

# LABELED CONFIGURATION SPACES AND GROUP COMPLETIONS

KAZUHISA SHIMAKAWA

ABSTRACT. Given a pair of an partial abelian monoid  $M$  and a pointed space  $X$ , let  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  denote the configuration space of finite distinct points in  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  parametrized by the partial monoid  $X \wedge M$ . In this note we will show that if  $M$  is embedded in a topological abelian group and if we put  $\pm M = \{a - b \mid a, b \in M\}$  then the natural map  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \rightarrow C^{\pm M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  induced by the inclusion  $M \subset \pm M$  is a group completion. This generalizes the result of Caruso [1] that the space of “positive and negative particles” in  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  parametrized by  $X$  is weakly equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [5] we assigned to any space  $Y$  and any partial abelian monoid  $M$  the configuration space  $C^M(Y)$  of finite subsets of  $Y$  with labels in  $M$ . As a set  $C^M(Y)$  consists of those pairs  $(S, \sigma)$ , where  $S$  is a finite subset of  $Y$  and  $\sigma$  is a map  $S \rightarrow M$ . But  $(S, \sigma)$  is identified with  $(S', \sigma')$  when  $S \subset S'$ ,  $\sigma'|_S = \sigma$ , and  $\sigma'(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin S$ . It should be noted that the topology of  $C^M(Y)$  depends not only on the topologies of  $Y$  and  $M$ , but also on the partial monoid structure of  $M$ .

For any pointed space  $X$  let

$$C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) = C^{X \wedge M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty).$$

Here  $X \wedge M$  is regarded as a abelian partial monoid such that  $x_1 \wedge a_1, \dots, x_k \wedge a_k$  are summable if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_k$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are summable in  $M$ , and in such a case we have  $x \wedge a_1 + \dots + x \wedge a_k = x \wedge (a_1 + \dots + a_k)$ .

Let  $E^M(X) = \Omega C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, \Sigma X)$ , where  $\Sigma X$  is the reduced suspension of  $X$ . As  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is a continuous functor of  $X$ , there exists a natural map

$$C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \rightarrow \Omega C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, \Sigma X) = E^M(X).$$

The results of [5] imply the following.

- (1) The map  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \rightarrow E^M(X)$  is a group completion, that is, induces an isomorphism of Pontrjagin ring

$$H_\bullet(C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X))[\pi^{-1}] \cong H_\bullet(E^M(X))$$

for any commutative coefficient ring, where  $\pi = \pi_0 C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$ .

- (2) The correspondence  $X \mapsto \pi_\bullet E^M(X)$  defines a generalized homology theory on the category of pointed spaces.

---

*Date:* September 20, 2004.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N20, 55P47, 55R80.

Various homology theories arise in this way. For example, the stable homotopy and the ordinary homology theories correspond, respectively, to the subsets  $\{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  of the additive group of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$ . (The former is a consequence of the Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem and the latter is the Dold-Thom theorem.) On the other hand, the connective  $K$ -homology theory arises from  $\text{Gr}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ , the Grassmannian of finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ . Here  $\text{Gr}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)_J$  consists of those tuples  $(V_j)$  such that  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  are perpendicular if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\sum_{j \in J} V_j$  is defined to be the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j$ . (Compare [4].)

The aim of this note is to show that when  $M$  is embedded in a topological abelian group then we can take  $C^{\pm M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  as a group completion of  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$ , where  $\pm M = \{a - b \mid a, b \in M\}$ . More precisely, we will show

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be an arbitrary subset of a topological abelian group such that  $0 \in M$ . Then for any pointed space  $X$  the natural map  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \rightarrow C^{\pm M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  induced by the inclusion  $M \subset \pm M$  is a group completion.*

This implies that there is a natural isomorphism of homology theories

$$\pi_\bullet E^M(X) \cong \pi_\bullet C^{\pm M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X).$$

In particular, let  $M = \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) = C(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is the standard configuration space of finite subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  parametrized by  $X$ . On the other hand,  $C^{\pm M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) = C^\pm(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is the space of positive and negative particles introduced by McDuff [2]. Caruso has shown in [1] that  $C^\pm(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$  if  $X$  is locally equi-connected. By using Theorem 1 this can be generalized, both in  $M$  and in  $X$ , as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a finite set of integers such that  $0 \in M$ . Suppose that  $M$  contains at least one non-zero element and is stable under the involution  $n \mapsto -n$ . Then  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$  for any pointed space  $X$ .*

To see this let  $d$  be the greatest common divisor of the positive members of  $M$ . Then there are positive integers  $k$  and  $l$  such that

$$\pm\{0, d\} \subset (\pm)^k M \subset (\pm)^l \{0, d\} \subset (\pm)^{k+l-1} M$$

holds, for we have  $(\pm)^l \{0, d\} = \{0, \pm d, \dots, \pm ld\}$ . By Theorem 1 we have

$$\pi_\bullet C^{\pm\{0, d\}}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \cong \pi_\bullet C^{(\pm)^k M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X).$$

We also have

$$\pi_\bullet C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X) \cong \pi_\bullet C^{(\pm)^k M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$$

because  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is a grouplike Hopf-space.

Thus  $C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is weakly equivalent to  $C^{\pm\{0, d\}}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$ . But  $C^{\{0, d\}}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is homeomorphic to the standard configuration space  $C(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$ , hence its group completion  $C^{\pm\{0, d\}}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  is weakly equivalent to  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$  by the Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $M$  is a finite set of integers containing at least one non-zero element then  $\pi_\bullet E^M(X)$  is the stable homotopy of a pointed space  $X$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In [5] we showed that there exist a  $CW$  monoid  $D(X \wedge M)$  and a weak equivalence  $\Phi: D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  natural in  $X$ . Let us briefly recall the definitions.

Let  $\mathcal{Q}(M)$  be the topological category whose space of objects is the disjoint union  $\coprod_{p \geq 0} M^p$ , and whose morphisms from  $(a_i) \in M^p$  to  $(b_j) \in M^q$  are maps of finite sets  $\theta: \{1, \dots, p\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$  such that  $b_j = \sum_{i \in \theta^{-1}(j)} a_i$  holds for  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . Let  $Q(M)$  denote the classifying space of  $\mathcal{Q}(M)$ , that is, the realization of the nerve  $[k] \mapsto N_k \mathcal{Q}(M)$ . Then  $Q(M)$  is a homotopy commutative monoid, because  $\mathcal{Q}(M)$  is a permutative category with respect to the operation

$$(a_1, \dots, a_p) \cdot (b_1, \dots, b_q) = (a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q).$$

Given a pointed space  $X$  let  $D(X \wedge M) = |S_\bullet Q(X \wedge M)|$  be the realization of the total singular complex of  $Q(X \wedge M)$ . Then  $D(X \wedge M)$  inherits from  $Q(X \wedge M)$  a monoid structure with respect to which the weak equivalence

$$D(X \wedge M) = |S_\bullet Q(X \wedge M)| \rightarrow Q(X \wedge M)$$

is a map of topological monoids. Note that  $D(X \wedge M)$  is homeomorphic to the realization of the diagonal simplicial set

$$[k] \mapsto S_k N_k \mathcal{Q}(X \wedge M) = N_k \mathcal{Q}(S_k(X \wedge M)).$$

Let us define  $\Phi: D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow C^M(\mathbf{R}^\infty, X)$  to be the composite

$$D(X \wedge M) = |N_\bullet \mathcal{Q}(S_\bullet(X \wedge M))| \xrightarrow{\Phi'} |S_\bullet C^{X \wedge M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)| \rightarrow C^{X \wedge M}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$$

where  $\Phi'$  is a weak equivalence constructed in [5, §4]. Since  $\Phi$  is a weak equivalence of Hopf-spaces, Theorem 1 follows from

**Proposition 4.** *The natural map  $D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow D(X \wedge \pm M)$  induced by the inclusion  $M \subset \pm M$  is a group completion.*

The rest of the note is devoted to the proof of this proposition.

Given a map of topological monoids  $f: D \rightarrow D'$  let  $B(D, D')$  denote the realization of the category  $\mathcal{B}(D, D')$  whose space of objects is  $D'$  and whose space of morphisms is the product  $D \times D'$ , where  $(d, d') \in D \times D'$  is regarded as a morphism from  $d'$  to  $f(d) \cdot d'$ . Then there is a sequence of maps

$$D' = B(0, D') \rightarrow B(D, D') \rightarrow B(D, 0) = BD$$

induced by the maps  $0 \rightarrow D$  and  $D' \rightarrow 0$  respectively. Observe that  $BD$  is the standard classifying space of the monoid  $D$  and  $B(D, D)$  is contractible when  $f$  is the identity.

Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D(X \wedge M) & \longrightarrow & B(D(X \wedge M), D(X \wedge M)) & \longrightarrow & BD(X \wedge M) \\ & & \downarrow^{B(1, i)} & & \parallel \\ & & D(X \wedge \pm M) & \longrightarrow & B(D(X \wedge M), D(X \wedge \pm M)) & \longrightarrow & BD(X \wedge M) \end{array}$$

in which the upper and the lower sequences are associated with the identity and the inclusion  $i: D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow D(X \wedge \pm M)$ , respectively.

**Lemma 5.** *The natural map  $D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow \Omega BD(X \wedge M)$  is a group completion.*

This follows from the fact that  $D(X \wedge M)$  is a homotopy commutative, hence admissible, monoid.

**Lemma 6.** *The lower sequence in the diagram (2.1) is a homotopy fibration sequence with contractible total space.*

Proposition 4 can be deduced from this, because  $D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow D(X \wedge \pm M)$  is equivalent to the group completion map  $D(X \wedge M) \rightarrow \Omega BD(X \wedge M)$  under the equivalence  $D(X \wedge \pm M) \simeq \Omega BD(X \wedge M)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 6.* Let us write  $D = D(X \wedge M)$  and  $D' = D(X \wedge \pm M)$ . Since  $D$  acts on  $D'$  through homotopy equivalences, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D' & \longrightarrow & B(D, D') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B(D, 0) \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian by Proposition 1.6 of [3]. This implies that the lower sequence in the diagram (2.1) is a homotopy fibration sequence.

It remains to prove that  $B(D, D')$  is contractible.

Notice that  $B(D, D')$  is homeomorphic to the realization of the diagonal simplicial set

$$[k] \mapsto E_k = N_k \mathcal{B}(D_k, D'_k).$$

where  $D_k = N_k \mathcal{Q}(S_k(X \wedge M))$  and  $D'_k = N_k \mathcal{Q}(S_k(X \wedge \pm M))$ . Hence  $B(D, D')$  is a  $CW$ -complex whose 0-cells correspond to elements of  $D'_0$ , 1-cells to pairs from  $D_1 \times D'_1$ , and so on. In particular, a pair consisting of  $(S \rightarrow \theta_* S) \in D_1$  and  $(T \rightarrow \psi_* T) \in D'_1$  determines a path in  $B(D, D')$  joining  $d_0 T$  to  $d_1(\theta_* S \cdot \psi_* T)$ .

Let  $\|E\|$  denote the thick realization of  $[k] \mapsto E_k$ . We shall show that the natural map  $q: \|E\| \rightarrow |E| = B(D, D')$  is homotopic to the constant map. This implies that  $B(D, D')$  is contractible, since  $q$  is a homotopy equivalence. (See [3, Appendix A].)

Let  $r: \|E\| \rightarrow \|D'\|$  the map induced by the functor  $\mathcal{B}(D, D') \rightarrow D'$ , which takes a morphism  $(d, d')$  to the identity of  $d \cdot d'$ . Clearly the composite  $jr: \|E\| \rightarrow \|E\|$  is homotopic to the identity. Hence  $q$  is homotopic to the constant map if so is  $qj: \|D'\| \rightarrow B(D, D')$ .

Let  $\|D'\|_n$  denote the image of  $\coprod_{k \leq n} D'_k \times \Delta^k$  in  $\|D'\|$ , and let  $qj_n: \|D'\|_n \rightarrow B(D, D')$  be the restriction of  $qj$  to  $\|D'\|_n$ . We construct a null homotopy  $h_n$  of  $qj_n$  by successively extending  $h_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

For every element  $a$  of  $\pm M$  choose  $a^+ \in M$  and  $a^- \in -M$  such that  $a = a^+ + a^-$  holds. If  $S = (x_j \wedge a_j) \in S_0(X \wedge \pm M)^p$  is an element of  $D'_0 = \|D'\|_0$  then we put

$$S_+ = (x_j \wedge a_j^+) \in D_0, \quad S_- = (x_j \wedge a_j^-), \quad \bar{S} = (x_j \wedge -a_j) \in D'_0.$$

Let  $[S] \in B(D, D')$  denote the image of  $S$  under  $qj_0$ . If we regard  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  as elements of  $D_1$  and  $D'_1$ , respectively, via the degeneracy  $s_0$  then the pair  $(S_+, S_-) \in D_1 \times D'_1 = E_1$  determines a path in  $B(D, D')$  joining  $[S_-]$  to  $[S_+ \cdot S_-]$ .

On the other hand, the map  $\nabla: \{1, \dots, 2p\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $\nabla(j) = \nabla(p+j) = j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq p$ ) determines a path in  $D' \subset B(D, D')$  joining  $[S_+ \cdot S_-]$  to  $[\nabla_*(S_+ \cdot S_-)] = [S]$ . Hence we obtain a composite path in  $B(D, D')$  joining  $[S_-]$  to  $[S]$ , which we shall denote by the symbol

$$[S_-] \xrightarrow{\mu} [S]$$

Similarly, we have paths

$$[S_-] \xrightarrow{\gamma} [\mathbf{0}^p], \quad \emptyset \xrightarrow{\nu} [\mathbf{0}^p]$$

induced by the sequence  $S_- \rightarrow \overline{S_-} \cdot S_- \rightarrow \nabla_*(\overline{S_-} \cdot S_-) = \mathbf{0}^p$  and the unique map  $\nu: \emptyset \rightarrow \{1, \dots, p\}$ , respectively.

Now we have a path  $\alpha(S): I \rightarrow B(D, D')$  joining  $S$  to  $\emptyset$  induced by the chain

$$[S] \xleftarrow{\mu} [S_-] \xrightarrow{\gamma} [\mathbf{0}^p] \xleftarrow{\nu} \emptyset.$$

Thus the correspondence  $(S, t) \mapsto \alpha(S)(t)$  defines a null homotopy of  $qj_0$ ,

$$h_0: \|D'\|_0 \times I \rightarrow B(D, D').$$

We shall extend  $h_0$  to a null homotopy over  $\|D'\|_1$ . Let  $\theta: S \rightarrow T$  be an element of  $D'_1$ , where  $S \in S_1(X \wedge \pm M)^p$  and  $T = \theta_* S \in S_1(X \wedge \pm M)^q$ , and let  $[\theta]$  be the composite of the 1-cell  $I \rightarrow \|D'\|_1$  corresponding to  $\theta$  with  $qj_1: \|D'\|_1 \rightarrow B(D, D')$ . Thus  $[\theta]$  is a path in  $B(D, D')$  joining  $[d_0 S]$  to  $[d_1 T]$ .

Then we have a diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} [d_1 T] & \xlongequal{\quad} & [d_1 T] & \xleftarrow{\mu} & [d_1 T_{-,0}] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & [\mathbf{0}^q] & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \emptyset \\ [\theta] \uparrow & & \xi \uparrow & & \psi \uparrow & & \xi^0 \uparrow & & \parallel \\ [d_0 S] & \xrightarrow{1 \cdot \nu} & [d_0 S \cdot \mathbf{0}^q] & \xleftarrow{\mu \cdot \gamma} & [d_0 S_{-,0} \cdot \overline{d_1 T_+}] & \xrightarrow{\gamma \cdot \gamma} & [\mathbf{0}^p \cdot \mathbf{0}^q] & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \emptyset \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & 1 \cdot \gamma \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ [d_0 S] & \xrightarrow{1 \cdot \nu} & [d_0 S \cdot \mathbf{0}^q] & \xleftarrow{\mu \cdot 1} & [d_0 S_{-,0} \cdot \mathbf{0}^q] & \xrightarrow{\gamma \cdot 1} & [\mathbf{0}^p \cdot \mathbf{0}^q] & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \emptyset \\ \parallel & & 1 \cdot \nu \uparrow & & 1 \cdot \nu \uparrow & & 1 \cdot \nu \uparrow & & \parallel \\ [d_0 S] & \xlongequal{\quad} & [d_0 S] & \xleftarrow{\mu} & [d_0 S_{-,0}] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & [\mathbf{0}^p] & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \emptyset \end{array}$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\xi^0$  are induced by the arrows

$$S \cdot \mathbf{0}^q \rightarrow \nabla(\theta \cdot 1)_*(S \cdot \mathbf{0}^q) = T, \quad \mathbf{0}^p \cdot \mathbf{0}^q \rightarrow \nabla(\theta \cdot 1)_*(\mathbf{0}^p \cdot \mathbf{0}^q) = \mathbf{0}^q$$

and  $\psi$  is the composite path

$$\begin{aligned} [d_0 S_{-,0} \cdot \overline{d_1 T_+}] &\xrightarrow{\mu \cdot 1} [d_0 S \cdot \overline{d_1 T_+}] = [d_0(S \cdot s_0 \overline{d_1 T_+})] \rightarrow \\ &[d_1(\nabla(\theta \cdot 1)_*(S \cdot s_0 \overline{d_1 T_+}))] = [\nabla_*(d_1 T \cdot \overline{d_1 T_+})] = [d_1 T_{-,0}]. \end{aligned}$$

One easily observes from (2.2) that there is a homotopy  $[\theta] \simeq \emptyset$  which extends the one already defined on  $\partial[\theta] = [d_0S] \cup [d_1T]$ . Thus we can extend  $h_0$  to a null homotopy  $h_1$  over  $\|D'\|_1$ .

We need to extend the construction above to  $\|D'\|_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose that for every  $\mathcal{D} \in D'_k$  with  $k < n$ , there exists a null homotopy of the corresponding  $k$ -cell  $[\mathcal{D}]: \Delta^k \rightarrow B(D, D')$  given by a chain of commutative diagrams

$$(2.3) \quad [\mathcal{D}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{D}_0] \leftarrow [\mathcal{D}_-] \rightarrow [\mathbf{0}^{\mathcal{D}}] \leftarrow \emptyset$$

which is compatible with face operators.

Let  $\mathcal{D}' = (S(0) \xleftarrow{\theta_1} S(1) \xleftarrow{\theta_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{\theta_n} S(n))$  be an element of  $D'_n$ . Then the diagram similar to (2.2), but  $d_0S$  and  $d_1T$  are replaced by

$$d_0\mathcal{D}' = (d_0S(1) \xleftarrow{\theta_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{\theta_n} d_0S(n)) \in D'_{n-1}$$

and  $d_1^n S(0)$  respectively, yields a null homotopy of the  $n$ -cell  $[\mathcal{D}']$  which extends the ones already defined on its faces  $[d_i\mathcal{D}']$ . This implies that the null homotopy can be extended over  $\|D'\|_n$ , and hence completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Caruso, *A simpler approximation to  $QX$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **265** (1981), 163–167.
- [2] D. McDuff, *Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles*, Topology **14** (1975), 91–107.
- [3] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [4] ———,  *$K$ -homology theory and algebraic  $K$ -theory*, *K-Theory and Operator Algebras* (A. Dold and B. Eckmann, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 575, Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. 113–127.
- [5] K. Shimakawa, *Configuration spaces with partially summable labels and homology theories*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **43** (2001), 43–72.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* kazu@math.okayama-u.ac.jp