\frac{\Phi \Vdash M : A}{\Phi \Vdash \mathbf{lift} M : !A}$$

!-ELIM

$$\frac{\Phi, \Gamma \Vdash M : !A}{\Phi, \Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{force} M : A}$$

VAR

$$\frac{}{x : A \vdash x : A}$$

\otimes -INTRO

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \Vdash M : A \quad \Gamma_2 \Vdash N : B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Vdash M \otimes N : A \otimes B}$$

\otimes -ELIM

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \Vdash M : A \otimes B \quad \Gamma_2, x : A, y : B \Vdash N : C}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Vdash \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } M \text{ in } N : C}$$

!-INTRO

$$\frac{\cdot \Vdash M : A}{\cdot \Vdash \mathbf{lift} M : !A}$$

!-ELIM

$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash M : !A}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{force} M : A}$$

A Quipper term $x : A, y : B \vdash c : C$ is translated to

$$\phi : {}^c\phi I, x : {}^c_x \llbracket A \rrbracket, y : {}^c_y \llbracket B \rrbracket \vdash_{c_\phi \otimes c_x \otimes c_y} \llbracket c \rrbracket : \llbracket C \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket := \text{let } \varkappa_i \text{ be } \phi \text{ in } \text{unitor}_i(x)$$

$$\llbracket A \otimes B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket (M, N) \rrbracket := \llbracket M \rrbracket \otimes_{\text{id}} \text{unitorinv}_i(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket \text{let } (x, y) \text{ be } M \text{ in } N \rrbracket := \text{let } x^{c_x} \otimes_s y^{c_y} \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } (\text{id} \otimes s)^*(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket !A \rrbracket := I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \text{lift } M \rrbracket := (\phi, (\lambda s. \llbracket M \rrbracket[s/\phi])^\mathfrak{h})$$

$$\llbracket \text{force } M \rrbracket := \text{let } (\phi', f) \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } f_{\mathfrak{h}}(\phi')$$

A Quipper term $x : A, y : B \vdash c : C$ is translated to

$$\phi : {}^c\phi I, x : {}^c_x \llbracket A \rrbracket, y : {}^c_y \llbracket B \rrbracket \vdash_{c_\phi \otimes c_x \otimes c_y} \llbracket c \rrbracket : \llbracket C \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket := \text{let } \varkappa_i \text{ be } \phi \text{ in } \text{unitor}_i(x)$$

$$\llbracket A \otimes B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket (M, N) \rrbracket := \llbracket M \rrbracket \otimes_{\text{id}} \text{unitorinv}_i(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket \text{let } (x, y) \text{ be } M \text{ in } N \rrbracket := \text{let } x^{c_x} \otimes_s y^{c_y} \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } (\text{id} \otimes s)^*(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket !A \rrbracket := I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \text{lift } M \rrbracket := (\phi, (\lambda s. \llbracket M \rrbracket[s/\phi])^\mathfrak{h})$$

$$\llbracket \text{force } M \rrbracket := \text{let } (\phi', f) \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } f_{\mathfrak{h}}(\phi')$$

A Quipper term $x : A, y : B \vdash c : C$ is translated to

$$\phi : {}^c\phi I, x : {}^c_x [A], y : {}^c_y [B] \vdash_{c_\phi \otimes c_x \otimes c_y} [c] : [C]$$

$$[x] \equiv \text{let } \varkappa_i \text{ be } \phi \text{ in unitor}_i(x)$$

$$[A \otimes B] \equiv [A] \otimes [B]$$

$$[(M, N)] \equiv [M] \otimes_{\text{id}} \text{unitorinv}_i([N][\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$[\text{let } (x, y) \text{ be } M \text{ in } N] \equiv \text{let } x^{c_x} \otimes_s y^{c_y} \text{ be } [M] \text{ in } (\text{id} \otimes s)^*([N][\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$[!A] \equiv I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow [A])$$

$$[\text{lift } M] \equiv (\phi, (\lambda s. [M][s/\phi])^\mathfrak{h})$$

$$[\text{force } M] \equiv \text{let } (\phi', f) \text{ be } [M] \text{ in } f_{\mathfrak{h}}(\phi')$$

A Quipper term $x : A, y : B \vdash c : C$ is translated to

$$\phi : {}^c\phi I, x : {}^c_x \llbracket A \rrbracket, y : {}^c_y \llbracket B \rrbracket \vdash_{c_\phi \otimes c_x \otimes c_y} \llbracket c \rrbracket : \llbracket C \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket := \text{let } \varkappa_i \text{ be } \phi \text{ in } \text{unitor}_i(x)$$

$$\llbracket A \otimes B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket (M, N) \rrbracket := \llbracket M \rrbracket \otimes_{\text{id}} \text{unitorinv}_i(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket \text{let } (x, y) \text{ be } M \text{ in } N \rrbracket := \text{let } x^{c_x} \otimes_s y^{c_y} \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } (\text{id} \otimes s)^*(\llbracket N \rrbracket[\varkappa_i/\phi])$$

$$\llbracket !A \rrbracket := I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{lift} M \rrbracket := (\phi, (\lambda s. \llbracket M \rrbracket[s/\phi])^\mathfrak{h})$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{force} M \rrbracket := \text{let } (\phi', f) \text{ be } \llbracket M \rrbracket \text{ in } f_{\mathfrak{h}}(\phi')$$

```
object Qubit
gate H   : ! (Qubit -> Qubit)
circuit : ! (Qubit * Qubit -> Qubit * Qubit)
circuit n p = let (x, y) = p
              in (H x, y)
```

Then

$$\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket : I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow (\text{Qubit} \multimap \text{Qubit}))$$
$$\llbracket \mathbf{circuit} \rrbracket : I \times \mathfrak{h}(I \rightarrow (\text{Qubit} \otimes \text{Qubit} \multimap \text{Qubit} \otimes \text{Qubit}))$$

The Simplest Translation

Generally, there is a map $e : I \times \mathbb{1}(I \rightarrow (A \multimap B)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

$$e(\llbracket \mathbf{circuit} \rrbracket) = \dots = \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x) \otimes y$$

Then:

$$e(\llbracket \mathbf{circuit} \rrbracket) \circ e(\llbracket \mathbf{circuit} \rrbracket)$$

= ...

$$= (\lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x) \otimes y)$$

$$\circ (\lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } p \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x) \otimes y)$$

$$\equiv \lambda p. \text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } (\text{let } x' \otimes y' \text{ be } p \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x') \otimes y') \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x) \otimes y$$

$$= \lambda p. \text{let } x' \otimes y' \text{ be } p \text{ in } (\text{let } x \otimes y \text{ be } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x') \otimes y' \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x) \otimes y)$$

$$\equiv \lambda p. \text{let } x' \otimes y' \text{ be } p \text{ in } e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(e(\llbracket \mathbf{H} \rrbracket)(x')) \otimes y'$$

$$= \lambda p. \text{let } x' \otimes y' \text{ be } p \text{ in } x' \otimes y'$$

$$= \lambda p. p$$

Thanks!

