Holographic M-Brane Super-Embeddings

Grigorios Giotopoulos*, Hisham Sati*†, Urs Schreiber*

March 18, 2025

Abstract

Over a decade before the modern formulation of AdS/CFT duality, Duff et al. had observed a candidate microscopic explanation by identifying the CFT fields with fluctuations of probe p-branes stretched out in parallel near the horizon of their own black brane incarnation. A profound way to characterize these and more general probe p-brane configurations, especially for M5-branes, is expected to be as "super-embeddings" of their super-worldvolumes into target super-spacetime — but no concrete example of these had appeared in the literature. Here we fill this gap by constructing the explicit holographic 1 /2-BPS super-embeddings of probe M5-branes and M2-branes into their corresponding super-AdS backgrounds.

Contents

1	Introduction Explicit rheonomy in 11d		
2			
3	Holographic M5-Branes	7	
	3.1 Spinors on M5-branes	7	
	3.2 Super AdS ₇ -spacetime	9	
	3.3 Holographic M5 immersion	12	
4	Holographic M2-Branes		
	4.1 Spinors on M2-Branes	17	
	4.2 Super AdS_4 -spacetime	18	
	4.3 Holographic M2 immersion	21	
5	Conclusion 2		
\mathbf{A}	Appendix 2		
	A.1 Tensor conventions and 11d spinors	22	
	A.2 Holographic M2 Equation of Motion	24	



^{*} Mathematics, Division of Science; and Center for Quantum and Topological Systems, NYUAD Research Institute, New York University Abu Dhabi, UAE.

 $^{^{\}dagger} \text{The Courant Institute for Mathematical Sciences, NYU, NY.}$

1 Introduction

Microscopic holography via probe p-branes. While holographic duality has become common-place (review includes [AGMOO00][Nat15]) it may be less widely appreciated that well before its modern formulation a candidate microscopic explanation had been found by Duff et al., first discussed for the M2-brane [BDPS87][BD88][DF⁺99] then generalized to include also M5-branes and D-branes [CKvP98][CK⁺98][PST99][GM00][NP02], reviewed in [Du99b][Du99c] (more recent variations include [DGTZ20][Gu21][Gu24]):

In this microscopic p-brane holography – as we shall call it here for lack of an established name – one considers (as indicated in Figure B) probe p-branes (i.e., light branes described by sigma-models not back-reacting onto the ambient spacetime, cf. [Si12]) embedded in parallel near the (asymptotically AdS) horizon of their own black-brane incarnation (their heavy back-reacted version described by singular solutions of supergravity, cf. [DL94][Du99a, §5]) and finds that their fluctuations about this configuration are described by the conformal field theory (CFT) known from AdS/CFT duality.

In this picture, the otherwise somewhat mysterious holographic duality between (i) quantum systems and (ii) gravity reflects but two perspectives on the expected nature of branes:

- (i) as dynamical (fluctuating) physical objects in themselves, and
- (ii) as sources of gravitational (and higher gauge-) fields propagating away from the black brane. ¹

Figure B. Schematics of a probe brane worldvolume immersed (embedded) near the horizon of its own black brane incarnation, parallel to it at some coordinate distance $r_{\rm prb}$. (Precise details on the black M5-brane background are in §3.2 and on the probe M5 in §3.3.)

The curvy line indicates (quantum-)fluctuations about this parallel configuration, thought to incarnate the strongly coupled quantum system holographically encoded in the ambient gravitational field.



The open problem of holographic flux quantization. Even though a key aspect and motivation of holographic duality is the access it provides to non-perturbative strongly-coupled quantum physics on the brane worldvolume, existing discussions tend to ignore the main non-perturbative effect already in the classical worldvolume theory, namely the global completion of its (higher) gauge field content by flux quantization laws [SS25][SS24a]. Such flux-quantization provides the solitonic field content in analogy to how familiar Dirac charge quantization gives rise to Dirac monopole and Abrikosov vortex field configurations in the electromagnetic field, and is thus crucial for a complete picture of the non-perturbative physics on the brane.

The need for probe brane super-embeddings. But in previous articles [GSS24b][GSS24a], we have explained that the issue of flux quantization especially on probe M5-branes may be solved once their worldvolume fields are promoted to "super-embeddings", or rather to 1/2BPS super-immersions. Therefore the goal of the present article is to construct explicit examples of holographic M-brane super-immersion. (Based on this, we discuss the resulting worldvolume flux quantization in the companion article [SS24b].)

In fact, what we construct here seems to be the first non-trivial example of brane "super-embeddings":

- (i) The existing literature [BPSTV95][HS97a][HRS98] [HS97b][S000] (recent review in [BaSo23]) contains arguments that "super-embeddings" (i.e. 1 /2BPS super-immersions, [GSS24b, Def. 2.19]) of super p-brane worldvolumes imply the equations of motion of the corresponding super p-brane σ -model. However, the converse conclusion that no further constraints than these equations of motion are implied is far from obvious and has only partially been addressed (e.g. for some aspects of the M2-brane in [BPSTV95, (2.50-52)]). Related to this may be the absence of previously published examples of non-trivial super-embeddings.
- (ii) The analogous issue in the derivation of 11d supergravity (from the superspace torsion constraint) had similarly remained unaddressed in published literature. In this case, we had settled the reverse implication with the substantial help of mechanized computer algebra [GSS24a, Thm. 3.1]. The humongous cancellations that happen to make this work seem nothing less than a miracle, quite reinforcing the idea that 11d supergravity occupies a special point in the space of all field theories.
- (iii) A similar miracle may be needed to guarantee that for constructing an M5 super-immersion it is sufficient to solve its equations of motion. In lack of a complete argument to this extent, but to still have the desired implication of the super-flux Bianchi identity ([GSS24b, Prop. 3.17], needed for the flux quantization argument in [SS24b]), we have to construct M5 super-immersions explicitly.

¹For the case of 0-branes, namely for *particles*, the investigation of these dual perspectives — (1.) as quanta and (2.) as black hole solutions — goes back all the way to [EIH38], and has fascinated authors since, see for instance [AP04][Bu08].

The construction of holographic M-brane super-embeddings. This is what we do here for the case of holographic M-branes (for M5-branes in §3.3 and for M2-branes in §4.3).

Apart from serving as a prerequisite for worldvolume flux quantization in [SS24b], these solutions should be of interest in their own right as rare explicit examples of non-trivial p-brane super-embeddings.

The key tool we use for the construction is the explicit rheonomy equations due to [Ts04] for 11d supergravity fields on superspace, of which we give a detailed re-derivation in §2. Moreover, we find it most useful to not use a matrix representation of the relevant worldvolume spin representations (in contrast to most existing literature) but instead to carve these out of the 11d spinor rep by suitable projection operators (in §3.1 and §4.1), an approach that is naturally adapted to the discussion of BPS brane super-immersions.

Our supergravity superspace notation follows [GSS24a][GSS24b] (close to that of [CDF91]), briefly recalled in §A.1 in the Appendix.

2 Explicit rheonomy in 11d

Here we present explicit formulas for extending solutions of 11d supergravity from ordinary spacetime to superspacetime, in those cases where the (Ψ^0) -component of the gravitino field strength vanishes (9) – which is the case relevant for SuGra backgrounds (cf. [FvP12, §12.6]).

This extension process (or the property that it exists) has been called *rheonomy* [CDF91, §III.3.3], alluding to the idea that the ordinary fields "flow" in the odd coordinate directions from the bosonic submanifold over the full supermanifold, to become super-fields. Explicit such formulas have been claimed for the special case of coset-spacetimes (like $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{D-p+2}$) by [dWPPS98, p. 156][Cl99] (following [KRR98][CK99]), and a derivation in full generality has been given by [Ts04].

We closely follow the latter but find that the specialization (9) to vanishing gravitino field strength (which still subsumes all the former examples) gives a substantial improvement in transparency and usability that may be of interest in its own right. Additionally, we provide full details in order to secure the relative prefactors in the formulas.

The strategy of the construction is to expand the super-fields and their structural equations in a suitable gauge on a suitable super-coordinate chart in order to obtain explicit differential equations for the flow along the odd coordinate directions. Therefore we start by considering:

Coordinate-components of superfields. On a super-chart with coordinates (X, Θ) we have the expansion of the super-gravitational fields (114) first into their coefficients of the coordinate-differentials and then further their super-field expansion as polynomials in the odd coordinates (with index convention as shown on the right),

	Even	Odd
Frame	$a \in \{0, \cdots, 10\}$	$\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, 32\}$
Coord.	$r \in \{0, \cdots, 10\}$	$\rho \in \{1, \cdots, 32\}$

$$E^{a} =: E^{a}_{r} dX^{r} + E^{a}_{\rho} d\Theta^{\rho} \qquad E^{a}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \left(E^{(n)}\right)^{a}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \frac{1}{n!} \Theta^{\rho_{1}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n}} \left(E^{(n)}_{\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{n}}\right)^{a}_{r/\rho}$$

$$\Psi^{\alpha} =: \Psi^{\alpha}_{r} dX^{r} + \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho} d\Theta^{\rho} \qquad \Psi^{\alpha}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \left(\Psi^{(n)}\right)^{\alpha}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \frac{1}{n!} \Theta^{\rho_{1}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n}} \left(\Psi^{(n)}_{\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{n}}\right)^{\alpha}_{r/\rho}$$

$$\Omega^{ab}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \left(\Omega^{(n)}\right)^{ab}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \frac{1}{n!} \Theta^{\rho_{1}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n}} \left(\Omega^{(n)}_{\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{n}}\right)^{ab}_{r/\rho} ,$$

$$\Omega^{ab}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \left(\Omega^{(n)}\right)^{ab}_{r/\rho} =: \sum_{n=0}^{32} \frac{1}{n!} \Theta^{\rho_{1}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n}} \left(\Omega^{(n)}_{\rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{n}}\right)^{ab}_{r/\rho} ,$$

whose coefficients are functions on the underlying bosonic manifold which are skew-symmetric in their indices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} \\ \Psi_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} \\ \Omega_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{iso}(\mathbb{R}^{1,10|32}), \qquad E_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} = E_{[\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}]}^{(n)} \\ \Omega_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} = \Psi_{[\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}]}^{(n)} \\ \Omega_{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}}^{(n)} = \Omega_{[\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{n}]}^{(n)}.$$

$$(2)$$

Notice that this implies:

$$\left(E_{[\rho'\,\rho_2\cdots\rho_n]}^{(n)}\right)_{\rho]}^a = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(n \left(E_{\rho'\,[\rho_2\cdots\rho_n]}^{(n)}\right)_{\rho]}^a - \left(E_{\rho\,\rho_2\cdots\rho_n}^{(n)}\right)_{\rho'}^a\right). \tag{3}$$

Also notice the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ bi-degrees (cf. [GSS24a, §2.1.1]) of the Ψ -components,

$$\Psi^{\alpha} = \Psi^{\alpha}_{r} \quad dX^{r} + \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho} \quad d\Theta^{\rho}
deg: (1,1) \quad (0,1) \quad (1,0) \quad (0,0) \quad (1,1),$$
(4)

which implies in particular that the component functions Ψ_{ρ}^{α} commute with all other terms.

Wess-Zumino-Tsimpis gauge. On these components, we may impose the following gauge conditions ([Ts04, (39-42)], following [McA84, (A.3-4)][AD87, (17-18)]):

Definition 2.1 (Wess-Zumino-Tsimpis gauge). ² The WZT gauge is given by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E^{(0)})_{\rho}^{a} &\equiv 0 \\
& (\Psi^{(0)})_{\rho}^{\alpha} &\equiv \delta_{\rho}^{\alpha} & \text{and} & \forall \\
& (\Omega^{(0)})_{\rho}^{ab} &\equiv 0
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \forall \\
& (\Omega^{(0)})_{\rho}^{ab} &\equiv 0$$

$$(5)$$

Lemma 2.2 (Direct implications of WZT gauge). The WZT gauge conditions (5) imply:

$$\Theta^{\rho} E^{a}_{\rho} = 0$$

$$\Theta^{\rho} \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho} = \Theta^{\rho} \delta^{\alpha}_{\rho} =: \Theta^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigvee_{n \in \{1, \dots, 32\}} \begin{cases}
\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho'} \left(E^{(n)}\right)^{a}_{\rho} = \left(E^{(n)}\right)^{a}_{\rho'}$$

$$\Theta^{\rho} \Omega_{\rho}^{ab} = 0$$

$$\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho'} \left(\Psi^{(n)}\right)^{\alpha}_{\rho} = \left(\Psi^{(n)}\right)^{\alpha}_{\rho'}$$

$$\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho'} \left(\Omega^{(n)}\right)^{ab}_{\rho} = \left(\Omega^{(n)}\right)^{ab}_{\rho'}.$$
(6)

Proof. The implications on the left of (6) are immediate (cf. [Ts04, (43-44)]). To see the equations on the right of (6) we may proceed as follows:

$$\Theta^{\rho} \, \partial_{\rho'} \left(E^{(n+1)} \right)_{\rho}^{a} = \frac{1}{n!} \, \Theta^{\rho} \, \Theta^{\rho_{2}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n+1}} \left(E^{(n+1)}_{\rho' \, [\rho_{2} \cdots \rho_{n+1}]} \right)_{\rho]}^{a} \quad \text{by (1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \, \Theta^{\rho} \, \Theta^{\rho_{2}} \cdots \Theta^{\rho_{n+1}} \left(E^{(n+1)}_{\rho \, \rho_{2} \cdots \rho_{n+1}} \right)_{\rho'}^{a} \quad \text{by (3) \& (5)}$$

$$= \left(E^{(n+1)}_{\rho \, \rho_{2} \cdots \rho_{n+1}} \right)_{\rho'}^{a} \quad \text{by (1)},$$

and verbatim so also for E replaced by Ψ or Ω .

Remark 2.3 (Fermionic normal coordinates and Rheonomy). The WZT gauge of Def. 2.1 may be understood as a fermionic form of Riemann normal coordinates [McA84, (A.3-4)][AD87, (17-18)]. In particular, the implication $\Theta^{\rho} \Omega_{\rho}^{ab} = 0$ (6) has the further consequence that for translations along the odd coordinate direction ("rheonomy" [CDF91, §III.3.3]) the covariant derivative reduces to the plain coordinate derivative:

$$\Theta^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} = \Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho}. \tag{8}$$

Gravitino-flat supergravity solutions on super-space. For our purpose here, we focus on solutions to 11d supergravity, for which the ordinary component of the gravitino field strength (116) vanishes,

$$\rho_{ab} \equiv 0 \tag{9}$$

(which is the case for essentially all supergravity solutions of interest, cf. [FvP12, §12.6]).

With ρ_{ab} also the super-curvature component $J^{a_1a_2}{}_b$ vanishes (cf. [GSS24a, (161)]), so that on gravitino-flat solutions the super-field strengths (116) have the form

$$T^{a} = 0$$

$$\rho = H_{a}\Psi E^{a}$$

$$R^{a_{1}a_{2}} = \frac{1}{2}R^{a_{1}a_{2}}{}_{b_{1}b_{2}}E^{a_{1}}E^{a_{2}} + (\overline{\Psi}K^{a_{1}a_{2}}\Psi).$$
(10)

²Recall (e.g. [BK95, §3.4.3]) that the Wess-Zumino gauge on chiral superfields constrains their dependence on the super-coordinates, hence their auxiliary super-components, but not the physical fields. The suggestion to think of this, in the context of curved super-space/supergravity, as a special case of fermionic Riemann normal coordinates may be due to [AD87], and the higher component generalization (5) that we use is due to [Ts04].

Lemma 2.4 (Θ -independence of field components). For gravitino-flat (9) super-space solutions of 11d SuGra in WZT gauge (Def. 2.1) the following super-field strength components (10) are all independent of the odd coordinates Θ^{ρ} :

The flux densities
$$\partial_{\rho}((G_4)_{a_1\cdots a_4}) = 0$$
, $\partial_{\rho}((G_7)_{a_1\cdots a_7}) = 0$,

Odd co-frame component of the gravitino field strength $\partial_{\rho}(H_a) = 0$,

Odd co-frame components of the super-curvature $\partial_{\rho}(K^{a_1a_2}) = 0$.

Proof. This follows by use of the well-known super-space constraints, which we quote from [GSS24a] (where full derivation and referencing are given). First, the Θ -independence of G_4 follows by

$$\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \big((G_4)_{a_1 \cdots a_4} \big) = \Theta^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} \big((G_4)_{a_1 \cdots a_4} \big) \quad \text{by (8)}$$

$$= 12 \big(\overline{\Theta} \Gamma_{[a_1 a_2} \rho_{a_2 a_3]} \big) \quad \text{by [GSS24a, (136)]}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{by (9)}.$$

But the remaining components in (11) are linear functions of $(G_4)_{a_1\cdots a_4}$:

$$H_{a} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3!} (G_{4})_{a \, b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} \Gamma^{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{4!} (G_{4})^{b_{1} \cdots b_{4}} \Gamma_{a \, b_{1} \cdots b_{4}} \qquad [GSS24a, (135)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3!} (G_{4})_{a \, b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} \Gamma^{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{6!} (G_{7})_{a \, c_{1} \cdots c_{6}} \Gamma^{c_{1} \cdots c_{6}} \qquad [GSS24a, (148)]$$

$$K^{a_{1} a_{2}} = -\frac{1}{6} \left((G_{4})^{a_{1} a_{2} \, b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma_{b_{1} b_{2}} + \frac{1}{4!} (G_{4})_{b_{1} \cdots b_{4}} \Gamma^{a_{1} a_{2} \, b_{1} \cdots b_{4}} \right) \qquad [GSS24a, (162)]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6} \left((G_{4})^{a_{1} a_{2} \, b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma_{b_{1} b_{2}} + \frac{1}{5!} (G_{7})^{a_{1} a_{2} \, b_{1} \cdots b_{5}} \Gamma_{b_{1} \cdots b_{5}} \right)$$

and hence their Θ -dependence vanishes with that of G_4 and G_7 .

Supergravity field extension to super-space. We now consider solutions to the rheonomy equations for extending on-shell 11d supergravity fields to superspace, cast into recursion relations in the polynomial order of their odd coordinate field dependence as in [Ts04] (similar to [dWPPS98, (3.9)]), but specialized to the case of gravitino-flat spacetimes (9).

Lemma 2.5 (Rheonomy for the graviton). In WZT gauge (5) the following recursion relations hold for the bosonic coframe field components (1), recursing in their odd coordinate degree $n + 1 \in \{1, \dots, 32\}$:

$$(E^{(n+1)})_{\rho}^{a} = \frac{2}{n+2} (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}),$$

$$(E^{(n+1)})_{r}^{a} = \frac{2}{n+1} (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} \Psi_{r}^{(n)})$$
(13)

(cf. [Ts04, (58, 59)].³

Proof. The $d\Theta^{\rho}$ -component of (13) follows as:

$$d E^{a} = \Omega^{a}{}_{b} E^{b} + (\overline{\Psi} \Gamma^{a} \Psi) \qquad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho} \partial_{(\rho} E^{a}{}_{\rho')} = \Theta^{\rho} (\Omega^{a}{}_{b})_{(\rho} E^{b}{}_{\rho')} + \Theta^{\rho} \Psi^{\alpha}{}_{(\rho} \Psi^{\alpha'}{}_{\rho')} \Gamma^{a}{}_{\alpha\alpha'} \qquad \text{by (1)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Theta^{\rho} \partial_{(\rho} E^{a}{}_{\rho')} = \Theta^{\rho} \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\rho} \Psi^{\alpha'}{}_{\rho'} \Gamma^{a}{}_{\alpha\alpha'} \qquad \text{by (6) & (4)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{(\rho} (E^{(n+1)})^{a}{}_{\rho')}} = \underline{\Theta^{\alpha} (\Psi^{(n)})^{\alpha'}{}_{\rho'} \Gamma^{a}{}_{\alpha\alpha'}} \qquad \text{by (1) & (6),}$$

³ The factor of "i/2" by which our (13) differs from [Ts04, (58, 59)] is absorbed by our convention for the spacetime signature, the Clifford algebra and the Majorana spinor: Our Γ-matrices are i times the Gamma matrices there (which makes all expressions in Majorana spinors manifestly real, cf. [GSS24a, Rem. 1.7])), and we do not include a factor of 1 /2 multiplying the (1 /2)-term in the definition of the super-torsion (115).

and the dX^r -component as:

$$dE^{a} = \Omega^{a}{}_{b}E^{b} + (\overline{\Psi}\Gamma^{a}\Psi) \qquad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}E^{a}{}_{r} = \Theta^{\rho}(\Omega^{a}{}_{b})_{\rho}E^{b}{}_{r} - \Theta^{\rho}(\Omega^{a}{}_{b})_{r}E^{b}{}_{\rho} + 2\Theta^{\rho}\Psi^{\alpha}{}_{\rho}\Psi^{\alpha'}{}_{r}\Gamma^{a}{}_{\alpha\alpha'} \qquad \text{by (1)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Theta^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}E^{a}{}_{r} = 2\Theta^{\rho}\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\rho}\Psi^{\alpha'}{}_{r}\Gamma^{a}{}_{\alpha\alpha'} \qquad \text{by (6)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}(E^{(n+1)})^{a}{}_{r} = 2(\overline{\Theta}\Gamma^{a}\Psi^{(n)}{}_{r}) \qquad \text{by (1) \& (6)}.$$

Lemma 2.6 (Rheonomy for the spin-connection). On gravitino-flat (9) super-spacetimes in WZT gauge (5) we have the following recursion relations for the spin connection (1), recursing in the odd coordinate degree $n+1 \in \{1, \dots, 32\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Omega^{(n+1)})_{\rho}^{a_1 a_2} &= \frac{2}{n+2} (\overline{\Theta} K^{a_1 a_2} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}) \\
&(\Omega^{(n+1)})_{r}^{a_1 a_2} &= \frac{2}{n+1} (\overline{\Theta} K^{a_1 a_2} \Psi_{r}^{(n)})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(cf. $[Ts04, (61, 64)]^4$ noticing our (11)).

Proof. In (14) the $d\Theta^{\rho}$ -component follows by:

$$d\Omega^{a_{1}a_{2}} = \Omega^{a_{1}}{}_{b}\Omega^{ba_{2}} + R^{a_{1}a_{2}} \quad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho'} \partial_{(\rho'} (\Omega^{a_{1}a_{2}})_{\rho)} = \Theta^{\rho'} \delta^{\alpha'}_{\rho'} \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho} K^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{\alpha'\alpha} \quad \text{by (10), (1) \& (6)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\Theta^{\rho'} \partial_{(\rho'} (\Omega^{(n+1)})^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{\rho)}}_{(\underline{a_{1}a_{2}}} = (\overline{\Theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Psi^{(n)}_{\rho}) \quad \text{by (1), (6) \& (11),}$$

and the dX^r -component by:

$$d\Omega^{a_{1}a_{2}} = \Omega^{a_{1}}{}_{b}\Omega^{ba_{2}} + R^{a_{1}a_{2}} \quad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho}(\Omega^{a_{1}a_{2}})_{r} = 2\Theta^{\rho} \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho} \Psi^{\alpha'}_{r} K^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{\alpha\alpha'} \quad \text{by (10), (1), \& (6)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\Theta^{\rho} \partial_{\rho}(\Omega^{(n+1)})^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{r}}_{(n+1)(\Omega^{(n+1)})^{a_{1}a_{2}}_{r}} = 2(\overline{\Theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Psi^{(n)}) \quad \text{by (1), (6) \& (11).}$$

Lemma 2.7 (Rheonomy for the gravitino). On gravitino-flat (9) super-spacetimes in WZT gauge (5) the following recursion relations hold for the odd coordinate dependence of the gravitino field (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Psi^{(n+1)})_{\rho}^{\alpha} &= +\frac{1}{n+2}\frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{ab}\Theta)^{\alpha}(\Omega^{(n)})_{\rho}^{ab} + \frac{1}{n+2}(H_{a}\Theta)^{\alpha}(E^{(n)})_{\rho}^{a} \\
&(\Psi^{(n+1)})_{r}^{\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{n+1}\frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{ab}\Theta)^{\alpha}(\Omega^{(n)})_{r}^{ab} + \frac{1}{n+1}(H_{a}\Theta)^{\alpha}(E^{(n)})_{r}^{a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Proof. In (15) the $d\Theta^{\rho}$ -component follows by:

$$d\Psi^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\Omega^{ab} (\Gamma_{ab}\Psi)^{\alpha} + \rho^{\alpha} \qquad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho'} \partial_{(\rho'} \Psi^{\alpha}_{\rho)} = \frac{1}{4}\Theta^{\rho'} (\Omega^{ab})_{(\rho'} (\Gamma_{ab}\Psi_{\rho)})^{\alpha} + \Theta^{\rho'} (H_a\Psi_{(\rho'})^{\alpha} E^a_{\rho)} \qquad \text{by (10), (1), & (6)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\Theta^{\rho'} \partial_{(\rho'} (\Psi^{(n+1)})^{\alpha}_{\rho)}}_{\frac{n+2}{2} (\Psi^{(n+1)})^{\alpha}_{\rho}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma_{ab}\Theta)^{\alpha} (\Omega^{(n)})^{ab}_{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (H_a\Theta)^{\alpha} (E^{(n)})^{a}_{\rho} \qquad \text{by (1), (6) & (11),}$$

⁴As in footnote 3, the difference of [Ts04, (61, 64)] from (14) by a factor of i/2 is due to our spinor convention.

and the dX^a -component by:

$$d\Psi^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\Omega^{ab}(\Gamma_{ab}\Psi)^{\alpha} + \rho^{\alpha} \qquad \text{from (115)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\Psi^{\alpha}_{r} = -\Theta^{\rho}\frac{1}{4}\Omega^{ab}_{r}(\Gamma_{ab}\Psi_{\rho}) + \Theta^{\rho}(H_{a}\Psi_{\rho})^{\alpha}E^{a}_{r} \qquad \text{by (10), (1), \& (6)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\Theta^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}(\Psi^{(n+1)})^{\alpha}_{r}}_{(n+1)(\Psi^{(n+1)})^{\alpha}_{r}} = -\frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{ab}\Theta)(\Omega^{(n)})^{ab}_{r} + (H_{a}\Theta)^{\alpha}(E^{(n)})^{a}_{r} \qquad \text{by (1), (6) \& (11).}$$

Notice here how the sign in the second line appears since only the coefficient of $dX^r d\Theta^\rho$ contributes in the first term, which picks up a sign $dX^r d\Theta^\rho = -d\Theta^\rho dX^r$ in comparison to the left hand side.

By inserting these recursion relations into each other, we may decouple them (resulting in a formulation similar to [dWPPS98, (3.9)]):

Lemma 2.8 (Decoupled rheonomy recursion relations). On gravitino-flat (9) super-spacetimes in WZT gauge (5) the following decoupled recursion relations hold for the odd coordinate dependence of the super-fields:

$$\left(\Psi^{(n+2)}\right)_{\rho}^{\alpha} = +\frac{1}{n+4} \frac{2}{n+3} \frac{1}{4} \left(\Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}}\Theta\right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\Theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}\right) + \frac{1}{n+4} \frac{2}{n+3} (H_{a}\Theta)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}\right) \qquad \text{by inserting} \\
\left(\Psi^{(n+2)}\right)_{r}^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}}\Theta)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\Theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Psi_{r}^{(n)}\right) + \frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} (H_{a}\Theta)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} \Psi_{r}^{(n)}\right) \qquad \text{into (15)}.$$

3 Holographic M5-Branes

3.1 Spinors on M5-branes

We briefly recall and record some properties of spinors in 6d among spinors in 11d, following [GSS24b, §3.2], which we will need below. In particular, we establish a Fierz identity (in Lem. 3.1 below), which is crucial in the proof of the M5-immersion in §3.3 below. In contrast to existing literature on super-embeddings, we do not use a matrix representation of the 6d Clifford algebra but instead use projection operators (17) to algebraically carve it out of the 11d Clifford algebra (as indicated in [LP10, §A]). We find that this helps considerably with providing the proofs in the following sections.

Spinors in 6d form 11d. Following [GSS24b, §3.2] we conveniently identify the chiral Spin(1, 5)-representations $2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_{\pm} \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Spin}(1,5))$ with the linear subspaces of the Spin(1, 10)-representation 32 (102) which are the images of the projection operators ([GSS24b, (92)])

$$\frac{P := \frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_{5'6789})}{\overline{P} := \frac{1}{2} (1 - \Gamma_{5'6789})} : \mathbf{32} \to \mathbf{32},$$
(17)

respectively, satisfying the following evident but consequential relations (cf. [GSS24b, (89)]):

$$\frac{PP = P}{\overline{PP} = \overline{P}} \qquad \qquad \Gamma^{a} P = \overline{P} \Gamma^{a} \qquad \qquad a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{5'6789} P = +P \\
\overline{PP} = 0 \qquad \qquad \Gamma^{5'} P = P \Gamma^{5'} \qquad \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{5'6789} \overline{P} = -\overline{P} \\
P\overline{P} = 0 \qquad \qquad \Gamma^{i} P = P \Gamma^{i} \qquad i \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\} \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{6789} P = \Gamma_{5'} P,$$
(18)

where we suggestively denote the 11d Clifford generators as follows:

in that under the corresponding inclusion

$$Spin(1,5) \hookrightarrow Spin(1,10)$$

there are isomorphisms [GSS24b, (86-90)]

$$2 \cdot \mathbf{8} := 2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_{+} \simeq P(\mathbf{32})$$

$$2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_{-} \simeq \overline{P}(\mathbf{32}).$$

$$(20)$$

Combined with the vector representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,10)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(1,5)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1,10}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{1,5}$, respectively, we may regard P (17) as a projector of super-vector spaces

which is convenient for unifying the conditions on tangential and transversal super-coframe components in a ½BPS super-immersion (Def. 3.4 below).

Lemma 3.1 (A Fierz identity in 6d). Elements $\theta \in (2 \cdot 8_+)_{\text{odd}}$ satisfy

$$\gamma_a \theta \, \overline{\theta} \gamma^a = 0 \,, \tag{22}$$

where γ_a are the Clifford generators in 6D according to (19).

Proof. Recall from (20) that we may and do regard $\theta = P\theta \in 2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_+ \subset \mathbf{32}$ as an 11d spinor but constrained to be in the image of the projector $P := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_{5'6789})$, see (17). With this, we may use the formula for Clifford expansion (113) of general endomorphisms $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{32})$ in the case where

$$\phi \equiv \theta \, \overline{\theta} : \mathbf{32} \longrightarrow \mathbf{32}$$

$$\Phi \longmapsto \theta (\overline{\theta} \, \Phi) \,,$$

with the spinor pairing (103) on the right.

But since θ (as opposed to $d\theta$, cf. [GSS24a, Rem. 2.62]) is a skew-commuting variable, it is only the skew-symmetric Clifford basis elements among $\Gamma_{a_1\cdots a_p}$ ($p \leq 5$) which are non-vanishing when evaluated in $(\bar{\theta}(-)\theta)$, and these are precisely those with 0, 3 or 4 indices (112). Hence (113) specializes to:

$$\theta\,\overline{\theta}\,=\,-\tfrac{1}{32}\Big(\big(\,\overline{\theta}\,\theta\big)\,-\,\tfrac{1}{3!}\big(\overline{\theta}\,\Gamma_{a_1a_2a_3}\,\theta\big)\,\Gamma^{a_1a_2a_3}\Big)\,+\,\tfrac{1}{4!}\big(\overline{\theta}\,\Gamma_{a_1\cdots a_4}\,\theta\big)\,\Gamma^{a_1\cdots a_4}\Big)\,,\qquad a_i\in\{0,\cdots,5',6,7,8,9\}\,.$$

Moreover, since the only Clifford elements which remain non-vanishing when sandwiched in $\overline{P}(-)P$ are those carrying an odd number of tangential (6d) indices, by (18), this reduces further to

$$\theta \overline{\theta} = \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{1}{3!} (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \theta) \gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - \frac{1}{3!} (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \Gamma_i \theta) \gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \Gamma^i \right) \quad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma_{i_1 i_2} \theta) \gamma^a \Gamma^{i_1 i_2} - \frac{1}{3!} (\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \theta) \gamma^a \Gamma^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \right) \quad i_i \in \{5', 6, 7, 8, 9\}.$$

$$(23)$$

But finally, by Hodge duality in the transverse directions

$$\Gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3} P = \prod_{(18)} \Gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \Gamma_{5'6789} P = \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5} \Gamma^{i_4 i_5} P \qquad i_i \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\},$$
(24)

we have for the last summand in (23):

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{3!} \big(\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \theta \big) \gamma^a \Gamma^{i_1 i_2 i_3} &= \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_4 j_5} \left(\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma^{i_4 i_5} \theta \right) \gamma^a \Gamma_{j_4 j_5} & \text{by (24)} \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} \frac{3! \cdot 2!}{2 \cdot 2} \delta^{j_4 j_5}_{i_4 i_4} \left(\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma^{i_4 i_5} \theta \right) \gamma^a \Gamma_{j_4 j_5} & \text{by (101)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\theta} \gamma_a \Gamma^{i_4 i_5} \theta \right) \gamma^a \Gamma_{i_4 i_5} & \text{by (101)}, \end{split}$$

whereby the last two summands in (23) cancel each other, and we are left with:

$$\theta \,\overline{\theta} = \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{1}{3!} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \, \theta \right) \gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \, - \, \frac{1}{3!} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \Gamma_i \, \theta \right) \gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \Gamma^i \right), \quad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5\} \\ i_i \in \{5', 6, 7, 8, 9\} \, . \tag{25}$$

Now observing (by decomposing the sum and making a simple case analysis) that

$$\gamma_b \gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \gamma^b = 0, \quad a_i, b \in \{0, \dots, 5\},$$
 (26)

the claim (22) follows:

$$\gamma_{a}\theta \,\overline{\theta}\gamma^{a} = \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{1}{3!} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \gamma_{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}} \, \theta \right) \underbrace{\gamma_{a}\gamma^{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}}\gamma^{a}}_{=0} + \frac{1}{3!} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \gamma_{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}}\Gamma_{i} \, \theta \right) \underbrace{\gamma_{a}\gamma^{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}}\gamma^{a}}_{=0} \Gamma^{i} \right) \quad \text{by (25)}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{by (26)}.$$

3.2 Super AdS₇-spacetime

With the result of $\S 2$ in hand, we may give explicit formulas for super $AdS_7 \times S^4$ -spacetime by first recalling the ordinary bosonic AdS-geometry and then rheonomically extending to super-spacetime.

Near-horizon geometry of black M5-branes. The bosonic near-horizon geometry of N black M5-brane is (cf. $[CKvP98, (6.6)][AFHS00, \S 2.1.2]$, following [GT93][DGT94]) represented on a chart of the form

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,10} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{1,5} \simeq_{\text{diff}} \mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times (\mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \{0\}) \simeq_{\text{diff}} \mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^4$$
 (27)

with its canonical coordinate functions

$$X^a : \mathbb{R}^{1,5} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for } a \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 5\}$$

$$r : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 (28)

by the AdS₇-metric in "Poincaré coordinates" (cf. [Bl22, $\S 39.3.7$]) plus the metric on the round S^4 :

$$ds_{NM5}^2 = \frac{r^2}{N^{2/3}} ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,5}}^2 + \frac{N^{2/3}}{r^2} dr^2 + \frac{N^{2/3}}{4} ds_{S^4}^2$$
 (29)

(where $R_{NM5}/2 := N^{1/3}/2$ is the radius of the 4-sphere in Planck units $2\pi^{1/3} \ell_P$, cf. (42) below). So the singular brane locus⁵ $\simeq \mathbb{R}^{1,5}$ is (or would be) at r = 0. The C-field flux density G_4 supporting this is a multiple of the volume form on the S^4 -factor pulled back to the chart along the projection map:

$$G_4 := c \operatorname{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{dR}}^4(S^4) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{dR}}^4(\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^4),$$
(30)

for some prefactor c which is determined, up to its sign, by the Einstein equations, see (43) below, and determined including its sign by the existence of $^{1}/_{2}BPS$ M5-immersions, see (61) below.

For the near-horizon geometry (28) one says that:

- $r \to 0$ is the *horizon*, cf. footnote 5;
- $r \to \infty$ is the conformal boundary (e.g. [Bl22, p. 904]), at which $\lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} ds_{NM5}^2\right) = ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,5}}^2$ is the Minkowski metric on $\mathbb{R}^{1,5}$ (and zero on $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^4$).

This makes it natural to identify the $\mathbb{R}^{1,5}$ -factor at finite r with the worldvolume of a probe M5-brane, to be called a *holographic M5-brane* (cf. the terminology of [Gu21][Gu24]):

Chart around a holographic M5-brane embedding. We pick a point $s_{\text{prb}} \in S^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \{0\}$ to designate the direction in which we wish to consider a probe M5-brane worldvolume immersed into this background, at some coordinate distance r_{prb} from the M5 horizon (cf. [CKvP98, (5.22)][GM00, §8] and Figure B):

Around this point, we may pick a coordinate chart for S^4

$$\begin{cases} 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} s_{\text{prb}} \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{D}^4 \xleftarrow{\iota} \qquad S^4$$

on which we find globally defined co-frame forms $(E^i)_{i=1}^4$ which are orthonormal for the round metric $ds_{S^4}^2$ on S^4 and torsion-free with respect to the corresponding Levi-Civita connection:

$$\left(E^{i} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}(\mathbb{D}^{4})\right)_{i=1}^{4}, \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathrm{d}E^{i} = \left(\iota^{*}\Omega_{S^{4}}^{ij}\right)E_{j} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^{*}\mathrm{d}s_{S^{4}}^{2} = \sum_{i=6}^{9} E^{i} \otimes E^{i}, \tag{32}$$

and such that

$$\iota^* \operatorname{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4} = \frac{1}{4!} \, \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_4} \, E^{i_1} \cdots E^{i_4} \,.$$
 (33)

Using this, we obtain a contractible coordinate chart of the near horizon geometry (27):

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{D}^4 \stackrel{\mathrm{id} \times \iota}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^4. \tag{34}$$

⁵ The locus r=0 is not actually a curvature singularity of the near horizon geometry – as essentially first highlighted by [GHT95, (3.12)] and manifest below in (38) – just a coordinate singularity of the Poincaré chart (29) — but it is a singularity of the C-field flux $c \operatorname{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4}$ (30) per unit metric 4-volume $r^4 \operatorname{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4}$, witnessing r=0 as the necessarily singular source of this flux.

Since this is a neighborhood of the worldvolume submanifold (31), for the purpose of establishing its superembedding it is sufficient to consider this chart.

Cartan geometry around the holographic M5. On the chart (34), we evidently have the following coframe forms

which are orthonormal for the metric (29) in that $ds_{NM5}^2 = \eta_{ab} E^a \otimes E^b$, on this chart and make the C-field flux density (30) appear as

$$G_4 = \frac{c}{4!} \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_4} E^{i_1} \cdots E^{i_4} \,, \tag{36}$$

for some constant c, determined in (43) below.

For the following formulas, we may focus on the AdS-factor in (35). Hence we let the indices a_i, b_i run only through $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, to be called the *tangential* index values – namely tangential to the worldvolume (31) – with the further *radial* index 5' carried along separately.

The torsion-free spin connection on the AdS-factor of (35), characterized by

$$dE^a = \Omega^a{}_b E^b + \Omega^a{}_{5'} E^{5'}, \qquad dE^{5'} = \Omega^{5'}{}_a E^a,$$

is readily seen to have the following as only non-vanishing components:

$$\Omega^{a5'} = -\Omega^{5'a} = -\frac{r}{N^{2/3}} dX^a$$
 tangential a . (37)

Therefore its ${f curvature}$ 2-form has non-vanishing components

$$R^{a5'} = d\Omega^{a5'} = -\frac{1}{N^{2/3}} dr dX^{a} = -\frac{1}{N^{2/3}} E^{5'} E^{a}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{N^{2/3}} E^{a} E^{5'}$$

$$R^{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\Omega^{a_{1}}_{5'} \Omega^{5'a_{2}} = +\frac{r^{2}}{N^{4/3}} dX^{a_{1}} dX^{a_{2}}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{N^{2/3}} E^{a_{1}} E^{a_{1}}.$$
(38)

Hence the **Riemann tensor** has non-vanishing components (cf. our normalization of δ in 100)

$$R^{a5'}{}_{b5'} = +\frac{1}{N^{2/3}} \delta^a_b$$

$$R^{a_1 a_2}{}_{b_1 b_2} = +\frac{2}{N^{2/3}} \delta^{a_1 a_2}{}_{b_1 b_2},$$
(39)

and the Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric tensor, as befits an Einstein manifold:

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{a_{1}a_{2}} = R_{a_{1}}{}^{b}{}_{ba_{2}} + R_{a_{1}}{}^{5'}{}_{5'a_{2}}
= -\frac{(6-1)}{N^{2/3}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}} - \frac{1}{N^{2/3}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}
= -\frac{6}{N^{2/3}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$
(40)
$$\operatorname{Ric}_{5'5'} = R^{5'a}{}_{a5'}
= -\frac{6}{N^{2/3}},$$

similar to the Ricci tensor of the 4-sphere factor (e.g. [Lee18, Cor. 11.20]):

$$Ric_{i_1 i_2} = +\frac{3}{N^{2/3}/4} \, \delta_{i_1 i_2} \,. \tag{41}$$

Therefore the **Einstein equation** with source the C-field flux density (36) has non-vanishing components (cf. [GSS24a, (174-5)])

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} (G_{4})_{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}} (G_{4})^{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{6}{N^{2/3}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\frac{1}{6} c^{2} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{5'5'} = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} (G_{4})_{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}} (G_{4})^{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}} \eta_{5'5'}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{6}{N^{2/3}} = -\frac{1}{6} c^{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{i_{1}i_{2}} = \frac{1}{12} (G_{4})_{i_{1}} j_{1} j_{2} j_{3} (G_{4})_{i_{2}}^{j_{1}j_{2}j_{3}} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} (G_{4})_{j_{1} \cdots j_{4}} (G_{4})^{j_{1} \cdots j_{4}} \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow +\frac{3}{N^{2/3}/4} \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}} = \frac{1}{2} c^{2} \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}} - \frac{1}{6} c^{2} \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{2} c^{2} \delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}$$

$$(42)$$

thus is solved 6 by

$$c = \pm \frac{6}{N^{1/3}}, \quad \text{hence} \quad G_4 = \pm \frac{6}{N^{1/3}} \text{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4}.$$
 (43)

At this point, both of the signs in (43) are equally admissible, but we see below in Rem. 3.10 that the + sign is singled out by the existence of holographic M5-brane embedding.

Super-Cartan geometry near M5 horizons. In now passing to the super-spacetime enhancement of $AdS_7 \times S^4$, we use the notation and conventions for 6d spinors among 11d spinors form [GSS24b, §3.2], recalled in §3.1. In particular, we denote the Minkowski frame of Clifford generators adapted to the 1+5+1+4 dimensional split of the tangent space to $AdS_7 \times S^4$ in Poincaré coordinates (27) by [GSS24b, (85)]

where [GSS24b, (86-90)]

$$P\Psi := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \Gamma_{5'6789} \right) \Psi \qquad P(\mathbf{32}) \simeq 2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_{+} \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Spin}(1,5) \right)$$

$$\overline{P}\Psi := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \Gamma_{5'6789} \right) \Psi, \qquad \overline{P}(\mathbf{32}) \simeq 2 \cdot \mathbf{8}_{-} \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Spin}(1,5) \right).$$

$$(45)$$

Super-Cartan geometry around holographic M5s. We now obtain the super-extension of the above Cartan geometry (35). Inserting the bosonic AdS Cartan geometry (35) (37) into the initial conditions for WZT gauge (5) means that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E^{(0)})^{a} &= \frac{r}{N^{1/3}} dX^{a} & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left(E^{(0)} \right)_{r}^{a} = \frac{r}{N^{1/3}}, \quad \left(E^{(0)} \right)_{\rho}^{a} = 0 \right) \\
&(E^{(0)})^{5'} &= \frac{N^{2/3}}{r} dX^{5'} & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left(E^{(0)} \right)_{r}^{5'} = \frac{N^{2/3}}{r}, \quad \left(E^{(0)} \right)_{\rho}^{5'} = 0 \right) \\
&(\Psi^{(0)})^{\alpha} &= d\Theta^{\alpha} & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left(\Psi^{(0)} \right)_{r}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \left(\Psi^{(0)} \right)_{\rho}^{\alpha} = \delta_{\rho}^{\alpha} \right) \\
&(\Omega^{(0)})^{5'a} &= \frac{r}{N^{2/3}} dX^{a} & \Leftrightarrow & \left(\left(\Omega^{(0)} \right)_{r}^{5'a} = \frac{r}{N^{2/3}}, \quad \left(\Omega^{(0)} \right)_{\rho}^{5'a} = 0 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Moreover, inserting the flux density (36) into the super-field strength components (12) yields

$$H_{a} = -\frac{c}{12}\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{6789}$$

$$H_{5'} = -\frac{c}{12}\Gamma_{5'6789}$$

$$H_{i} = \frac{c}{6}\frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ii_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}\Gamma^{i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}$$

$$K^{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\frac{c}{6}\Gamma^{a_{1}a_{2}}\Gamma_{6789} \qquad \text{for} \qquad a_{i} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$K^{5'a} = +\frac{c}{6}\Gamma^{a}\Gamma_{5'6789} \qquad i_{i} \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\}$$

$$K^{i_{1}i_{2}} = -\frac{c}{6}\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}\Gamma_{i_{3}i_{4}}$$

$$K^{ia} = 0$$

$$K^{5'i} = 0.$$
(47)

⁶NB: The last line in (42) is the reason that the radius of S^4 has to be half that of AdS₇ in (29).

From this, we now obtain the super-field extension of the supergravity fields on $AdS_7 \times S^4$.

Example 3.2 (AdS₇ × S^4 super-fields to first Θ -order). Based on the 0th-order expressions (46), we obtain to first order in Θ (similar to [dWPPS98, (3.11)]):

$$E^{a} = \frac{r}{N^{1/3}} dX^{a} + (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (13)}$$

$$E^{5'} = \frac{N^{2/3}}{r} dX^{5'} + (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{5'} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (13)}$$

$$\Omega^{5'a} = \frac{r}{N^{2/3}} dX^{a} + \frac{c}{6} (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} \Gamma_{5'6789} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (14), (47) & (5)}$$

$$\Psi^{\alpha} = d\Theta^{\alpha} + (-\frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{N^{2/3}} (\Gamma_{5'a}\Theta)^{\alpha} - \frac{c}{12} \frac{r}{N^{1/3}} (\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{6789}\Theta)^{\alpha}) dX^{a} + -\frac{c}{12} \frac{N^{2/3}}{r} (\Gamma_{5'6789}\Theta)^{\alpha} dX^{5'} + \frac{c}{6} \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{i i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} (\Gamma^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \Theta)^{\alpha} dX^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (15) & (47),}$$

where $a \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$.

3.3 Holographic M5 immersion

With the background super-spacetime in hand ($\S 3.2$), we are ready to inspect the $^{1}/_{2}BPS$ super-immersions of holographic M5-branes.

Our main result here is Thm. 3.11, which says that the evident super-immersion of an M5-brane worldvolume into the Minkowski-part of the Poincaré chart of the near-horizon super-geometry of N black M5-branes is $^{1}/_{2}BPS$ (hence is a "super-embedding").

¹/2BPS super-immersions. Recall (e.g. [Va04, p. 27], cf. [GSS24b, Rem. 2.10, Def. 2.18]) that:

Definition 3.3 (Super-immersions). A map of supermanifolds (e.g. [GSS24a, Ex. 2.13])

$$\frac{\text{super-}}{\text{worldvolume}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n} \xrightarrow{\phi} X^{1,d} \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{\text{super-}} \mathbf{N}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n}} X^{1,d} \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}$$
(49)

is a *super-immersion* if it induces injections on all super-tangent spaces

$$\forall \qquad \qquad \mathbb{R}^{1,p|\mathbf{n}} \xrightarrow{} \mathbb{R}^{1,d|\mathbf{N}}$$

$$\downarrow^{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$$

$$T_{\sigma}\Sigma^{1,p|\mathbf{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}\phi_{\sigma}} T_{\phi(\sigma)}X^{1,d|\mathbf{N}}.$$

We say, following [GSS24b, §2.2], that:

Definition 3.4 (1/2**BPS super-immersions).** A super-immersion ϕ (49) is 1/2*BPS* if for a linear projection operator P from the target super-space onto the "tangential" worldvolume super-dimensions (with $\overline{P} := 1 - P$ the "transversal" projection), projecting onto the fixed locus of a Pin⁺(1, d)-element (a p-brane involution [HSS19, Def. 4.4])

there exists an orthonormal local co-frame field (E, Ψ) (114) on X which is super-Darboux with respect to ϕ in that:

(i) the tangential coframe pulls back to a local coframe field on Σ :

$$(e, \psi) := \phi^*(PE, P\Psi)$$
 is a coframe field (51)

(ii) the transversal bosonic coframe field pulls back to zero

$$\phi^* \overline{P}E = 0 \tag{52}$$

(iii) the transversal fermionic coframe field pulls back to

$$\phi^* \overline{P} \Psi = \operatorname{Sh} \cdot \psi \tag{53}$$

for some fermionic shear field Sh on Σ , i.e. pointwise valued in Spin(d-p)-equivariant linear maps

$$\forall \operatorname{Sh}_{\sigma} : \mathbf{n} \simeq P\mathbf{N} \longrightarrow \overline{P}\mathbf{N}.$$
(54)

Example 3.5 (M5 super-immersions). If the projection operator (50) is that from (21), then we have the case of M5-brane super-immersions ([GSS24b, §3], going back to [HS97b]).

Remarkably (cf. Rem. 3.13 below), the shear map (54) turns out to encode the flux density of any higher gauge field on the worldvolume Σ . If this vanishes (as it does in the example holographic M5-branes presented in a moment) the definition simplifies to:

Definition 3.6 (Fluxless $^{1}/_{2}$ BPS super-immersion). A $^{1}/_{2}$ BPS super-immersion (Def. 3.4) is *fluxless* if its super-Darboux coframes (E, Ψ) are characterized more simply by

Tangential condition:
$$(e, \psi) := \phi^*(PE, P\Psi)$$
 is a coframe field
Transversal condition: $0 = \phi^*(\overline{PE}, \overline{P\Psi})$. (55)

This is manifestly super-analogous to classical Darboux coframe theory (recalled in [GSS24b, §2.1]) and this is what we establish for holographic M5-branes in Thm. 3.11 below.

Remark 3.7 (Relation to the literature).

- (i) The conditions (51) and (52) on a ½BPS super-immersion are (for more details see [GSS24b, Rem. 2.23]) a slight strengthening of the "super-embedding" condition used by [So00], following [BPSTV95][HS97a][HS97b][HRS98].
- (ii) In particular, (e, ψ) being a super-coframe field (51) entails that $\phi^*PE =: e$ has no component along ψ , which is the "basic super-embedding condition" of [HS97a, (6)][HRS98, (2)], earlier known as the "geometrodynamical condition" [BPSTV95, (2.23)].
- (iii) The difference is that more generally one may allow the pullback of the transversal gravitino to have also a bosonic component τ (cf. [GSS24b, Rem. 3.13]), generalizing (53) to

$$\phi^* \overline{P} \Psi = \operatorname{Sh} \cdot \psi + \tau_a e^a \,. \tag{56}$$

However, only for $\tau = 0$:

- (a) does the worldvolume Bianchi identity d $H_3 = \phi^* G_4$ actually follow without fermionic corrections [GSS24b, Rem. 3.19],
- (b) is the super-embedding really 1/2BPS [GSS24b, Rem. 3.13].

In any case, for the example of holographic M5-branes obtained in Thm. 3.11 below we do have $\tau = 0$ (and no other explicit examples seem to have been discussed in the literature before).

The holographic M5 super-immersion. We may now define and analyze the super-geometric enhancement of the immersion of M5-worldvolumes parallel and near to the horizon of their own black brane incarnation (cf. again Figure B):

Definition 3.8 (Holographic super-immersion). We extend the holographic immersion (31) to a super-immersion (Def. 3.3) in an evident way:

where $P = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_{5'6789})$, cf. (17), which defines the super-coordinates on the worldvolume to be the projected pullbacks of those of target space, and where

$$r_{\mathrm{prb}}, \, s_{\mathrm{prb}}^{i} \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1,5}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1,5\,|\,2\cdot\mathbf{8}}) \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{6,7,8,9\}$$

are the chosen constants parametrizing the transverse position of the immersion (cf. Figure B).

Lemma 3.9 (M5-Worldvolume super-fields to first θ -order).

(i) Under the holographic super-immersion (57), the first-order super-fields (48) pull back to

$$e^{a} := \phi^{*}E^{a} = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/3}} dx^{a} + (\overline{\theta} \gamma^{a} d\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\phi^{*}E^{5'} = \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\psi^{\alpha} := \phi^{*}(P\Psi)^{\alpha} = d\theta^{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\phi^{*}(\overline{P}\Psi)^{\alpha} = (\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/3}} - \frac{c}{12} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/3}})(\Gamma_{a5'}\theta)^{\alpha} dx^{a} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$e^{b_{1}}\Pi_{b_{1}b_{2}}^{5'} + \psi^{\beta}\Pi_{\beta b_{2}}^{5'} := \phi^{*}\Omega^{5'}_{b_{2}} = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/3}}\delta_{b_{1}b_{2}} dx^{b_{1}} + \frac{1}{6}(\overline{\theta} \gamma^{a} d\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}).$$

$$(58)$$

(ii) The 2nd fundamental super-form $II^{5'}$ (cf. [GSS24b, (67)]) has the following components:

$$\Pi_{b_{1}b_{2}}^{5'} = \frac{1}{N^{1/3}} \delta_{b_{1}b_{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})
\Pi_{\beta b_{2}}^{5'} = \left(\frac{1}{N^{1/3}} - \frac{c}{6}\right) (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{b_{1}})_{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}).$$
(59)

Proof. The first line in (58) is evident. For the second line just note that $(\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{5'} d\theta) = 0$ by (18). For the third line, notice similarly that

$$\phi^*(P\Psi)^\alpha \;=\; \mathrm{d}\theta^\alpha \;+\; \Big(-\tfrac{1}{2} \tfrac{r_\mathrm{prb}}{N^{2/3}} \underbrace{\left(P\,\Gamma_{5'a}\theta\right)^\alpha}_{=0} - \tfrac{c}{12} \tfrac{r_\mathrm{prb}}{N^{1/3}} \underbrace{\left(P\,\Gamma_a\Gamma_{6789}\theta\right)^\alpha}_{=0} \Big) \, \mathrm{d}x^a \;\; + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)\,,$$

where the terms over the braces vanish by (18):

$$P\Gamma_{5'a}\theta = \Gamma_{5'a}\overline{P}\theta = = \Gamma_{5'a}\overline{P}P\theta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{6789}\theta = \Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{6789}\overline{P}\theta = \Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{6789}\overline{P}P\theta = 0.$$

The fourth line works analogously but complementarily:

$$\phi^* \left(\overline{P} \Psi \right)^{\alpha} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/3}} \underbrace{\left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{5'a} \theta \right)^{\alpha} - \frac{c}{12} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/3}}}_{\Gamma_{a5'} \theta} \underbrace{\left(\overline{P} \Gamma_a \Gamma_{6789} \theta \right)^{\alpha}}_{\Gamma_{a5'} \theta} \right) dx^a + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2) , \tag{60}$$

where under the braces we again used (18):

$$\overline{P}\,\Gamma_{5'a}\theta \ = \ \Gamma_{5'a}P\theta \ = \ \Gamma_{5'a}\theta \ = \ -\Gamma_{a5'}\theta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{P}\,\Gamma_a\Gamma_{6789}\theta \ = \ \Gamma_a\Gamma_{6789}P\theta \ = \ \Gamma_{a5'}\theta \,.$$

Finally, the fifth line follows again similarly, now using that $\Gamma_{5'6789}P = P$, again by (18). From this, the last statement (59) is checked by expanding out:

$$e^{b_{1}} \prod_{b_{1}b_{2}}^{5'} + \psi^{\beta} \prod_{\beta b_{2}}^{5'} = \left(\frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/3}} dx^{b_{1}} + (\overline{\theta} \gamma^{b_{1}} d\theta)\right) \frac{1}{N^{1/3}} \delta_{b_{1}b_{2}} + d\theta^{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{N^{1/3}} - \frac{c}{6}\right) (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{b_{2}})_{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}) \quad \text{by (58) \& (59)}$$

$$= \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/3}} \delta_{b_{1}b_{2}} dx^{b_{2}} + \frac{c}{6} (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{b_{2}} d\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$= \phi^{*} \Omega^{5'}{}_{b_{2}} \qquad + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}) \quad \text{by (58) .}$$

Remark 3.10 (Positive charge of holographic M5-brane probe). Since $c = \pm 6/N^{1/3}$ (43), Lem. 3.9 implies that the holographic super-immersion (57) is (fluxless) 1 /2BPS (Def. 3.6) to first order in θ iff the background C-field flux density (43) is positive 7 , in that, by (58) (60):

$$\phi^*(\overline{P}\Psi) = \mathcal{O}(\theta^2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left\{ c > 0, \text{ i.e. } G_4 = +\frac{6}{N^{1/3}} \operatorname{dvol}_{S_{NM5}^4}. \right.$$
 (61)

Next, from the first-order formulas (58), we now proceed by induction to the full computation of the worldvolume fields. For this, let now

$$(E, \Psi) \in \Omega_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{D}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|\mathbf{32}}; \mathbb{R}^{1,10|\mathbf{32}})$$

$$(62)$$

denote the super coframe fields (35) on the Poincaré neighborhood (34) of $AdS_7 \times S^4$ uniquely extended to superspace via WZT gauge (Def. 2.1), to all orders in Θ .

Now we are ready for the main statement of this section:

⁷Since the difference of signs in (43) signifies the difference between black branes and black *anti*-branes that source the C-field flux, and if in the spirit of microscopic *p*-brane holography (p. 2) we think of the black brane and its holographic probe as two aspects of the same physical system, then the requirement (Rem. 3.10) of the positive sign for the existence of the holographic probe characterizes this as an actual brane instead of an anti-brane.

Theorem 3.11 (Existence of fluxless ½BPS holographic M5-brane probes). The holographic super-immersion (57) of an M5-brane probe near the horizon of N coincident black M5-branes is (fluxless) ½BPS (Def. 3.6).

Proof. By Lem. 3.9 with Rem. 3.10, the statement holds to first order in the odd worldvolume coordinates. Hence it is sufficient to check that all higher contributions actually vanish.

First, the vanishing of the higher orders of the transversal gravitino,

$$\phi^* \overline{P} \Psi = 0$$
, equivalently $\phi^* \Psi = P \phi^* \Psi$ (63)

(using throughout that $\phi^* \circ P = P \circ \phi^*$ and similarly for \overline{P}) follows via the decoupled recursion relations from Lem. 2.8 by induction on the θ -order:

• For the even component by

$$\phi^* (\overline{P} \Psi_r^{(n+2)})^{\alpha} \cdot (n+2)(n+1)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} (\overline{P} \Gamma_{a_1 a_2} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_1 a_2} \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) + (\overline{P} H_a \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) \qquad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5, 5', 6, \dots, 9\} \quad \text{by (16) & (57)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} (\overline{P} \Gamma_{a_1 a_2} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_1 a_2} P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) + (\overline{P} H_a \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) \qquad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5, 5', 6, \dots, 9\} \quad \text{by induction assumption}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\overline{P} \Gamma_{5'a} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{5'a} P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) + (\overline{P} H_a \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) \qquad a \in \{0, \dots, 5\} \quad \text{by (18)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{6} (\overline{P} \underbrace{\Gamma_{5'a}}_{-\Gamma_{a5'}} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) - \frac{c}{12} (\overline{P} \Gamma_{a5'} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)}) \qquad a \in \{0, \dots, 5\} \quad \text{by (47) & (18)}$$

$$= 0.$$

• For the odd component by use of the Fierz identity from Lem. 3.1:

$$\phi^* \left(\overline{P} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n+2)} \right)^{\alpha} \cdot (n+4)(n+3) \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{a_1 a_2} \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} K^{a_1 a_2} \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) + \left(\overline{P} H_a \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) \qquad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5, 5', 6, \dots, 9\} \quad \text{by (16) \& (57)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{a_1 a_2} \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} K^{a_1 a_2} P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) + \left(\overline{P} H_a \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) \qquad a_i \in \{0, \dots, 5, 5', 6, \dots, 9\} \quad \text{by induction assumption}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{5'a} \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} K^{5'a} P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) + \left(\overline{P} H_a \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) \qquad a \in \{0, \dots, 5\} \quad \text{by (18)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{6} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{5'a} \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) - \frac{c}{12} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{a5'} \theta \right)^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \Gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) \qquad a \in \{0, \dots, 5\} \quad \text{by (47) \& (18)}$$

$$= \frac{c}{6} \left(\overline{P} \Gamma_{5'} \underbrace{\gamma_a \theta}^{\alpha} \left(\overline{\theta} \gamma^a P \phi^* \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)} \right) \right) = 0 \quad \text{by (22)}.$$

From this, it then follows that:

• The pullback of the radial & transversal vielbein vanishes to all orders:

$$\phi^* \overline{P}E = 0 \tag{64}$$

because we now have for $E^{5'}$ that

$$\phi^* \left(E^{(n+1)} \right)_r^{5'} \qquad \qquad \phi^* \left(E^{(n+1)} \right)_\rho^{5'}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n+1} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \Gamma^{5'} \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)} \right) \qquad \text{by (13) \& (57)} \qquad \qquad = \frac{2}{n+2} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \Gamma^{5'} \phi^* \Psi_\rho^{(n)} \right) \qquad \text{by (13) \& (57)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n+1} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \Gamma^{5'} P \phi^* \Psi_r^{(n)} \right) \qquad \text{by (63)} \qquad \qquad = \frac{2}{n+2} \left(\overline{\theta} \, \Gamma^{5'} P \phi^* \Psi_\rho^{(n)} \right) \qquad \text{by (63)}$$

$$= 0 \qquad \qquad \text{by (18)}, \qquad \qquad = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{by (18)},$$

and verbatim so for E^i .

• The fermionic component of the tangential coframe field equals

$$\psi = \mathrm{d}\theta \tag{65}$$

to all orders in θ , because it does so to first order by (58) and all higher orders vanish (now $a_i \in \{0, \dots, 9\}$):

$$(\psi^{(n+2)})_{r}^{\alpha} := \phi^{*} (P \Psi^{(n+2)})_{r}^{\alpha}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{4} (P \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \phi^{*} \Psi_{r}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} (P H_{a} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^{a} \phi^{*} \Psi_{r}^{(n)})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{4} (P \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} P \phi^{*} \Psi_{r}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n+1} (P H_{a} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^{a} P \phi^{*} \Psi_{r}^{(n)})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{by (47) & (18) }$$

$$\text{and }$$

$$(\psi^{(n+2)})_{\rho}^{\alpha} := \phi^{*} (P \Psi^{(n+2)})_{\rho}^{\alpha}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n+4} \frac{1}{n+3} \frac{1}{4} (P \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \phi^{*} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{n+4} \frac{1}{n+3} (P H_{a} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^{a} \phi^{*} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n+4} \frac{1}{n+3} \frac{1}{4} (P \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} P \phi^{*} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{n+4} \frac{1}{n+3} (P H_{a} \theta)^{\alpha} (\overline{\theta} \Gamma^{a} P \phi^{*} \Psi_{\rho}^{(n)})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{by (47) & (18) }$$

$$\text{by (47) & (18) }$$

Note that in the last step, in both cases, we observe from (47) that $K^{a_1a_1}$ and H_a have for all index values the same parity (with respect to the projectors P, \overline{P}) as $\Gamma_{a_1a_2}$ and Γ^a , respectively so that the two terms $P\Gamma_{a_1a_2}P$ and $\overline{P}K^{a_1a_2}P$ can never both be non-vanishing, and similarly for PH_aP and $\overline{P}\Gamma^aP$.

• The bosonic component of the tangential coframe field equals

$$e^a = \mathrm{d}x^a + (\bar{\theta}\,\gamma^a\,\mathrm{d}\theta) \tag{67}$$

to all orders in θ , because it does so to first order by (58) and by assumption (??), and since all higher orders vanish, as follows:

To conclude:

- the statements (64) and (63) establish the transversal condition in (55) that was to be shown, namely that $\phi^*(\overline{P}E, \overline{P}\Psi) = 0$.
- The statements (65) and (67) establish the tangential condition in (55) that was to be shown, namely that (e, ψ) is a coframe field, manifestly so by expanding the coordinate differentials in their (e, ψ) -components as

$$dx^{a} = \frac{N^{1/3}}{r_{\text{prb}}} e^{a} - (\overline{\theta} \gamma^{a} \psi)$$
$$d\theta^{\alpha} = \psi^{\alpha}.$$

This completes the check that ϕ (57) is a (fluxless) 1 /2BPS super-immersion (Def. 3.6), hence that the holographic probe M5-brane really exists.

Remark 3.12 (Bianchi identity and vanishing H_3 -flux density).

- (i) For flux quantization on holographic M-branes in [SS24b], the key point of establishing the 1 /2BPS property of the holographic M5-brane immersion, via Thm. 3.11, is that this establishes a solution to the equations of motion of the H_3 -flux density on the worldvolume ([GSS24b, Prop. 3.17]), namely the appropriate self-duality, the Bianchi identity, and rheonomy.
- (ii) In the present case of *vanishing* flux density, this may look fairly trivial, but it is still crucial to establish it unambiguously as a solution because (only) then is flux quantization guaranteed to produce the exact completed field content which may still be non-trivial (namely torsion-charged), as discussed in [SS24b].
- (iii) In any case, it is immediate to check the conclusions of [GSS24b, Prop. 3.17] in the present case: In particular, with (36) and (57) we have

$$\phi^* G_4 = 0 \tag{68}$$

so that the general worldvolume Bianchi identity $dH_3 = \phi^*G_4$ (cf. [GSS24b, (1)]) is un-twisted and becomes

$$dH_3 = 0,$$

which is clearly satisfied by $H_3 = 0$.

Remark 3.13 (Absence of fluxed $^{1}/^{2}$ BPS holographic M5-branes). The proof of Thm. 3.11 also readily shows that it is impossible to have non-vanishing worldvolume flux density $H_{3} \neq 0$ on a holographic M5-brane (57) while keeping its $^{1}/^{2}$ BPS- ("super-embedding"-) property (at least with respect to the given coframe field (62)). Namely, by [HS97b, (40)][HSW97, (7)][So00, p. 91] (re-derived in [GSS24b, (126)]) such non-trivial flux corresponds to modifying the super-immersion (57) by a summand \tilde{H}_{3}

$$\phi^* P \Theta = \theta + \tilde{H}_3 \theta$$
, for $\tilde{H}_3 \equiv \frac{1}{3!} (\tilde{H}_3)_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$,

which vanishes iff the actual flux density H_3 vanishes (cf. [GSS24b, Rem. 3.18]) – but non-vanishing such \tilde{H}_3 immediately fails the Darboux condition (64), by the computation shown right below there. (This is in contrast notably to the case of the rectilinear embedding of the M5-brane into flat Minkowski superspacetime, which allows any constant H_3 -flux to be switched on, see [GSS24b, Ex. 3.14]).

This phenomenon naturally leads over to the discussion of flux-quantization on holographic M5-branes in [SS24b]. Namely a constraint of vanishing flux density

$$H_3 = 0$$

trivializes the higher gauge field on holographic M5-branes only locally, on any (contractible) coordinate chart, while the globally completed higher gauge field, controlled by a flux quantization law, may still attain non-trivial configurations carrying non-trivial torsion charges.

In other words, while flux quantization completes general gauge field configurations by torsion-charged sectors, this is particularly relevant for configurations with vanishing flux, as found here on holographic M5-branes, in which case the non-trivial higher gauge field content is invisible by traditional local field analysis and is *all* contained in the subtleties of the flux quantization law. This is what we discuss in [SS24b].

4 Holographic M2-Branes

For comparison, we also make an analogous analysis for the M2-brane case. Hence we determine the holographic M2-brane probes super-embedded into the near-horizon super-geometry of their own black brane incarnation. This is the case of microscopic p-brane holography, which was originally considered in [BDPS87][DF⁺99][PST99].

4.1 Spinors on M2-Branes

Spinors in 3d from spinors in 11d. We conveniently identify (cf. [HSS19, Lem. 4.11]) the Spin(1,2)-representation $8 \cdot 2 \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Spin}(1,2))$ with the linear subspace of the Spin(1, 10)-representation 32 (102) that is the image of either of the projection operators

$$P := \frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_{2'3456789}) P_1 := \frac{1}{2} (1 - \Gamma_{2'3456789}) : \mathbf{32} \longrightarrow \mathbf{32},$$
(69)

satisfying the following evident but consequential relations:

$$\Gamma^{a}P = P\Gamma^{a}$$

$$PP = P$$

$$\Gamma^{a}P = R\Gamma^{a}$$

$$RP = R \Gamma^{a}$$

$$RP = R \Gamma^{a}$$

$$\Gamma^{2}P = R\Gamma^{2}$$

$$RP = 0$$

$$\Gamma^{2}P = R\Gamma^{2}$$

$$\Gamma^{2}P = R\Gamma^{2}$$

$$\Gamma^{i}P = R\Gamma^{i}$$

$$\Gamma^{i}P = P\Gamma^{i}$$

$$\Gamma^{i}P = P\Gamma^{i}P$$

$$\Gamma^{i}P$$

where we suggestively denote the 11d Clifford generators as follows:

tangential radial transversal
$$\Gamma_0$$
 Γ_1 Γ_2 Γ_2 Γ_3 Γ_4 Γ_5 Γ_6 Γ_7 Γ_8 Γ_9 $\in Pin^+(1, 10) \subset End_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{32})$ $\in Pin^+(1, 2) \subset End_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{8} \cdot \mathbf{2})$, (71)

in that under the corresponding inclusion

$$Spin(1,2) \longrightarrow Spin(1,10)$$

there are linear isomorphisms

$$8 \cdot \mathbf{2} \underset{\mathrm{Spin}(1,2)}{\simeq} P(\mathbf{32}) \underset{\mathrm{Spin}(1,2)}{\simeq} P_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{32})$$
.

Combined with the vector representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,10)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(1,2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1,10}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$, respectively, we may regard P (69) as a projector of super-vector spaces

which is convenient for unifying the conditions on tangential and transversal super-coframe components in a ¹/₂BPS super-immersion (Def. 3.4).

Hodge duality on the M2. By 11d Hodge duality, we have

$$\Gamma_{012} = \Gamma_{012}\Gamma_{0122'3456789} = +\Gamma_{2'3456789} \tag{73}$$

so that the projector P (69) is alternatively expressed as

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_{012})$$

which makes it manifest that

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{a\,b_1b_2}\Gamma^{b_1b_2}P = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{a\,b_1b_2}\Gamma^{b_1b_2}\Gamma_{012}P = -\Gamma_aP. \tag{74}$$

4.2 Super AdS₄-spacetime

With the result of §2 in hand, we may give explicit formulas for super $AdS_4 \times S^7$ -spacetime by first recalling the ordinary bosonic AdS-geometry and then rheonomically extending to super-spacetime.

Near-horizon geometry of black M2-branes. The bosonic near-horizon geometry of N black M2-brane is (cf. [AFHS00, (5)], following [GT93][DGT94][DF⁺99, (2.3)]) represented on a chart of the form

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,10} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \simeq_{\text{diff}} \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times (\mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\}) \simeq_{\text{diff}} \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^7$$
 (75)

with its canonical coordinate functions

$$X^a : \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for } a \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2\}$$

$$r : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(76)$$

by the AdS₄-metric (cf. [Bl22, §39.3.7]) plus the metric on the round S^7 :

$$ds_{NM2}^2 = \frac{r^2}{N^{2/6}} ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{N^{2/6}}{r^2} dr^2 + 4N^{2/6} ds_{S^7}^2$$
(77)

(where $2R_{NM2} := 2N^{1/6}$ is the radius of the 7-sphere in Planck units $\frac{\pi^{1/3}}{2^{1/6}} \ell_P$). The C-field flux density G_4 supporting this is a multiple of the volume form on the AdS_4 -factor pulled back to the chart along the canonical map

$$G_4 = c \operatorname{dvol}_{\operatorname{AdS}_4^{NM2}} \in \Omega_{\operatorname{dR}}^4(\operatorname{AdS}_4) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\operatorname{dR}}^4(\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^4), \tag{78}$$

for some prefactor c which is determined, up to its sign, by the Einstein equations, see (89) below, and whose sign is determined by the condition that a holographic M2-embedding exists (Rem. 4.4 below).

Chart around a holographic M2-brane embedding. We pick a point $s_{\text{prb}} \in S^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\}$ to designate the direction in which we wish to consider a probe M2-brane worldvolume immersed into this background, at some coordinate distance r_{prb} from the M2 singularity (cf. [BDPS87, (14)][PST99, (12)] and Figure B):

Restricting to an open ball around $s_{prb} \in S^7$, we have a contractible chart around this immersed worldvolume, of the form

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{D}^7 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \times \iota} \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^7.$$
(80)

Cartan geometry around the holographic M2. On the chart (80), we evidently have the following coframe

forms

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 & E^{a} & := \frac{r}{N^{1/6}} dX^{a} & \text{tangential} & a \in \{0, 1, 2\} \\
 & E^{2'} & := \frac{N^{1/6}}{r} dr & \text{radial} & a \in \{2'\} \\
 & & E^{a} & = \frac{N^{1/6}}{1/2} \delta_{i}^{a} E^{i} & \text{transversal} & a \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, \\
\end{array} \tag{81}$$

which are orthonormal for the metric (77), in that $ds_{NM2}^2 = \eta_{ab} E^a \otimes E^b$ on this chart, and make the C-field flux density (78) appear as

$$G_4 = \pm c E^0 E^1 E^2 E^{2'} \,. \tag{82}$$

For the following formulas, we may focus on the AdS-factor in (81). Hence we let the indices a_i, b_i run only through $\{0, 1, 2\}$, to be called the *tangential* index values – namely tangential to the worldvolume (79) – with the further *radial* index 2' carried along separately.

The torsion-free spin connection on the AdS-factor of (81), characterized by

$$dE^a = \Omega^a{}_b E^b + \Omega^a{}_{2'} E^{2'}, \qquad dE^{2'} = \Omega^{2'}{}_a E^a,$$

is readily seen to have as only non-vanishing components:

$$\Omega^{a2'} = -\Omega^{2'a} = -\frac{r}{N^{2/6}} dX^a$$
 tangential a . (83)

Therefore its curvature 2-form has non-vanishing components

$$R^{a2'} = d\Omega^{a2'} = -\frac{1}{N^{2/6}} dr dX^{a} = -\frac{1}{N^{2/6}} E^{2'} E^{a}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{N^{2/6}} E^{a} E^{2'}$$

$$R^{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\Omega^{a_{1}}_{2'} \Omega^{2'a_{2}} = +\frac{r^{2}}{N^{4/6}} dX^{a_{1}} dX^{a_{2}}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{N^{2/6}} E^{a_{1}} E^{a_{1}}.$$
(84)

Hence the **Riemann tensor** has non-vanishing components (cf. our normalization of δ in (100))

$$R^{a2'}{}_{b2'} = +\frac{1}{N^{2/6}} \delta^a_b$$

$$R^{a_1 a_2}{}_{b_1 b_2} = +\frac{2}{N^{2/6}} \delta^{a_1 a_2}{}_{b_1 b_2},$$
(85)

and the Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric tensor, as befits an Einstein manifold:

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{a_{1}a_{2}} = R_{a_{1}}{}^{b}{}_{ba_{2}} + R_{a_{1}}{}^{2'}{}_{2'a_{2}}
= -\frac{(3-1)}{N^{2/6}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}} - \frac{1}{N^{2/6}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}
= -\frac{3}{N^{2/6}} \eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}
\operatorname{Ric}_{2'2'} = R^{2'a}{}_{a2'}
= -\frac{3}{N^{2/6}},$$
(86)

similar to the Ricci tensor of the 7-sphere factor (e.g. [Lee18, Cor. 11.20]):

$$Ric_{i_1 i_2} = +\frac{6}{4 N^{2/6}} \delta_{i_1 i_2}. \tag{87}$$

Therefore the Einstein equation with source the C-field flux density (82)

$$(G_4)_{a_1 \cdots a_4} = \begin{cases} c \, \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} & a_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 2'\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (G_4)_{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4} (G_4)^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4} = -24 \, c^2 \\ (G_4)_{a_1 b_1 b_2 b_3} (G_4)_{a_2}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = -6 \, c^2 \, \eta_{a_1 a_2} \end{cases}$$

has non-vanishing components (cf. [GSS24a, (174-5)])

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{a_{1}a_{2}} = \frac{1}{12}(G_{4})_{a_{1}b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}}(G_{4})_{a_{2}}{}^{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}} - \frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{12}(G_{4})_{b_{1}\cdots b_{4}}(G_{4})^{b_{1}\cdots b_{4}}\eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{3}{N^{2/6}}\eta_{a_{1}a_{2}} = -\frac{1}{2}c^{2}\eta_{a_{1}a_{2}} + \frac{1}{6}c^{2}\eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3}c^{2}\eta_{a_{1}a_{2}}$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{i_{1}i_{2}} = -\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{12}(G_{4})_{a_{1}\cdots a_{4}}(G_{4})^{a_{1}\cdots a_{4}}\delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow +\frac{6}{4N^{2/6}}\delta_{i_{1}i_{2}} = +\frac{1}{6}c^{2}\delta_{i_{1}i_{2}}$$

$$(88)$$

thus is solved ⁹ by

⁹Note that the last line in (88) is the reason that the radius of S^7 has to be twice that of AdS_4 in (77).

$$c = \pm \frac{3}{N^{1/6}}, \quad \text{hence} \quad G_4 = \pm \frac{3}{N^{1/6}} E^0 E^1 E^2 E^{2'}.$$
 (89)

At this point, both of the signs in (89) are equally admissible, but we see below in Rem. 3.10 that the + sign is singled out by the existence of a holographic M2-brane embedding.

Super-Cartan geometry around holographic M2s. We now obtain the super-extension of the above Cartan geometry (81). Inserting the bosonic AdS Cartan geometry (81) (83) into the initial conditions for WZT gauge (5) means that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E^{(0)})^{a} &= \frac{r}{N^{1/6}} \, dX^{a} & \Leftrightarrow & \left((E^{(0)})_{r}^{a} = \frac{r}{N^{1/6}}, \quad (E^{(0)})_{\rho}^{a} = 0 \right) \\
& (E^{(0)})^{2'} &= \frac{N^{2/6}}{r} \, dX^{2'} & \Leftrightarrow & \left((E^{(0)})_{r}^{2'} = \frac{N^{2/6}}{r}, \quad (E^{(0)})_{\rho}^{2'} = 0 \right) \\
& (\Psi^{(0)})^{\alpha} &= d\Theta^{\alpha} & \Leftrightarrow & \left((\Psi^{(0)})_{r}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad (\Psi^{(0)})_{\rho}^{\alpha} = \delta_{\rho}^{\alpha} \right) \\
& (\Omega^{(0)})^{2'a} &= \frac{r}{N^{2/6}} \, dX^{a} & \Leftrightarrow & \left((\Omega^{(0)})_{r}^{2'a} = \frac{r}{N^{2/3}}, \quad (\Omega^{(0)})_{\rho}^{2'a} = 0 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Moreover, inserting the flux density (89) into the super-field strength components (12) yields

$$H_{a} = +\frac{c}{6} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma^{b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma^{2'}$$

$$H_{2'} = -\frac{c}{6} \Gamma_{012}$$

$$H_{i} = -\frac{c}{12} \Gamma_{i} \Gamma_{012} \Gamma_{2'}$$

$$K^{a_{1} a_{2}} = -\frac{c}{3} \epsilon^{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} \Gamma_{a_{3}} \Gamma_{2'}$$

$$K^{2' a} = +\frac{c}{6} \epsilon^{a b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma_{b_{1} b_{2}}$$

$$K^{i_{1} i_{2}} = + c \Gamma^{i_{1} i_{2}} \Gamma^{012} \Gamma^{2'}$$

$$K^{ia} = 0$$

$$K^{2' i} = 0.$$

$$(91)$$

From this, we now obtain the super-field extension of the supergravity fields on $AdS_4 \times S^7$:

Example 4.1 (AdS₄ × S^7 -super-fields to first Θ -order). Based on the 0th-order expressions (90), we obtain to first order in Θ (similar to [dWPPS98, (3.11)]):

$$E^{a} = \frac{r}{N^{1/6}} dX^{a} + (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{a} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (13)}$$

$$E^{2'} = \frac{N^{2/6}}{r} dX^{2'} + (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma^{2'} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (13)}$$

$$\Omega^{2'a} = \frac{r}{N^{2/6}} dX^{a} + \frac{c}{6} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{a b_{1} b_{2}} (\overline{\Theta} \Gamma_{b_{1} b_{2}} d\Theta) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (14), (91) \& (5)}$$

$$\Psi^{\alpha} = d\Theta^{\alpha} + (-\frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{N^{2/6}} (\Gamma_{2'a} \Theta)^{\alpha} + \frac{c}{6} \frac{r}{N^{1/6}} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b_{1} b_{2}} (\Gamma^{b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma^{2'} \Theta)^{\alpha}) dX^{a} + \frac{c}{6} \frac{N^{2/6}}{r} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b_{1} b_{2}} (\Gamma^{b_{1} b_{2}} \Gamma^{2'} \Theta)^{\alpha} dX^{2'} + \frac{c}{12} (\Gamma_{i} \Gamma_{012} \Gamma_{2'} \Theta)^{\alpha} dX^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^{2}) \text{ by (15) \& (91),}$$

$$(92)$$

where $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

4.3 Holographic M2 immersion

With the background super-spacetime in hand (§4.2), we are ready to inspect the ½BPS super-immersions of holographic M2-branes. We shall be content here with showing the analysis to first order in the odd coordinates (cf. the analogous discussion for M5-branes to all order in §3.3).

Definition 4.2 (Holographic M2 super-immersion). We extend the holographic immersion (79) to a super-immersion (Def. 3.3) in an evident way:

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,2|8\cdot2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{D}^{7} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|8\cdot2} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|8\cdot2} \qquad \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,10|32}$$

$$x^{a} \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} X^{a}$$

$$r_{\text{prb}} \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} r$$

$$s_{\text{prb}}^{i} \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} X^{i}$$

$$\theta^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} (P\Theta)^{\alpha}$$

$$0 \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} (R\Theta)^{\alpha}.$$
(93)

Lemma 4.3 (M2-Worldvolume super-fields to first θ -order).

(i) Under the holographic super-immersion (4.2), the first-order super-fields (90) pull back to

$$e^{a} := \phi^{*}E^{a} = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/6}} dx^{a} + (\overline{\theta} \gamma^{a} d\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\phi^{*}E^{2'} = \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\psi^{\alpha} := \phi^{*}(P\Psi)^{\alpha} = d\theta^{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$\phi^{*}(P\Psi)^{\alpha} = (\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/6}} - \frac{c}{6} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/6}})(\Gamma_{a2'}\theta)^{\alpha} dx^{a} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2})$$

$$e^{b_{1}}\Pi_{b_{1}b_{2}}^{2'} + \psi^{\beta}\Pi_{\beta b_{2}}^{2'} := \phi^{*}\Omega^{2'}{}_{b_{2}} = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/6}} \delta_{b_{1}b_{2}} dx^{b_{1}} + \frac{1}{6} (\overline{\theta} \gamma^{a} d\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}).$$
(94)

(ii) The 2nd fundamental super-form $\mathbb{I}^{2'}$ (cf. [GSS24b, (67)]) has the following components:

$$\Pi_{b_1 b_2}^{2'} = \frac{1}{N^{1/6}} \delta_{b_1 b_2} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)
\Pi_{\beta b_2}^{2'} = \left(\frac{1}{N^{1/6}} - \frac{c}{3}\right) (\overline{\theta} \gamma_{b_1})_{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2).$$
(95)

Proof. The first line in (94) is evident. For the second line just note that $(\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{2'} d\theta) = (\bar{\theta} P \Gamma^{2'} P d\theta) = 0$ by (70). For the third line notice similarly that

$$\phi^*(P\Psi)^{\alpha} = d\theta^{\alpha} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/6}} \underbrace{(P \Gamma_{2'a} \theta)^{\alpha}}_{\alpha} + \frac{c}{6} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b_1 b_2} \underbrace{(P \Gamma^{b_1 b_2} \Gamma^{2'} \theta)^{\alpha}}_{\alpha}\right) dx^{a},$$

where the terms over the braces vanish by (70):

$$P\Gamma_{2'a}\theta = P\Gamma_{2'a}P\theta = \Gamma_{2'a}PP\theta = 0, \text{ and } P\Gamma^{b_1b_2}\Gamma^{2'}\theta = P\Gamma^{b_1b_2}\Gamma^{2'}P\theta = \Gamma^{b_1b_2}\Gamma^{2'}PP\theta.$$

The fourth line works analogously but complementarily:

$$\phi^{*}(P\Psi)^{\alpha} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/6}}(P\Gamma_{2'a}\theta)^{\alpha} + \frac{c}{6}\frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/6}}\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{a\,b_{1}b_{2}}(P\Gamma^{b_{1}b_{2}}\Gamma^{2'}\theta)^{\alpha}\right)dx^{a} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}) \quad \text{by (93) \& (92)}$$

$$= \left(+\frac{1}{2}\frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{2/6}}(\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{2'}\theta)^{\alpha} - \frac{c}{6}\frac{r_{\text{prb}}}{N^{1/6}}(\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{2'}\theta)^{\alpha}\right)dx^{a} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{2}) \quad \text{by (70) \& (74)}.$$
(96)

Hence in analogy with Rem. 3.10 we find:

Remark 4.4 (Positive charge of holographic M2-brane probe). The expression (96) must vanish for the immersion to be $^{1}/_{2}BPS$. With $c = \pm 3/N^{1/6}$ (89) this requires again that we have the positive sign in (89)

$$G_4 = +\frac{3}{N^{1/6}} E^0 E^1 E^2 E^3 \tag{97}$$

analogous to the case of holographic M5-branes (Rem. 3.10).

5 Conclusion

Motivated by an old proposal, due to Duff et al., that holography is microscopically realized by fluctuations of branes probing their own near-horizon geometry, we have constructed the $^{1}/2BPS$ super-embedding of an M5-brane super-worldvolume parallel to the horizon of super-AdS $_{7} \times S^{4}$ -spacetime (which in fact seems to be the first explicit example of any non-trivial M5 super-embedding to be recorded).

In doing so, we have set to zero the transverse worldvolume gravitino field, $\tau_a = 0$, highlighting (Rem. 3.7) that only then is the Bianchi identity of the self-dual worldvolume flux density as expected (namely without fermionic correction) and is the super-embedding really 1 /2BPS in a sense which we made precise in Def. 3.4, both following [GSS24b].

Under this condition, we have shown in Thm. 3.11 — by inductively solving the super-embedding conditions in super-normal coordinates — that there is a unique such holographic super-embedding (up to the irrelevant choice of a direction on the surrounding 4-sphere) for any radial distance from the asymptotic boundary.

This super-embedding should, therefore, serve as the *super-space* background configuration around which to compute, after super-diffeomorphism gauge fixing, the desired super-conformal fluctuations. We hope to discuss this elsewhere.

A Appendix

A.1 Tensor conventions and 11d spinors

Tensor conventions. Our tensor conventions are standard, but since the computations below crucially depend on the corresponding prefactors, here to briefly make them explicit:

- The Einstein summation convention applies throughout: Given a product of terms indexed by some $i \in I$, with the index of one factor in superscript and the other in subscript, then a sum over I is implied: $x_i y^i := \sum_{i \in I} x_i y^i$.
- Our Minkowski metric is the matrix

$$\left(\eta_{ab} \right)_{a,b=0}^{d} = \left(\eta^{ab} \right)_{a,b=0}^{d} := \left(\operatorname{diag}(-1, +1, +1, \cdots, +1) \right)_{a,b=0}^{d} .$$
 (98)

• Shifting position of frame indices always refers to contraction with the Minkowski metric (98):

$$V^a := V_b \eta^{ab}, \quad V_a = V^b \eta_{ab}.$$

• Skew-symmetrization of indices is denoted by square brackets $((-1)^{|\sigma|})$ is sign of the permutation σ :

$$V_{[a_1 \cdots a_p]} := \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} V_{a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(p)}}.$$

 $\bullet\,$ We normalize the Levi-Civita symbol to

$$\epsilon_{012\cdots} := +1 \text{ hence } \epsilon^{012\cdots} := -1.$$
 (99)

• We normalize the Kronecker symbol to

$$\delta_{b_1 \cdots b_p}^{a_1 \cdots a_p} := \delta_{[b_1}^{[a_1} \cdots \delta_{b_p]}^{a_p]} = \delta_{[b_1}^{a_1} \cdots \delta_{b_p]}^{a_p} = \delta_{b_1}^{[a_1} \cdots \delta_{b_p}^{a_p]}$$

$$(100)$$

so that

$$V_{a_1 \cdots a_p} \delta_{b_1 \cdots b_p}^{a_1 \cdots a_p} = V_{[b_1 \cdots b_p]} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon^{c_1 \cdots c_p a_1 \cdots a_q} \epsilon_{c_1 \cdots c_p b_1 \cdots b_q} = -p! \cdot q! \, \delta_{b_1 \cdots b_q}^{a_1 \cdots a_q}. \tag{101}$$

Spinors in 11d. We briefly recall the following standard facts (proofs and references are given in [GSS24a, $\S2.2.1$]): There exists an \mathbb{R} -linear representation **32** of $\operatorname{Pin}^+(1,10)$ with generators

$$\Gamma_a : \mathbf{32} \to \mathbf{32}$$
 (102)

and equipped with a skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$(\overline{(-)}(-)): \mathbf{32} \otimes \mathbf{32} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 (103)

with the following properties, where as usual we denote skew-symmetrized product of k Clifford generators by

$$\Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_k} := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(k)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Gamma_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdot \Gamma_{a_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots \Gamma_{a_{\sigma(n)}} :$$
(104)

• The Clifford generators square to plus the Minkowski metric (98)

$$\Gamma_a \Gamma_b + \Gamma_b \Gamma_a = +2 \eta_{ab} \operatorname{id}_{32}. \tag{105}$$

• The Clifford product is given on the basis elements (104) as

$$\Gamma^{a_{j}\cdots a_{1}} \Gamma_{b_{1}\cdots b_{k}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\min(j,k)} \pm l! \binom{j}{l} \binom{k}{l} \delta^{[a_{1}\cdots a_{l}}_{[b_{1}\cdots b_{l}]} \Gamma^{a_{j}\cdots a_{l+1}]}_{b_{l+1}\cdots b_{k}]}.$$
(106)

• The Clifford volume form equals the Levi-Civita symbol (99):

$$\Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_{11}} = \epsilon_{a_1 \cdots a_{11}} \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{32}}. \tag{107}$$

• The Clifford generators are skew self-adjoint with respect to the pairing (103)

$$\overline{\Gamma_a} = -\Gamma_a \quad \text{in that} \quad \bigvee_{\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{32}} \left(\overline{(\Gamma_a \phi)} \psi \right) = -\left(\overline{\phi} (\Gamma_a \psi) \right), \tag{108}$$

so that generally

$$\overline{\Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_p}} = (-1)^{p+p(p-1)/2} \Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_p}. \tag{109}$$

ullet The $\mathbb R$ -vector space of $\mathbb R$ -linear endomorphisms of $\mathbf 32$ has a linear basis given by the \leq 5-index Clifford elements

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(32) = \langle 1, \Gamma_{a_1}, \Gamma_{a_1 a_2}, \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3}, \Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_4}, \Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_5} \rangle_{a_i = 0, 1, \cdots}.$$
(110)

• The \mathbb{R} -vector space of *symmetric* bilinear forms on **32** has a linear basis given by the expectation values with respect to (103) of the 1-, 2-, and 5-index Clifford basis elements:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\left((\mathbf{32} \otimes \mathbf{32})_{\operatorname{sym}}, \mathbb{R} \right) \simeq \left\langle \left((\overline{-})\Gamma_{a}(-) \right), \left((\overline{-})\Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}}(-) \right), \left((\overline{-})\Gamma_{a_{1}\cdots a_{5}}(-) \right) \right\rangle_{a,=0,1,\dots}$$

$$(111)$$

while a basis for the skew-symmetric bilinear forms is given by

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\left((\mathbf{32} \otimes \mathbf{32})_{\operatorname{skew}}, \mathbb{R} \right) \simeq \left\langle \left((\overline{-})(-) \right), \left((\overline{-}) \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3}(-) \right), \left((\overline{-}) \Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_4}(-) \right) \right\rangle_{a_i = 0, 1, \cdots}$$

$$(112)$$

• Any linear endomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(32)$ is uniquely a linear combination of Clifford elements as:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{p=0}^{5} \frac{(-1)^{p(p-1)/2}}{p!} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\phi \circ \Gamma_{a_1 \cdots a_p} \right) \Gamma^{a_1 \cdots a_p}, \qquad a_i \in \{0, \cdots, 5', 6, 7, 8, 9\}.$$
(113)

Background formulas for 11d Supergravity. Our notation and conventions for super-geometry and for on-shell 11d supergravity on super-space follow [GSS24a, §2.2 & §3], to which we refer for further details and exhaustive referencing.

We denote the local data of a super-Cartan connection on (a surjective submersion \widetilde{X} of) (super-)spacetime X, representing a super-gravitational field configuration, as¹⁰

Graviton
$$(E^a)_{a=0}^{D-1} \in \Omega^1_{dR}(\widetilde{X}; \mathbb{R}^{1,D-1})$$

Gravitino $(\Psi^{\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^N \in \Omega^1_{dR}(\widetilde{X}; \mathbf{N}_{odd})$ (114)
Spin-connection $(\Omega^{ab} = -\Omega^{ba})_{a,b=0}^{D-1} \in \Omega^1_{dR}(\widetilde{X}; \mathfrak{so}(1, D-1))$

 $^{^{10}}$ Our use of different letters for the even and odd components of a super co-frame follows e.g. [CDF91]. Other authors write " E^{α} " for what we denote " Ψ^{α} ", e.g. [BaSo23]. While it is of course part of the magic of supergravity that E^{a} and E^{α}/Ψ^{α} are unified into a single super-coframe field E, we find that for reading and interpreting formulas it is helpful to use different symbols for its even and odd components.

and the corresponding Cartan structural equations (cf. [GSS24a, Def. 2.78]) for the supergravity field strengths as

Finally, we denote the corresponding components in the given local super-coframe (E, Ψ) by [GSS24a, (127-8)]:

$$T^{a} \equiv 0$$

$$\rho =: \frac{1}{2}\rho_{ab} E^{a} E^{b} + H_{a} \Psi E^{a}$$

$$R^{a_{1}a_{2}} =: \frac{1}{2}R^{a_{1}a_{2}}{}_{b_{1}b_{2}} E^{a_{1}} E^{a_{2}} + (\overline{J}^{a_{1}a_{2}}{}_{b} \Psi) E^{b} + (\overline{\Psi} K^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Psi),$$
(116)

where all components not explicitly appearing vanish identically by the superspace torsion constraints [GSS24a, (121), (137)]. In addition, in the main text we consider the situation that also $\rho_{ab} = 0$ (9) whence also $J^{a_1 a_2}{}_b = 0$ (10).

A.2 Holographic M2 Equation of Motion

We compare here to existing computations via (just) the equations of motion.

Holographic embeddings of the M2-brane have originally been discussed in [BDPS87], where the critical radius $r_{\rm prn}=\infty$ was found for static embeddings with respect to the "static coordinate" chart (reproduced as Ex. A.2). Then [CK⁺98, (2.23) & §A] observed that there are different static holographic embeddings compatible with different AdS-coordinate charts, and found no critical radius for static embeddings with respect to the Poincaré chart (reproduced as Ex. A.1 below). Note that these existing computation use (only) the equations of motion, not a super-embedding (cf. The need for probe brane super-embeddings on p. 2).

The bosonic equation of motion for the M2-brane (as given e.g. in [BDPS87, (4)]) is the following (in this subsection we stick to these authors' notation, for ease of comparison ¹¹):

$$\partial_{i} \left(\sqrt{-h} \, h^{ij} (\partial_{j} X^{N}) g_{MN} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} \, h^{ij} (\partial_{i} X^{N}) (\partial_{j} X^{P}) (\partial_{M} g_{NP})$$

$$- \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijk} (\partial_{i} X^{N}) (\partial_{j} X^{P}) (\partial_{k} X^{Q}) F_{MNPQ} = 0 .$$

$$(117)$$

Example A.1 (M2 probe EoM in Poincaré chart). Consider the case where g is the AdS₄-metric in Poincaré coordinates as in (77)

$$ds_g^2 \equiv \frac{r^2}{N^{2/6}} \left(-dX^0 \otimes dX^0 + dX^1 \otimes dX^1 + dX^2 \otimes dX^2 \right) + \frac{N^{2/6}}{r^2} dr \otimes dr$$
and (89)
$$F_{MNPQ} \equiv \pm \frac{3}{N^{1/6}} \sqrt{-g} \, \epsilon_{MNPQ} \,, \qquad \sqrt{-g} = \frac{r^2}{N^{2/6}} \,. \tag{118}$$

With the holographic embedding (79)

$$X^{0}(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}) \equiv x^{0}$$

$$X^{1}(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}) \equiv x^{1}$$

$$X^{2}(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}) \equiv x^{2}$$

$$r(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}) \equiv r_{\text{prb}},$$
(119)

the induced metric is

$$ds_h^2 = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}^2}{N^{2/6}} \left(-dx^0 \otimes dx^0 + dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + dx^2 \otimes dx^2 \right), \qquad \sqrt{-h} = \frac{r_{\text{prb}}^3}{N^{3/6}}.$$
 (120)

and the corresponding radial component of the equation of motion (117) becomes

$$0 = \partial_{i} \left(\frac{r_{\text{prb}}^{3}}{N^{3/6}} h^{ij} \underbrace{(\partial_{j} r)}_{=0} \frac{N^{2/6}}{r_{\text{prb}}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}^{3}}{N^{3/6}} \underbrace{\eta^{ij} \eta_{ij}}_{=3} \underbrace{\frac{N^{2/6}}{r_{\text{prb}}^{2}}}_{N^{2/6}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{6} \frac{3}{N^{1/6}} \frac{r_{\text{prb}}^{2}}{N^{2/6}}}_{=0} \underbrace{\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk}}_{=-6}$$

$$= 3 \left(\frac{r_{\text{prb}}^{2}}{N^{3/6}} \mp \frac{r_{\text{prb}}^{2}}{N^{3/6}} \right),$$

$$(121)$$

which is equivalent to the flux density carrying the positive sign

$$F_{MNPQ} = +\frac{3}{N^{1/6}}\sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon_{MNPQ} \,. \tag{122}$$

¹¹Except that we change the sign of the third summand in (117) compared to [BDPS87, (4)], hence equivalently of the normalization of the sign of the flux density, as was also done in [CK⁺98, (A.5)].

and no further condition on $r_{\rm prb}$ (in accord with [CK⁺98, (2.33)]).

Example A.2 (Holographic M2 probe EOM in static chart). Consider the case where g is the AdS₄-metric in "static coordinates" [Bl22, (39.76)][BDPS87, (12)][CK⁺98, (A.4)]

$$ds_a^2 = -(1+a^2r^2) dT \otimes dT + r^2 d\Theta \otimes d\Theta + r^2 \sin^2(\Theta) d\Phi \otimes d\Phi + (1+a^2r^2)^{-1} dr \otimes dr$$

and

$$F_{MNPQ} \equiv \pm 3a\sqrt{-g} \, \epsilon_{MNPQ} \,, \qquad \text{for } a,r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \,, \qquad \sqrt{-g} = r^2 \sin(\Theta) \,.$$

With the corresponding static embedding now being [BDPS87, (14)]

$$T(t,\theta,\phi) \equiv t$$

$$\Theta(t,\theta,\phi) \equiv \theta$$

$$\Phi(t,\theta,\phi) \equiv \phi$$

$$r(t,\theta,\phi) \equiv r_{\text{prb}},$$
(123)

and hence intrisnically different from (119), the induced metric is

$$\mathrm{d}s_h^2 = -\left(1 + a^2 r_\mathrm{prb}^2\right) \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t + r_\mathrm{prb}^2 \,\mathrm{d}\theta \otimes \mathrm{d}\theta + r_\mathrm{prb}^2 \sin^2(\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\phi \otimes \mathrm{d}\phi \,, \qquad \sqrt{-h} = \left(1 + a^2 r_\mathrm{prb}^2\right)^{1/2} r_\mathrm{prb}^2 \sin(\Theta) \,. \tag{124}$$

Now the radial component of the equation of motion (117) becomes

$$0 = r_{\text{prb}}^2 \sin(\Theta) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + a^2 r_{\text{prb}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2a^2 r_{\text{prb}}}{1 + a^2 r_{\text{prb}}^2} + \frac{2}{r_{\text{prb}}} + \frac{2}{r_{\text{prb}}} \right) \pm \frac{1}{6} 3a \underbrace{\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk}}_{G} \right). \tag{125}$$

This is equivalent to

$$F_{MNPQ} = +3a\sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon_{MNPQ}\,,$$
 $a^2r_{\rm prb}^3(1+a^2r_{\rm prb}^2)^{-1} + 2r_{\rm prb} - 3ar_{\rm prb}^2(1+a^2r_{\rm prb}^2)^{-1/2} = 0$ as in [BDPS87, (15)] (cf. also [CK⁺98, (A.9)]), nominally solved by $r_{\rm prb} \in \{0,\infty\}\,.$ (126)

Remark A.3 (Non-existence of static M2-embeddings in the static chart). Beware that neither of the values (126) can be used to construct an actual M2 super-embedding:

- (i) At the value $r_{\text{prb}} = 0$ the map (123) is constant and hence is not an immersion (much less an embedding).
- (ii) The would-be value $r_{\rm prb} = \infty$ is outside the actual range of this variable (one might interpret it as only a mnemonic for taking the limit of observables as $r_{\rm prb} \to \infty$, but since the EOM is violated at all the finite $r_{\rm prb}$ whose limiting case would be computed thereby, it may be hard to interpret the result).

This problem suggests that for the purpose of microscopic p-brane holography, the appropriate holographic embeddings are those static with respect to the Poincaré chart, as used in $[CK^+98][PST99]$ and here in the main text

References

- [AFHS00] B. Acharya, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull, and B. Spence, *Branes at conical singularities and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1999), 1249-1286, [doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n6.a2], [arXiv:hep-th/9808014].
- [AGMOO00] O. Aharony, S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, Large N Field Theories, String Theory and Gravity, Phys. Rept. 323 (2000), 183-386, [doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00083-6], [arXiv:hep-th/9905111].
- [AP04] H. I. Arcos and J. G. Pereira, *Kerr-Newman Solution as a Dirac Particle*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **36** (2004), 2441–2464, [doi:10.1023/B:GERG.0000046832.71368.a5], [arXiv:hep-th/0210103].
- [AD87] J. Atick and A. Dhar, θ -Expansion and light-cone gauge fixing in curved superspace σ -models, Nucl. Phys. B **284** (1987), 131-145, [doi:10.1016/0550-3213(87)90029-0].
- [BPSTV95] I. Bandos, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin, M. Tonin, and D. Volkov, Superstrings and supermembranes in the doubly supersymmetric geometrical approach, Nucl. Phys. B 446 (1995), 79-118, [arXiv:hep-th/9501113], [doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00267-V].
- [BaSo23] I. A. Bandos and D. P. Sorokin, Superembedding approach to superstrings and super-p-branes, in: Handbook of Quantum Gravity, Springer (2023), [doi:10.1007/978-981-19-3079-9_111-1], [arXiv:2301.10668].
- [BDPS87] E. Bergshoeff, M. Duff, C. Pope, and E. Sezgin, *Supersymmetric Supermembrane Vacua and Singletons*, Phys. Lett. B **199** (1987), 69-74, [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)91465-1].
- [BGT06] E. Bergshoeff, G. Gibbons, and P. Townsend, *Open M5-branes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 231601, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.231601], [arXiv:hep-th/0607193].
- [Bl22] M. Blau, Lecture Notes on General Relativity (2022), [www.blau.itp.unibe.ch/GRLecturenotes.html].
- [BD88] M. P. Blencowe and M. Duff, *Supersingletons*, Phys. Lett. B **203** 3 (1988), 229-236, [cds:184143], [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(88)90544-8].
- [BH80] L. Brink and P. Howe, *Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity on the Mass-Shell in Superspace*, Phys. Lett. B **91** (1980), 384-386, [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)91002-3].
- [BdT09] S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, AdS/CFT and Light-Front QCD, in: Search for the "Totally Unexpected" in the LHC Era, Proceedings of the International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice 2007, World Scientific (2009), 139-176, [doi:10.1142/7586], [arXiv:0802.0514].
- [BK95] I. L. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko, *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity or: A Walk Through Superspace*, IoP (1995), [doi:10.1201/9780367802530], [spire:1946734].
- [Bu08] A. Burinskii, *The Dirac-Kerr-Newman electron*, Gravit. Cosmol. **14** (2008), 109–122, [doi:10.1134/S0202289308020011], [arXiv:hep-th/0507109].
- [CDF91] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria, and P. Fré, Supergravity and Superstrings A Geometric Perspective, World Scientific, Singapore (1991), [doi:10.1142/0224].
- [Cl99] P. Claus, Super M-brane actions in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and $AdS_7 \times S^4$, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 066003, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.066003], [arXiv:hep-th/9809045].
- [CK99] P. Claus and R. Kallosh, Superisometries of the adS \times Superspace, J. High Energy Phys. **9903** (1999) 014, [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1999/03/014], [arXiv:hep-th/9812087].
- [CKvP98] P. Claus, R. Kallosh, and A. Van Proeyen, M5-brane and superconformal (0,2) tensor multiplet in 6 dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 518 (1998), 117-150, [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00137-0], [arXiv:hep-th/9711161].
- [CK+98] P. Claus, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P. K. Townsend, and A. Van Proeyen, Conformal Theory of M2, D3, M5 and 'D1+D5' Branes, J. High Energy Phys. 9806 (1998) 004, [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/06/004], [arXiv:hep-th/9801206].
- [CF80] E. Cremmer and S. Ferrara, Formulation of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity in Superspace, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980), 61-66, [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90662-0].
- [DF⁺99] G. Dall'Agata, D. Fabbri, C. Fraser, P. Fré, P. Termonia, and M. Trigiante, *The* OSp(8|4) *singleton* action from the supermembrane, Nucl. Phys. B **542** (1999), 157-194, [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00765-2], [arXiv:hep-th/9807115].
- [dWPPS98] B. de Wit, K. Peeters, J. Plefka, and A. Sevrin, *The M-theory two-brane in* $AdS_4 \times S^7$ *and* $AdS_7 \times S^4$, Phys. Lett. B **443** (1998), 153-158, [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01340-9], [arXiv:hep-th/9808052].
- [DBLM21] S. K. Domokos, R. Bell, T. La, and P. Mazza, A Pedagogical Introduction to Holographic Hadrons—Holographic hadron masses in the language of quantum mechanics, Eur. J. Phys. 42 (2021) 065801, [doi:10.1088/1361-6404/ac1abb], [arXiv:2106.13136].

- [DGTZ20] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, A. A. Tseytlin, and X. Zhou, Defect CFT in the 6d (2,0) theory from M2 brane dynamics in AdS₇ × S⁴, J. High Energ. Phys. 2020 (2020) 101, [doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2020)101], [arXiv:2004.04562].
- [DGT94] M. Duff, G. Gibbons, and P. Townsend, *Macroscopic superstrings as interpolating solitons*, Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994), 321-328, [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(94)91260-2], [arXiv:hep-th/9405124].
- [DL94] M. Duff and J. X. Lu, *Black and super p-branes in diverse dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **416** (1994), 301-334, [doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90586-X], [arXiv:hep-th/9306052].
- [Du96] M. Duff, M-Theory (the Theory Formerly Known as Strings), Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11 (1996), 5623-5642, [doi:10.1142/S0217751X96002583], [arXiv:hep-th/9608117].
- [Du99a] M. Duff, The World in Eleven Dimensions: Supergravity, Supermembranes and M-theory, IoP Publishing (1999), [ISBN:9780750306720].
- [Du99b] M. Duff, Anti-de Sitter space, branes, singletons, superconformal field theories and all that, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999), 815-844, [doi:10.1142/S0217751X99000403], [arXiv:hep-th/9808100].
- [Du99c] M. Duff, TASI Lectures on Branes, Black Holes and Anti-de Sitter Space, 9th CRM Summer School: Theoretical Physics at the End of the 20th Century, TASI (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9912164], [spire:511822].
- [EIH38] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, and B. Hoffmann, *The gravitational equations and the problem of motion*, Ann. Math. **39** (1938), 65-100, [doi:10.2307/1968714], [jsotr:1968714].
- [FvP12] and D. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*, Cambridge University Press (2012), [doi:10.1017/CB09781139026833].
- [GHT95] G. Gibbons, G. Horowitz, and P. Townsend, *Higher-dimensional resolution of dilatonic black hole singularities*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995), 297-318, [doi:10.1088/0264-9381/12/2/004], [arXiv:hep-th/9410073].
- [GT93] G. Gibbons and P. Townsend, *Vacuum interpolation in supergravity via super p-branes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993), 3754-3757, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3754], [arXiv:hep-th/9307049].
- [GSS24a] G. Giotopoulos, H. Sati and U. Schreiber, *Flux Quantization on 11d Superspace*, J. High Energy Phys. **2024** 82 (2024) [doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2024)082], [arXiv:2403.16456].
- [GSS24b] G. Giotopoulos, H. Sati, and U. Schreiber, *Flux Quantization on M5-Branes*, J. High Energy Phys. **2024** 140 (2024) [arXiv:2406.11304], [doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2024)140].
- [GM00] C. Grojean and J. Mourad, Super fivebranes near the boundary of $AdS_7 \times S^4$, Nucl. Phys. B **567** (2000), 133-150, [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00335-1], [arXiv:hep-th/9903164].
- [Gu21] V. Gupta, *Holographic M5 branes in* $AdS_7 \times S^4$, J. High Energ. Phys. **2021** (2021) 32, [arXiv:2109.08551], [doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2021)032].
- [Gu24] V. Gupta, *More Holographic M5 branes in* $AdS_7 \times S^4$, Phys. Lett. B **853** (2024) 138650, [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138650], [arXiv:2301.02528].
- [HLS18] S. Hartnoll, A. Lucas, and S. Sachdev, *Holographic quantum matter*, MIT Press (2018), [ISBN:9780262348010], [arXiv:1612.07324].
- [HKSS07] C. P. Herzog, P. Kovtun, S. Sachdev, and D. T. Son, *Quantum critical transport, duality, and M-theory*, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 085020, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.085020], [arXiv:hep-th/0701036].
- [HS97a] P. S. Howe and E. Sezgin, Superbranes, Phys. Lett. B 390 (1997), 133-142, [arXiv:hep-th/9607227], [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(96)01416-5].
- [HS97b] P. Howe and E. Sezgin, D=11, p=5, Phys. Lett. B **394** (1997), 62-66, [arXiv:hep-th/9611008], [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(96)01672-3].
- [HSW97] P. S. Howe, E. Sezgin, and P. C. West, *The six-dimensional self-dual tensor*, Phys. Lett. B **400** (1997), 255-259, [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00365-1], [arXiv:hep-th/9702111].
- [HRS98] P. S. Howe, O. Raetzel, and E. Sezgin, *On Brane Actions and Superembeddings*, J. High Energy Phys. 9808 (1998) 011, [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/08/011], [arXiv:hep-th/9804051].
- [HSS19] J. Huerta, H. Sati, and U. Schreiber, *Real ADE-equivariant (co)homotopy and Super M-branes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **371** (2019), 425–524, [doi:10.1007/s00220-019-03442-3], [arXiv:1805.05987].
- [KRR98] R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, and A. Rajaraman, Near Horizon Superspace, J. High Energy Phys. 9809 (1998) 002, [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/09/002], [arXiv:hep-th/9805217].
- [LP10] N. Lambert and C. Papageorgakis, *Nonabelian* (2,0) *Tensor Multiplets and 3-algebras*, JHEP 1008:083 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2982], [doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2010)083].
- [Lee18] J. M. Lee, Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds, Springer (2018) [10.1007/978-3-319-91755-9].
- [McA84] I. N. McArthur, Superspace normal coordinates, Class. Quantum Grav. 1 (1984) 233, [doi:10.1088/0264-9381/1/3/003].

- [MiSc06] A. Miemiec and I. Schnakenburg, *Basics of M-Theory*, Fortsch. Phys. **54** (2006), 5-72, [doi:10.1002/prop.200510256], [arXiv:hep-th/0509137].
- [Nat15] M. Natsuume, AdS/CFT Duality User Guide, Lecture Notes in Physics 903, Springer (2015), [doi:10.1007/978-4-431-55441-7], [arXiv:1409.3575].
- [NO16] S. Nawata and A. Oblomkov, Lectures on knot homology, in: Physics and Mathematics of Link Homology, Contemp. Math. 680 (2016) 137 [doi:10.1090/conm/680] [arXiv:1510.01795]
- [NP02] A. Nurmagambetov and I. Y. Park, On the M5 and the AdS₇/CFT₆ correspondence, Phys. Lett. B **524** (2002), 185-191, [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01375-2], [arXiv:hep-th/0110192].
- [PST99] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin, and M. Tonin, *Branes in Super-AdS Backgrounds and Superconformal Theories*, Proceedings of *International Workshop on Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries (SQS'99)*, Moscow (July 27-31, 1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9912076], [inspire:511348].
- [SS24a] H. Sati and U. Schreiber: Flux Quantization on Phase Space, Ann. Henri Poincaré (2024), [doi:10.1007/s00023-024-01438-x], [arXiv:2312.12517].
- [SS24b] H. Sati, and U. Schreiber, Abelian Anyons on Flux-quantized M5-Branes [arXiv:2408.11896].
- [SS25] H. Sati and U. Schreiber, *Flux quantization*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics 2nd ed., 4 (2025) 281-324 [arXiv:2402.18473], [doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-95703-8.00078-1].
- [Si12] J. Simón, Brane Effective Actions, Kappa-Symmetry and Applications, Living Rev. Relativ. 15 (2012) 3, [doi:10.12942/lrr-2012-3], [arXiv:1110.2422].
- [So00] D. Sorokin, Superbranes and Superembeddings, Phys. Rept. 329 (2000), 1-101, [arXiv:hep-th/9906142], [doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00104-0].
- [Ts04] D. Tsimpis, *Curved 11D supergeometry*, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (2004) 087, [arXiv:hep-th/0407244], [doi:1088/1126-6708/2004/11/087].
- [Va04] V. Varadarajan, Supersymmetry for mathematicians: An introduction, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 11, American Mathematical Society (2004), [doi:10.1090/cln/011].