

Introduction

In this paper I shall prove the equivariant analogues of some well-known results in homotopy theory. The proofs are almost the same as the non-equivariant ones, but some care is needed in selecting arguments which can be generalized.

Let  $G$  be a finite group.

If  $V$  is a finite dimensional real  $G$ -module let  $S^V$  be the sphere formed by compactifying  $V$ . We take  $\infty$  as the base-point in  $S^V$ . The infinite symmetric product  $SP^\infty(S^V)$  is a  $G$ -space which should be an "equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane space". That can be formulated in the following way, where  $\Omega^V X$  denotes the  $G$ -space of base-point-preserving maps from  $S^V$  to a pointed  $G$ -space  $X$ .

Theorem (A) (a). There is an equivariant homotopy equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Omega^V SP^\infty(S^V)$$

providing the fixed submodule  $V^G$  is non-zero.

(b). There is an equivariant homotopy equivalence

$$SP^\infty(W) \rightarrow \Omega^V SP^\infty(S^{V \oplus W})$$

providing  $W^G \neq 0$ .

The second theorem concerns the configuration space  $C(V)$  of  $V$ . This is the  $G$ -space formed by the unordered finite subsets of  $V$ . There is a well-known map  $C(V) \rightarrow \Omega^V S^V$  (of [7]). One can define an embedding  $a_\xi : C(V) \rightarrow C(V)$  which adds to a configuration a given configuration  $\xi$  "near infinity". Let us take  $\xi$  to consist of one representative of each orbit that occurs

in  $V - \{0\}$ , and define  $C_\infty(V)$  to be the limit of the sequence

$$C(V) \xrightarrow{a_\xi} C(V) \xrightarrow{a_\xi} C(V) \xrightarrow{a_\xi} \dots$$

There is a corresponding map  $a_\xi : \Omega^V S^V \rightarrow \Omega^V S^V$  compatible with  $C(V) \rightarrow \Omega^V S^V$ , and a corresponding limit  $(\Omega^V S^V)_\infty$ . We have

Theorem (B). There is a G-homology-equivalence

$$C_\infty(V) \rightarrow (\Omega^V S^V)_\infty$$

Here a G-homology-equivalence means a G-map which induces a homology equivalence of the spaces of fixed points of every subgroup of G.

In theorem (B) we do not assume that  $V^G \neq 0$ , so there is no "addition" defined in  $\Omega^V S^V$ , and it is not clear that the connected components have the same homotopy type. But if  $V^G \neq 0$  then clearly  $a_\xi : \Omega^V S^V \rightarrow \Omega^V S^V$  is an equivalence, and  $(\Omega^V S^V)_\infty$  can be replaced by  $\Omega^V S^V$  in the theorem.

If  $V^G \neq 0$  the connected components of  $C_\infty(V)^G$  form the free abelian group  $A_V$  on the set of orbit types which occur in V. So theorem (B) implies

Corollary  $[S^V; S^V]_G \cong A_V$

This is well-known (at least when V is large and  $A_V$  is the Burnside ring of G). But the present method provides a new and in many ways simpler proof. The two known proofs depend either ([8], [6], [2]) on equivariant transversality or [11] are by induction over orbit types.

But the present argument gives somewhat more. For the fixed-point set  $C_\infty(V)^G$  is a product  $\prod_H C_\infty(V_H)^G = \prod_H C_\infty(V_H/G)$ , where  $V_H$  is the part of V where the isotropy group is conjugate

to H, and H runs through the conjugacy classes of subgroups of G. Abelianizing the fundamental group commutes with products, so Theorem B gives one a product decomposition of  $(\Omega^V S^V)^G$ . That is not altogether surprising, for if one arranges the conjugacy classes of subgroups in non-decreasing order

$$1 = H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m = G$$

then there is a tower of fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega^V S^V)^G = \text{Map}_G(S^V; S^V) &\rightarrow \text{Map}_{N_{H_1}}((S^V)^{H_1}; (S^V)^{H_1}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Map}_{N_{H_2}}((S^V)^{H_2}; (S^V)^{H_2}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Map}_G((S^V)^G; (S^V)^G) \end{aligned}$$

Our result is that the tower is an iterated product:

Corollary 2.  $(\Omega^V S^V)^G \cong \prod_H \text{Map}_G(V_H^+; S^V)$

This is known when  $V \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact then  $V_H/G \cong BW_H$ , where  $W_H = N_H/H$ , and  $C_\infty(V_H/G) \cong \varinjlim_n E\mathbb{Z}_n \times_{\mathbb{Z}_n} BW_H^n$ , whose Quillenization is  $\Omega^\infty S^\infty(BW_H \mathbf{1}(\text{point}))$ . (cf. [8]).

Apart from theorems (A) and (B) this paper discusses "equivariant delooping" more generally, and shows how an equivariant spectrum can be associated to an "equivariant  $\Gamma$ -space" in the sense of [9]. The essential point is to produce a functor which takes G-cofibrations to G-fibrations. In §4 I have explained rather sketchily how this can be done starting with a  $\Gamma$ -space: in fact the non-equivariant discussion in the form given in [12] applies directly.

In conclusion I should point out that neither Theorem (A) nor Theorem (B) is true if G is not finite. For example, suppose that G is the circle and V is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with G acting by rotation about an axis. Then there is a G-cofibration sequence

$$S^1 \rightarrow S^V \rightarrow S^2(G \text{ point}),$$

and so for any G-space X a fibration sequence

$$\Omega^2 X \rightarrow (\Omega^V X)^G \rightarrow \Omega(X^G).$$

Taking  $X = S^V$  this shows that  $(\Omega^V S^V)^G \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \Omega^2 S^3$ ,  
contradicting Theorem (B); and taking  $X = SP^\infty(S^V)$  one finds  
that  $X^G = SP^\infty(S^1) \cong S^1$ , so that  $\Omega^V SP^\infty(S^V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times S^1$ ,  
contradicting Theorem (A).

[more](#)

§1 Preliminary remarks about G-homotopy-theory

If X, Y and Z are G-spaces with base-points a sequence of base-points preserving G-maps

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

is called a G-fibration-sequence if there is given a null-homotopy of the composite gf, and the induced map from X to the homotopic fibre of g at 0 is a G-homotopy-equivalence. (All base-points will be written as 0.)

The homotopic fibre F(g,0) of g at 0 is the fibre-product  $Y \times_Z P_0Z$ , where  $P_0Z$  is the space of paths in Z beginning at 0. The fixed points  $F(g,0)^H$  of any subgroup H of G can be identified with  $F(g^H,0)$ . Let us recall the theorem of [3]. It asserts that a G-map  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a G-homotopy-equivalence if and only if the induced maps of fixed point sets  $P^H \rightarrow Q^H$  are homotopy equivalences for all subgroups H of G, providing that P and Q are G-ANR's. Forming the homotopic fibre does not take one out of the class of G-ANR's, so we can deduce

Proposition (1.1) Providing X, Y and Z are G-ANR's a sequence

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$$

is a G-fibration sequence if and only if the fixed-point sequence

$$X^H \rightarrow Y^H \rightarrow Z^H$$

is a fibration sequence for all subgroups H of G.

More generally, a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \rightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \rightarrow & Y \end{array},$$

where the homotopy making the diagram commute is given, is

called  $G$ -homotopy-cartesian if the induced map from  $X'$  to the homotopic fibre product of  $X$  and  $Y'$  over  $Y$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence. The homotopic fibre-product is  $X \times_Y PY \times_Y Y'$ , where  $PY$  is the space of free paths in  $Y$ . For the same reason as before we can assert that if the spaces concerned are  $G$ -ANR's a diagram is  $G$ -homotopy-cartesian if and only if each of its fixed-point diagrams is homotopy cartesian.

Now suppose that one has a simplicial object  $A = \{A_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  in the category of  $G$ -spaces. It has a realization as a  $G$ -space. Here I shall use the join realization, described in [9], but I shall denote it by  $|A|$ . It has the property that if the spaces  $A_k$  of  $A$  are  $G$ -ANR's then so is  $|A|$ . It also has the property that  $|A|^H = |A^H|$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ .

From this point on I shall assume that all the  $G$ -spaces given are  $G$ -ANR's. The non-equivariant version of the following proposition is proved in [9], and the equivariant one follows at once.

Proposition (1.2) If  $A' \rightarrow A$  is a map of  $G$ -simplicial-spaces such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A'_k & \xrightarrow{\theta^*} & A'_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{\theta^*} & A_m \end{array}$$

is  $G$ -homotopy-cartesian for each simplicial operation  $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [k]$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A'_0 & \rightarrow & |A'| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_0 & \rightarrow & |A| \end{array}$$

is  $G$ -homotopy-cartesian.

In particular suppose that X is a G-space with a composition law which is sufficiently associative for a classifying space BX to be defined. (I.e. suppose that there is a simplicial G-space  $\{X_k\}$  with  $X_1 = X$  and  $X_k = X_1^k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .) Then we have

Proposition (1.3) For such an X the natural map  $X \rightarrow \Omega BX$  from X to its "group-completions" is a G-homotopy-equivalence providing that  $\pi_0(X^H)$  is a group for all subgroups H of G.

It seems reasonable to say that a G-map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a G-homology-equivalence if the induced map  $X^H \rightarrow Y^H$  is a homology equivalence for each H. That is partly justified by the following obvious remark.

Proposition (1.4) A G-map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a G-homology-equivalence if and only if the induced map

$$[X; P]_G \rightarrow [Y; P]_G$$

is an isomorphism for every group-like G-space P.

Here a group-like G-space P means a G-space with a composition law  $P \times P \rightarrow P$  which makes  $\pi_0(P^H)$  into a group for all subgroups H of G.

The group-completion theorem of [5] implies the following equivariant extension. Suppose that X is a G-space with a composition law and a classifying space BX, and that

- (a)  $\pi_0(X^H)$  is in the centre of the Pontrjagin ring  $H_*(X^H)$  for all subgroups H of G,
- (b)  $\pi_0(X^G)$  is a finitely generated monoid, and
- (c)  $\pi_0(X^G) \rightarrow \pi_0(X^H)$  is cofinal for all H, in the sense that for any  $\xi \in \pi_0(X^H)$  there exists  $\eta \in \pi_0(X^H)$  such that  $\xi + \eta$  comes from  $\pi_0(X^G)$ .

Then one can choose x in  $X^G$  so that its component is cofinal in  $\pi_0(X^G)$ , and can form the telescope  $X_\infty$  from the sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{x} \dots$$

Proposition (1.5) In the preceding situation there is a G-homology-equivalence  $X_\infty \rightarrow \Omega BX_1$

[more](#)

52 Infinite symmetric products

In this section I shall prove Theorem A, but I shall consider a slightly more general situation.

Suppose that  $F$  is a functor from pointed compact  $G$ -spaces to  $G$ -spaces with the properties

P1 : if  $X$  is equivariantly triangulable then  $F(X)$  is a  $G$ -ANR,  
and

P2 : if  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ -cofibration and  $Y$  is a  $G$ -connected then

$$F(Y) \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(X/Y)$$

is a  $G$ -fibration sequence.

Here  $G$ -connected means that  $Y^H$  is connected for every subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

Proposition (2.1) The functor  $F = SP^\infty$  satisfies conditions P1 and P2.

I shall postpone the proof of this for the moment.

Let  $V$  be a real  $G$ -module.

If  $M$  is a compact  $G$ -stable subset of  $V$  let  $M_\epsilon$  be the open  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $M$  in  $V$ . Let  $U_\epsilon$  be the open ball in  $V$  with centre  $0$  and radius  $\epsilon$ . There is a  $G$ -map  $M \times U_\epsilon \rightarrow M_\epsilon$  defined by  $(x,y) \rightarrow x+y$ . By adjunction this defines a  $G$ -map  $M \rightarrow \text{Map}_0(M_\epsilon^+; U_\epsilon^+)$ , when  $^+$  denotes one-point compactification, and  $\text{Map}_0$  denotes the  $G$ -space of base-point-preserving maps. By functoriality, and identifying  $U_\epsilon^+$  with  $S^V$ , we get

$$M \rightarrow \text{Map}_0(F(M_\epsilon^+); F(S^V)),$$

and then by adjunction a base-point-preserving  $G$ -map

$$F(M_\epsilon^+) \rightarrow \text{Map}(M; F(S^V)).$$

Proposition (2.2) If  $M$  is the unit sphere in  $V$  (and  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ ) then the last map is a  $G$ -homotopy-equivalence.

Remark The proposition is actually true for any compact  $G$ -subset  $M$  providing  $M$  is an equivariant deformation retract of  $M_\varepsilon$ . It is essentially the assertion that  $M$  and  $M_\varepsilon^+$  are equivariantly  $S$ -dual.

Proof: Choose an equivariant triangulation of the sphere  $M$ .  $M$  is covered by the open stars of the simplexes of the triangulation. This is a collection  $\{C_\alpha\}$  of contractible open sets of  $M$ , closed under intersection, and permuted by  $G$ . One can suppose that each set  $C_\alpha$  either coincides with or is disjoint from its translates by elements of  $G$ .

Suppose that  $\varepsilon$  is small compared with the mesh of the triangulation. Let  $\pi : M_\varepsilon \rightarrow M$  be the radial projection. For any subset  $X$  of  $M$  let  $\hat{X} = \pi^{-1}(X)$ , and  $\check{X} = X - (M-X)_\varepsilon$ . Then we have a map

$$F(\hat{X}^+) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\check{X}; F(S^V)).$$

We shall prove that this is a  $G$ -homotopy-equivalence whenever  $X$  is an  $G$ -stable union  $\cup_{\alpha \in T} C_\alpha$  of some of the  $C_\alpha$ . The proof is by induction on the cardinal of  $T$ . If  $T$  is a single orbit under  $G$  then  $\hat{X}$  is a union of copies of  $V$  indexed by  $T$ , so  $F(\hat{X}^+)$  is a corresponding product of copies of  $F(V^+)$ , i.e.  $F(\hat{X}^+) = \text{Map}(T; F(S^V))$ . But clearly  $\text{Map}(T; F(S^V)) = \text{Map}(\check{X}; F(S^V))$ , so the result holds in this case.

Proposition (2.3) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are two  $G$ -stable unions of sets  $C_\alpha$ , and  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  $X_{12} = X_1 \cap X_2$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\hat{X}^+) & \rightarrow & F(\hat{X}_2^+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(\hat{X}_1^+) & \rightarrow & F(\hat{X}_{12}^+) \end{array}$$



is G-homotopy-cartesian.

Proof: Observe that  $\hat{X}_1^+ = \hat{X}^+ / (\hat{X} - \hat{X}_1)^+$ , so that there is a G-cofibration sequence

$$(\hat{X} - \hat{X}_1)^+ \rightarrow \hat{X}^+ \rightarrow \hat{X}_1^+ .$$

Similarly

$$(\hat{X}_2 - \hat{X}_{12})^+ \rightarrow \hat{X}_2^+ \rightarrow \hat{X}_{12}^+ ,$$

is a G-cofibration sequence. But  $\hat{X}_2 - \hat{X}_{12} = \hat{X} - \hat{X}_1$ , and the compactifications of these spaces, being suspensions, are G-connected. So the vertical maps in the diagram have the same homotopy fibre by (2.1), and that proves (2.3).

Proposition (2.3) implies Proposition (2.2) by induction, for the corresponding square of mapping-spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(\check{X}; F(S^V)) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(\check{X}_2; F(S^V)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(\check{X}_1; F(S^V)) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(\check{X}_{12}; F(S^V)) \end{array}$$

is obviously G-homotopy-cartesian.

We shall now deduce Theorem (A) from (2.2).

Let  $D_r$  denote that closed disk of radius  $r$  in  $V$  and  $S_r$  its boundary sphere. Then in (2.2) we have  $M = S_1$ , and  $M_\epsilon^+$  is  $D_\lambda / (D_\mu \cup S_\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda = 1+\epsilon$  and  $\mu = 1-\epsilon$ . There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(D_\lambda/S_\lambda) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(D_1; F(S^V)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(D_\lambda/D_\mu \cup S_\lambda) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(S_1; F(S^V)) \end{array}$$

in which the bottom map is the equivalence of (2.2), and the top map is trivially an equivalence. The right hand map is a fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{N}^V F(S^V)$ . From the cofibration sequence

$$S^0 \approx (D_\mu \cup S_\lambda) / S_\lambda \rightarrow D_\lambda / S_\lambda \rightarrow D_\lambda / (D_\mu \cup S_\lambda)$$

We could conclude that the homotopy fibre of the left-hand map was  $F(S^0)$ , and hence that  $F(S^0) \approx \Omega^V F(S^V)$ , if we knew that  $F$  took all cofibration sequences to fibration sequences. But in any case the cofibre of  $D_\lambda / S_\lambda \rightarrow D_\lambda / (D_\mu \cup S_\lambda)$  is  $S^1$ , and  $D_\lambda / S_\lambda \cong S^V$  is  $G$ -connected (as we are assuming  $v^G \neq 0$ ), so the left-hand homotopy fibre is  $\Omega F(S^1)$ . If  $F \approx SP^\infty$  then  $F(S^1) \approx S^1$ , and so  $\Omega F(S^1) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ . This proves the first part of Theorem A. For the second part one considers the functor  $F$  defined by  $F(X) = SP^\infty(X \wedge S^W)$ . This does take all cofibrations to fibrations if  $w^G \neq 0$ , for then  $X \wedge S^W$  is always  $G$ -connected.

Proof of (2.1)

Property P1 presents no difficulty, for if  $X$  is triangulable then so is  $SP^\infty(X)$ , and it is then certainly a  $G$ -ANR. As to P2, it is enough to show that

$$SP^\infty(Y)^H \rightarrow SP^\infty(X)^H \rightarrow SP^\infty(X/Y)^H$$

is a fibration sequence for each subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . As each fibre of the second map is precisely homeomorphic to  $SP^\infty(Y)^H$  it suffices to show that the map is a quasi-fibration. This can be done by the original argument of Dold-Thom [1].

We filter the base  $B = SP^\infty(X/Y)^H$  by closed subspaces

$$B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B,$$

where  $B_n = SP^n(X/Y)^H$ . We have  $\pi^{-1}(B_n - B_{n-1}) \cong (B_n - B_{n-1}) \times SP^\infty(Y)^H$ .

But  $B_{n-1}$  is a deformation retract of a neighbourhood  $U$  in  $B_n$ , and the retraction is covered by a corresponding deformation retraction of  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  into  $\pi^{-1}(B_{n-1})$ . (In fact both retractions can be induced by a retraction of a neighbourhood of  $Y$  in  $X$  into  $Y$ .)

Using the results of [1] (cf. [4] (3.3)) it suffices to show that  $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(B_{n-1})$  maps each fibre by a homotopy equivalence. But on any fibre the retraction can be identified with a translation in the topological monoid  $SP^\infty(Y)^H$ . This is homotopic to the identity, for  $SP^\infty(Y)^H$  is connected because  $Y^{H'}$  is connected for every subgroup  $H'$  of  $H$ .

A rather different alternative proof of (2.1) will be given in §4.

### §3 Configuration spaces

In this section I shall prove theorem (B) of the introduction.

As in [4] we introduce relative configuration spaces: if  $X$  is a manifold with boundary and  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $X$  let  $C(X, Y)$  denote the quotient space of  $C(X)$ , the space of finite subsets  $S$  of  $X$ , by the equivalence relation which identifies  $S$  and  $S'$  if  $S \cap (X - Y) = S' \cap (X - Y)$ . The analogue of (2.1) which we need is

**Proposition (3.1)** If  $Y$  is a compact manifold with boundary contained in  $X$ , and of the same dimension as  $X$ , and  $Z$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ , then

$$C(Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, Z) \rightarrow C(X, Y)$$

is a  $G$ -fibration sequence providing  $C(\partial Y, Z \cap \partial Y)$  is  $G$ -connected.

The proof of this is the same as that of (2.1). We filter the base  $B = C(X, Y)^H$  by  $\{B_n\}$ , where  $B_n = \{S \in C(X, Y)^H : \text{card}(S) \leq n\}$ . Over each layer  $B_n - B_{n-1}$  the map  $C(X, Y)^H \rightarrow C(X, Y)^H$  is a product with fibre  $C(Y, Z)^H$ . The desired deformation retractions can be induced by an isotopy of the identity map of  $X$  which shrinks  $Y$  into its own interior. Although  $C(Y, Z)$  is not a monoid one can still define a map  $C(Y, Z) \rightarrow C(Y, Z)$  corresponding to adding configuration at the boundary of  $Y$  - i.e. the space  $C(\partial Y, Z \cap \partial Y)$  "acts on"  $C(Y, Z)$ . The effect of the retractions on the fibres  $C(Y, Z)^H$  is given by "adding" elements of  $C(\partial Y, Z \cap \partial Y)^H$ ; so we have a quasifibration providing  $C(\partial Y, Z \cap \partial Y)$  is  $G$ -connected.

It is not quite true that  $C(X, Y)$  depends only on  $X/Y$ , so one cannot say that  $C$  transforms cofibrations to fibrations. But we do have an excision property: if  $U$  is an open set of  $X$  contained in  $Y$  then  $C(X - U, Y - U) = C(X, Y)$ . Reviewing the proof of (2.2) we find that it holds when the functor  $F$  is replaced

by  $C$  in the obvious way. The only point needing care is to see that - in the notation of (2.3) - we have fibration sequences

$$C(D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_1, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}) \rightarrow C(D_{\lambda}, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}) \rightarrow C(D_{\lambda}, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_1)$$

and

$$C(D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_{12}, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_2) \rightarrow C(D_{\lambda}, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_2) \rightarrow C(D_{\lambda}, D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_{12})$$

replacing the sequences

$$F((\hat{X} - \hat{X}_1)^+) \rightarrow F(\hat{X}^+) \rightarrow F(\hat{X}_1^+)$$

and

$$F((\hat{X}_2 - \hat{X}_{12})^+) \rightarrow F(\hat{X}_2^+) \rightarrow F(\hat{X}_{12}^+).$$

But (3.1) applies to these, for, for example,

$$C(\partial(D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_1), (D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}) \cap \partial(D_{\lambda} - \hat{X}_1)) = C(\partial\hat{X}_1, (\partial\hat{X}) \cap (\partial\hat{X}_1)),$$

which is of the form  $C(Y \times I, Y \times \dot{I})$ , and is therefore  $G$ -connected.

Thus we have

$$\text{Proposition (3.2)} \quad C(D_{\lambda}, S_{\lambda} \cup D_{\mu}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Map}(S_1; C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})),$$

where  $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$  and  $\mu = 1 - \epsilon$ .

The following result is almost obvious.

$$\text{Proposition (3.3)} \quad C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon}) = S^V.$$

For  $S^V = D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon}$ , and there is an inclusion  $D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon} \rightarrow C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})$ .

But the multiplicative monoid  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \lambda \geq 1\}$  acts on  $C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})$

by radial expansion, and for any  $\xi$  in  $C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})$  one has

$\lambda \xi \in D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon}$  for large  $\lambda$ . If  $C_{\lambda} = \{\xi \in C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon}): \lambda \xi \in D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon}\}$

then  $D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon}$  is a deformation retract of  $C_{\lambda}$ . But  $\bigcup_{\lambda \geq 1} C_{\lambda} = C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})$ ,

so  $D_{\epsilon}/S_{\epsilon}$  is also a deformation retract of  $C(D_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon})$ .

If  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$  are real numbers let us write  $A_{\mu\lambda}$  for the half-open annulus  $D_{\lambda} - D_{\mu}$  in  $V$ . The configuration space of an annulus can be thought of as an  $H$ -space under juxtaposition.

To make this more precise we define

$$C(A_*) = \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 1} C(A_{1\lambda}) ,$$

thought of as a subspace of  $C(V) \times \mathbb{R}$ . The obvious composition  $C(A_{1\lambda}) \times C(A_{1\mu}) \rightarrow C(A_{1, \lambda\mu})$  makes  $C(A_*)$  into a monoid. Choosing  $\xi \in C(A_*)$  representing a cofinal component we define  $C_\infty(A)$  as the limit of the embeddings

$$C(A_*) \xrightarrow{\xi} C(A_*) \xrightarrow{\xi} C(A_*) \xrightarrow{\xi} \dots$$

(In other words we stabilize on the outside edge of the annulus.)

We shall prove

**Proposition (3.4)** (a)  $BC(A_*) \cong C(D_\lambda, S_\lambda \cup D_\mu)$ .

(b) There is a G-homotopy-equivalence  $C_\infty(A) \rightarrow \Omega BC(A_*)$ .

The theorem we want to prove follows from this. By analogy with  $C(A_*)$  let us define  $C(D_*) = \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 1} C(D_\lambda) \subset C(V) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

This admits an action of the monoid  $C(A_*)$ , induced by  $C(D_\lambda) \times C(A_{1\mu}) \rightarrow C(D_{\lambda\mu})$ . The space  $C_\infty(V)$  of the introduction can be identified with  $C_\infty(D)$ , the limit of

$$C(D_*) \xrightarrow{\xi} C(D_*) \xrightarrow{\xi} \dots$$

Now combining (3.4) and (3.2) gives a G-homotopy-equivalence.

$$C_\infty(A) \rightarrow \Omega \text{Map}(S_1; S^V) = \text{Map}_0(D_\lambda / (S_\lambda \cup D_\mu); S^V).$$

But  $\Omega^V S^V = \text{Map}_0(D_\lambda / S_\lambda; S^V)$  fits into a G-fibration sequence

$$\text{Map}_0(D_\lambda / (S_\lambda \cup D_\mu); S^V) \rightarrow \text{Map}_0(D_\lambda / S_\lambda; S^V) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D_\mu; S^V).$$

We can compare this with the G-homotopy-fibration sequence

$$C_\infty(A) \rightarrow C_\infty(D) \rightarrow C(D_1, S_1)$$

which arises as the limit of the sequences

$$C(A_{1\lambda}) \rightarrow C(D_\lambda) \rightarrow C(D_1, S_1).$$

(The last sequence is not a homotopy fibration. Each fibre is a copy of  $C(A_{1\lambda})$  but when one filters the base in the usual way the attaching maps of the fibres when one passes from one layer to the next are given by adding a configuration on the inside of the annulus. Left translations in the monoid  $C(A_*)$  are not homology equivalences. But after stabilization the fibres are  $C_\infty(A)$ . The attaching maps are given by the left action of  $C(A_*)$  on  $C_\infty(A)$ , and are homology equivalences. I shall return to this point below.)

The maps we use to compare the sequences arises from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(A_{1\lambda}) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}_0(D_{\lambda+\varepsilon}/(S_{\lambda+\varepsilon} \cup D_{1-\varepsilon}); C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(D_\lambda) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}_0(D_{\lambda+\varepsilon}/S_{\lambda+\varepsilon}; C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(D_1, S_1) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(D_{1-\varepsilon}; C), \end{array}$$

where  $C = C(D_\varepsilon, S_\varepsilon)$ , by stabilizing.

It remains to prove (3.4). Assertion (b) is just the group-completion theorem (1.5). Unfortunately it is not clear that the Pontrjagin ring  $H_*(C(A_*)^H)$  is commutative. But all that we need (cf. [5]) is that the localization  $H_*(C(A_*)^H)[\pi^{-1}]$ , where  $\pi = \pi_0(C(A_*)^H)$ , can be formed by right fractions, i.e.

that when one forms the limit  $H_*(C_\infty(A))$  of

$$H_*(C(A_*)) \xrightarrow{\times \xi} H_*(C(A_*)) \xrightarrow{\times \xi} \dots,$$

where  $\xi$  generates  $\pi$ , using the right action of  $\pi$ , the left action of  $\pi$  on the limit is by automorphisms. This is the same as the assertion above that when  $C_\infty(A)$  is formed by stabilizing on the outside of the annulus the operation of adding a configuration

on the inside of the annulus is a G-homology-equivalence.

It is true because the homology of the n-particle configuration space  $C_n(A)^H$  becomes independent of n when n is large. (In

fact  $C_n(A)^H = \prod_{K < H} C_n(A_K/H)$ , as we saw in the introduction, and

the stability of ordinary configuration spaces is proved in [4].)

Turning to (3.4) (a), the monoid  $C(A_*)$  acts on  $C(D_*, D_\mu)$ , where  $0 < \mu < 1$ , so one can form a space  $C(D_*, D_\mu)/C(A_*)$ .

(cf. [10]. Here I am using the notation  $X/M$  for the space written  $X_M$  in [5].) This has a projection to  $BC(A_*)$ , which is a G-homotopy-equivalence because  $C(D_*, D_\mu)$  is contractible.

Now consider

$$C(A_*) \rightarrow C(D_*, D_\mu) \rightarrow C(D_1, S_1 \cup D_\mu).$$

This is not a fibration sequence, for although each fibre is homeomorphic to  $C(A_*)$  the attaching maps when one passes from one layer of the base to the next are not equivalences.

The monoid  $C(A_*)$  acts on the sequence fibre wise, so one can form

$$C(A_*)/C(A_*) \rightarrow C(D_*, D_\mu)/C(A_*) \rightarrow C(D_1, S_1 \cup D_\mu).$$

Now all the fibres are contractible, so the attaching maps are necessarily equivalences, and we have  $C(D_*, D_\mu)/C(A_*) = C(D_1, S_1 \cup D_\mu)$ . That complete the proof.

#### §4 $\Gamma$ -spaces with G-action

In [9] I defined a category  $\Gamma$  whose objects are the natural numbers  $\underline{0}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \dots$ . It is equivalent to the dual of the category of finite pointed sets. A  $\Gamma$ -space is a contravariant functor  $A : \Gamma \rightarrow (\text{spaces})$  such that the natural map  $A(\underline{n}) \rightarrow A(\underline{1}) \times \dots \times A(\underline{1})$  (induced by the n obvious maps  $\underline{1} \rightarrow \underline{n}$  in  $\Gamma$ ) is a homotopy equivalence for each n.

Clearly there is an equivariant generalization of this concept, obtained by replacing spaces by G-spaces, and requiring  $A(\underline{n}) \rightarrow A(\underline{1})^n$  to be a G-homotopy-equivalence.<sup>(\*)</sup> There are many obvious examples, analogous to those of [9].

If A is a G- $\Gamma$ -space, and X is a G-space with base-point one can construct a G-space  $X \otimes A$ . It is not hard to show that if each space  $A(\underline{n})$  is a G-ANR and each degeneracy map  $A(\underline{n} - \underline{1}) \rightarrow A(\underline{n})$  is a cofibration then the functor  $X \mapsto X \otimes A$  has the property P1 of §2, i.e. it takes G-triangulable spaces to G-ANR's. But in default of interesting applications I shall not prove that here. The property P2 is more interesting. We have

**Proposition (4.1)** If  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a G-fibration then the sequence

$$Y \otimes A \rightarrow X \otimes A \rightarrow (X/Y) \otimes A$$

is a G-fibration sequence providing Y is G-connected.

If the  $\Gamma$ -space A is the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  then  $X \otimes A = SP^\infty(X)$ , so this provides an alternative proof of (2.1).

**Proof of (4.1)** (For more details of this argument of [12].)

Bearing in mind the Puppe sequence  $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow X \cup CY \rightarrow SY$

<sup>(\*)</sup> G must be allowed to act on the set  $\underline{n}$  here, i.e. we require  $A(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S; A(\underline{1}))$  to be a G-homotopy-equivalence for each finite G-set S.





we shall prove first that there is a G-fibration sequence

$$X \otimes A \rightarrow (X \cup CY) \otimes A \rightarrow (SY) \otimes A .$$

We observe that  $X \cup CY$  and  $SY$  are the realizations of simplicial spaces

$$X \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} X \vee Y \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} X \vee Y \vee Y \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} \dots$$

and

$$(\text{point}) \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} Y \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} Y \vee Y \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{matrix} \dots$$

respectively. (Both simplicial spaces are completely degenerate above degree 1.) The map  $X \cup CY \rightarrow SY$  is induced by the projections  $X \rightarrow$



From (4.1) we can deduce the following result asserting that a  $G$ - $\Gamma$ -space gives rise to an equivariant spectrum by exactly the argument by which Theorem A was obtained from (2.1).

Proposition (4.3) (a) There is a  $G$ -homotopy-equivalence

$$S^W \otimes A \rightarrow \Omega^V(S^V \otimes W \otimes A)$$

whenever  $V$  and  $W$  are real  $G$ -modules such that  $W^G \neq 0$ .

(b) If  $A(\underline{1})$  is group-like in the sense of §1 then

$$A(\underline{1}) = S^0 \otimes A = \Omega^V(S^V \otimes A) \quad \text{for all } V.$$

(c) If  $A(\underline{1})$  satisfies the conditions (b) and (c) preceding (1.5) there is a  $G$ -homology-equivalence

$$A(\underline{1})_{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega^V(S^V \otimes A).$$

#### References

- [1] A. Dold and R. Thom, Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmetrische Produkte. Ann. of Math., 67 (1958), 239-281.
- [2] H. Hauschild, Allgemeine Lage und äquivariante Homotopie: Math. Z., 143 (1975), 155-164.
- [3] I.M. James and G.B. Segal, On equivariant homotopy type. Topology, 17 (1978).
- [4] D. McDuff, Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles. Topology, 14 (1975), 91-107.
- [5] D. McDuff and G. Segal, Homology fibrations and the "group-completion" theorem. Inventiones math., 31 (1976), 279-284.
- [6] J.J. O'Connor, Equivariant stable homotopy theory. Thesis, Oxford, 1975.
- [7] G.B. Segal, Configuration spaces and iterated loop-spaces. Inventiones math., 21 (1973), 213-221.
- [8] G.B. Segal, Equivariant stable homotopy theory. Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians, Nice 1970, Vol. 2, 59-63.
- [9] G.B. Segal, Categories and cohomology theories. Topology, 13 (1974), 293-312.
- [10] G.B. Segal, Classifying spaces related to foliations. Topology, to appear.
- [11] T. tom Dieck, The Burnside ring of a compact Lie group I. Math. Ann., 215 (1975), 235-250.
- [12] R. Woolfson, Hyper-F-spaces and hyperspectra. Quarterly J. Math., to appear.

St. Catherine's College,  
Oxford.

